



**South Carolina
Department of
Probation, Parole and
Pardon Services**

ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT

**FISCAL YEAR
2010**

**This document is the property of the
South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services**

Samuel B. Glover, Director

**Post Office Box 50666
Columbia, South Carolina 29250
803-734-9220
www.dppps.sc.gov**

**All data in this document was generated by the
South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services**

Division of Legal Services

**Teresa Knox, Deputy Director for Legal Services
Arnise Moultrie, Program Administrator**

Division of Field Operations

Marchar Stagg, Statistical & Research Analyst

Message from the Director


The Fiscal Year 2010 Annual Statistical Report is attached for your review and reference. This report provides a statistical representation of the work of 580 employees of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS) including 339 Agents. While there have been many challenges over the course of the year, I am pleased to report that 65% of our probationers and 84% of our parolees successfully completed supervision. The Bureau of Justice Statistics of the U.S. Department of Justice (2008) reported nationally that approximately 48.6% of probationers and 49.2% of parolees successfully met the conditions of their supervision.

This Department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 45,577 offenders who were under the legal jurisdiction of the Department in FY 10. The Department promotes public safety and offender compliance through ensuring that our interventions promote the offender's ability to make positive changes in his or her life. In addition, our interventions are responses focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents.

For the reader who wishes additional information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact Arnise Moultrie in the Division of Legal Services at 803-734-9220.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Samuel B. Glover". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Samuel B. Glover
Director

To the Reader

The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year. The description of Actives represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2010.

Fiscal year 2010 (FY 2010) began on July 1, 2009 and ended on June 30, 2010. At the end of FY 2010, there were 45,577 offenders under the legal jurisdiction of the Department. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 31,262 offenders were under active supervision of the Department.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. Where possible, missing data or rounding has been indicated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Program Type	10
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense	11
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race	12
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race	13
TABLE 4-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	15
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	15
TABLE 5-A	Total Closures by Type	16
TABLE 6-A	Total Admissions by Age	17

SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense	21
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race	22
TABLE 3-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision	23
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision	24
TABLE 4-B	Probation Closures by Type	25
TABLE 5-B	Probation Admissions by Age	26

SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense	29
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race	30
TABLE 3-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision	31
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision	32
TABLE 4-C	Parole Closures by Type	33
TABLE 5-C	Parole Admissions by Age	34

SECTION D---YOA

TABLE 1-D	YOA Admissions by Type of Offense	37
TABLE 2-D	YOA Admissions by Gender & Race	38
TABLE 3-D	Active YOA Offenders by Level of Supervision	39
FIGURE 5	Active YOA Offenders by Level of Supervision	40
TABLE 4-D	YOA Closures by Type	41
TABLE 5-D	YOA Admissions by Age	42

SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS

TABLE 1-E Active Sex Offenders 45
FIGURE 6 Active Sex Offenders 46

SECTION F---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-F Violations by County 49
TABLE 2-F Closures by Type 50

SECTION G---MONITORING

FIGURE 7 Offenders on Electronic Monitoring 53
FIGURE 8 Offenders on GPS 54

SECTION H---SUMMARY

TABLE 1-H Offender Referrals 60
TABLE 2-H DNA Collections by County 61
FIGURE 9 Monthly DNA Collections 62
TABLE 3-H Offender Drug Testing 63
TABLE 4-H Population Characteristics 64
FIGURE 10 Admissions: A 20-Year Comparison 65
FIGURE 11 Violent Admissions by Program 66

SECTION A

**TOTAL
POPULATION**

FISCAL YEAR 2010

TOTAL POPULATION

Tables 1-A through 6-A and Figures 1 and 2, represent admissions to the SCDPPPS during FY 2010. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2010, there were 17,472 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. The counties of Charleston, Greenville, Richland and Spartanburg contributed the largest number of total admissions, together accounting for 33% of all admissions.

Explanation of Program Types

Probation: Includes Probation, Probation Termination Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), Monitor for the Court, and Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (NGRI).

Parole: Includes Parole, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), early release program, and Community Supervision Program cases.

YOA: Includes offenders sentences under the Youth Offender Act.

Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense, violent versus non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act¹. Total admissions during FY 2010 were predominately non-violent with only 7% admissions for violent offenses.

Table 3-A and Figure 1 illustrate total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male (82%), with a racial composition of 53% black, 45% white, and 2% of other races.

¹Abuse or Neglect of a Vulnerable Adult, Arson, 1st & 2nd degree, Assault and Battery with Intent to Kill, Assault with Intent to Commit Criminal Sexual Conduct 1st or 2nd degree, Burglary 1st and 2nd degree, Carjacking, Child Abuse, Criminal Domestic Violence of a High and Aggravated Nature, Criminal Sexual Conduct 1st or 2nd degree, Criminal Sexual Conduct with a Minor (or Attempted) 1st or 2nd degree, Drugs - Manufacture, Distribution or Etc. of Methamphetamine 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or Subsequent Offenses, Drug Trafficking (44-53-0370, 44-53-0375), Engaging a Child for Sexual Performance, Kidnapping, Murder, Robbery (Armed, Attempted Armed), Voluntary Manslaughter, or Accessory Before the Fact to any of the above crimes.

Table 4-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2010. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the offender is seen by the Agent. Among all offenders, high level supervision represented 19% and standard supervision represented 74%. Sex offender supervision and intensive supervision each represented 3% of all offenders.

Table 5-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2010 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, is included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2010 was 65%. The unsuccessful rate, 35%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense and those instances when the offender was sentenced to prison on a new offense.

Table 6-A describes offender admissions by age category.

Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 1-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**

COUNTY	PROBATION	PERCENT PROBATION	PAROLE	PERCENT PAROLE	YOA	PERCENT YOA	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	120	92%	9	7%	2	2%	131
AIKEN	469	82%	57	10%	47	8%	573
ALLENDALE	25	63%	2	5%	13	33%	40
ANDERSON	663	84%	86	11%	37	5%	786
BAMBERG	64	88%	3	4%	6	8%	73
BARNWELL	95	81%	11	9%	11	9%	117
BEAUFORT	292	81%	33	9%	34	9%	359
BERKELEY	456	81%	41	7%	66	12%	563
CALHOUN	44	85%	4	8%	4	8%	52
CHARLESTON	1,235	84%	98	7%	134	9%	1,467
CHEROKEE	253	84%	26	9%	23	8%	302
CHESTER	88	72%	16	13%	19	15%	123
CHESTERFIELD	93	79%	16	14%	8	7%	117
CLARENDON	146	88%	6	4%	13	8%	165
COLLETON	152	85%	11	6%	16	9%	179
DARLINGTON	149	75%	23	12%	26	13%	198
DILLON	87	81%	11	10%	10	9%	108
DORCHESTER	291	78%	29	8%	51	14%	371
EDGEFIELD	84	84%	14	14%	2	2%	100
FAIRFIELD	61	80%	7	9%	8	11%	76
FLORENCE	507	78%	59	9%	84	13%	650
GEORGETOWN	235	82%	30	10%	23	8%	288
GREENVILLE	1,447	87%	136	8%	82	5%	1,665
GREENWOOD	243	85%	20	7%	23	8%	286
HAMPTON	65	78%	8	10%	10	12%	83
HORRY	820	85%	106	11%	40	4%	966
JASPER	105	81%	6	5%	18	14%	129
KERSHAW	112	83%	15	11%	8	6%	135
LANCASTER	282	89%	19	6%	16	5%	317
LAURENS	300	87%	24	7%	21	6%	345
LEE	61	86%	8	11%	2	3%	71
LEXINGTON	463	80%	81	14%	36	6%	580
McCORMICK	40	89%	4	9%	1	2%	45
MARION	89	71%	14	11%	23	18%	126
MARLBORO	86	76%	13	12%	14	12%	113
NEWBERRY	165	87%	17	9%	7	4%	189
OCONEE	273	87%	20	6%	20	6%	313
ORANGEBURG	373	80%	47	10%	47	10%	467
PICKENS	411	90%	25	5%	22	5%	458
RICHLAND	938	76%	182	15%	108	9%	1,228
SALUDA	75	83%	11	12%	4	4%	90
SPARTANBURG	1,135	85%	114	9%	92	7%	1,341
SUMTER	376	79%	62	13%	39	8%	477
UNION	165	91%	6	3%	11	6%	182
WILLIAMSBURG	107	74%	22	15%	16	11%	145
YORK	693	83%	77	9%	64	8%	834
TRANSITIONAL	1	2%	20	41%	28	57%	49
STATE TOTAL	14,434	83%	1,649	9%	1,389	8%	17,472
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.							

**TABLE 2-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	5	4%	126	96%	131
AIKEN	34	6%	539	94%	573
ALLENDALE	1	3%	39	98%	40
ANDERSON	86	11%	700	89%	786
BAMBERG	4	5%	69	95%	73
BARNWELL	5	4%	112	96%	117
BEAUFORT	27	8%	332	92%	359
BERKELEY	32	6%	531	94%	563
CALHOUN	2	4%	50	96%	52
CHARLESTON	81	6%	1,386	94%	1,467
CHEROKEE	20	7%	282	93%	302
CHESTER	12	10%	111	90%	123
CHESTERFIELD	12	10%	105	90%	117
CLARENDON	8	5%	157	95%	165
COLLETON	12	7%	167	93%	179
DARLINGTON	14	7%	184	93%	198
DILLON	8	7%	100	93%	108
DORCHESTER	16	4%	355	96%	371
EDGEFIELD	8	8%	92	92%	100
FAIRFIELD	5	7%	71	93%	76
FLORENCE	31	5%	619	95%	650
GEORGETOWN	18	6%	270	94%	288
GREENVILLE	97	6%	1,568	94%	1,665
GREENWOOD	17	6%	269	94%	286
HAMPTON	7	8%	76	92%	83
HORRY	54	6%	912	94%	966
JASPER	9	7%	120	93%	129
KERSHAW	5	4%	130	96%	135
LANCASTER	20	6%	297	94%	317
LAURENS	17	5%	328	95%	345
LEE	6	8%	65	92%	71
LEXINGTON	50	9%	530	91%	580
McCORMICK	1	2%	44	98%	45
MARION	4	3%	122	97%	126
MARLBORO	9	8%	104	92%	113
NEWBERRY	9	5%	180	95%	189
OCONEE	27	9%	286	91%	313
ORANGEBURG	26	6%	441	94%	467
PICKENS	26	6%	432	94%	458
RICHLAND	126	10%	1,102	90%	1,228
SALUDA	2	2%	88	98%	90
SPARTANBURG	95	7%	1,246	93%	1,341
SUMTER	41	9%	436	91%	477
UNION	6	3%	176	97%	182
WILLIAMSBURG	17	12%	128	88%	145
YORK	64	8%	770	92%	834
TRANSITIONAL	15	31%	34	69%	49
STATE TOTAL	1,191	7%	16,281	93%	17,472


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

TABLE 3-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
N=17,472

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	88%	12%	60%	0%	40%
AIKEN	76%	24%	45%	1%	54%
ALLEDALE	95%	5%	88%	3%	10%
ANDERSON	80%	20%	37%	1%	62%
BAMBERG	84%	16%	75%	1%	23%
BARNWELL	85%	15%	67%	2%	32%
BEAUFORT	85%	15%	55%	6%	39%
BERKELEY	83%	17%	45%	2%	53%
CALHOUN	85%	15%	58%	2%	40%
CHARLESTON	85%	15%	71%	1%	28%
CHEROKEE	80%	20%	33%	3%	64%
CHESTER	89%	11%	53%	1%	46%
CHESTERFIELD	78%	22%	53%	0%	47%
CLARENDON	89%	11%	81%	2%	18%
COLLETON	82%	18%	59%	2%	39%
DARLINGTON	78%	22%	55%	1%	44%
DILLON	92%	8%	59%	4%	37%
DORCHESTER	81%	19%	49%	3%	48%
EDGEFIELD	88%	12%	58%	0%	42%
FAIRFIELD	88%	12%	67%	0%	33%
FLORENCE	85%	15%	67%	1%	31%
GEORGETOWN	80%	20%	55%	1%	44%
GREENVILLE	79%	21%	47%	4%	50%
GREENWOOD	86%	14%	62%	1%	37%
HAMPTON	87%	13%	70%	1%	29%
HORRY	80%	20%	37%	4%	60%
JASPER	85%	15%	71%	4%	25%
KERSHAW	82%	18%	47%	2%	51%
LANCASTER	82%	18%	49%	1%	50%
LAURENS	78%	22%	44%	0%	56%
LEE	93%	7%	89%	0%	11%
LEXINGTON	80%	20%	38%	2%	59%
McCORMICK	71%	29%	73%	2%	24%
MARION	80%	20%	71%	0%	29%
MARLBORO	86%	14%	55%	6%	39%
NEWBERRY	85%	15%	66%	1%	33%
OCONEE	71%	29%	22%	1%	78%
ORANGEBURG	78%	22%	80%	1%	19%
PICKENS	78%	22%	17%	1%	81%
RICHLAND	83%	17%	79%	2%	19%
SALUDA	80%	20%	58%	9%	33%
SPARTANBURG	81%	19%	44%	2%	53%
SUMTER	81%	19%	74%	1%	25%
UNION	84%	16%	43%	1%	56%
WILLIAMSBURG	86%	14%	83%	0%	17%
YORK	82%	18%	43%	1%	56%
TRANSITIONAL	94%	6%	51%	2%	47%
STATE TOTAL	82%	18%	53%	2%	45%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 1
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
FY 2010




- | | |
|---|---|
|  WHITE MALES |  WHITE FEMALES |
|  BLACK MALES |  BLACK FEMALES |
|  OTHER MALES |  OTHER FEMALES |

**TABLE 4-A
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2010**

COUNTY	STANDARD	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	73%	22%	2%	3%	215
AIKEN	77%	15%	3%	5%	1,200
ALLENDALE	70%	25%	2%	2%	87
ANDERSON	72%	23%	2%	3%	1,557
BAMBERG	88%	10%	1%	2%	126
BARNWELL	77%	14%	4%	5%	160
BEAUFORT	74%	19%	4%	3%	546
BERKELEY	74%	19%	3%	4%	1,015
CALHOUN	79%	12%	6%	3%	78
CHARLESTON	68%	25%	4%	3%	2,836
CHEROKEE	64%	29%	3%	3%	556
CHESTER	80%	16%	3%	1%	224
CHESTERFIELD	76%	16%	6%	2%	154
CLARENDON	78%	16%	3%	3%	238
COLLETON	78%	16%	4%	2%	423
DARLINGTON	74%	19%	5%	2%	320
DILLON	85%	9%	3%	3%	137
DORCHESTER	74%	19%	4%	3%	805
EDGEFIELD	77%	12%	6%	5%	230
FAIRFIELD	78%	21%	1%	0%	174
FLORENCE	72%	22%	4%	2%	958
GEORGETOWN	75%	19%	3%	3%	425
GREENVILLE	70%	24%	3%	2%	3,059
GREENWOOD	72%	22%	3%	3%	503
HAMPTON	89%	8%	1%	2%	151
HORRY	80%	13%	3%	4%	1,477
JASPER	77%	16%	5%	2%	196
KERSHAW	78%	15%	1%	5%	260
LANCASTER	67%	28%	3%	2%	600
LAURENS	74%	21%	2%	2%	707
LEE	69%	28%	1%	1%	134
LEXINGTON	76%	16%	4%	3%	1,260
McCORMICK	69%	18%	7%	6%	96
MARION	75%	15%	10%	0%	161
MARLBORO	78%	16%	5%	2%	129
NEWBERRY	75%	19%	4%	3%	334
OCONEE	79%	15%	2%	4%	495
ORANGEBURG	83%	12%	4%	1%	895
PICKENS	71%	23%	2%	3%	779
RICHLAND	81%	13%	3%	2%	2,641
SALUDA	60%	32%	6%	2%	121
SPARTANBURG	70%	23%	4%	3%	2,025
SUMTER	84%	8%	5%	3%	860
UNION	75%	19%	3%	3%	352
WILLIAMSBURG	69%	22%	7%	2%	296
YORK	74%	18%	5%	3%	1,231
TRANSITIONAL	97%	3%	0%	0%	36
STATE TOTAL	74%	19%	3%	3%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	23,231	6,023	1,085	923	31,262

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 2
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2010**



CONTACT STANDARDS

STANDARD	HIGH	INTENSIVE
1 Face-to-Face/Quarter	1 Progress Report/Month 1 Home Visit/Quarter	1 Progress Report/Week 1 home Visit/Month

**TABLE 5-A
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	84	62%	51	38%
AIKEN	313	67%	155	33%
ALLENDALE	20	53%	18	47%
ANDERSON	438	66%	226	34%
BAMBERG	55	74%	19	26%
BARNWELL	50	55%	41	45%
BEAUFORT	217	63%	127	37%
BERKELEY	409	77%	124	23%
CALHOUN	31	63%	18	37%
CHARLESTON	889	66%	452	34%
CHEROKEE	182	65%	97	35%
CHESTER	111	65%	59	35%
CHESTERFIELD	66	54%	57	46%
CLARENDON	90	67%	45	33%
COLLETON	79	66%	40	34%
DARLINGTON	148	57%	112	43%
DILLON	127	82%	27	18%
DORCHESTER	281	69%	128	31%
EDGEFIELD	65	75%	22	25%
FAIRFIELD	81	74%	28	26%
FLORENCE	399	56%	309	44%
GEORGETOWN	135	68%	65	33%
GREENVILLE	930	57%	690	43%
GREENWOOD	196	61%	124	39%
HAMPTON	32	64%	18	36%
HORRY	477	69%	213	31%
JASPER	51	59%	35	41%
KERSHAW	88	72%	35	28%
LANCASTER	178	61%	114	39%
LAURENS	224	66%	117	34%
LEE	47	67%	23	33%
LEXINGTON	525	71%	213	29%
MCCORMICK	22	71%	9	29%
MARION	111	63%	64	37%
MARLBORO	95	65%	52	35%
NEWBERRY	87	52%	80	48%
OCONEE	165	72%	65	28%
ORANGEBURG	292	68%	135	32%
PICKENS	242	74%	85	26%
RICHLAND	787	65%	418	35%
SALUDA	45	73%	17	27%
SPARTANBURG	600	51%	568	49%
SUMTER	249	62%	155	38%
UNION	94	67%	47	33%
WILLIAMSBURG	93	66%	48	34%
YORK	512	64%	283	36%
TRANSITIONAL	322	99%	2	1%
STATE TOTAL	10,734	65%	5,830	35%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE
TABLE 6-A**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	37	28%	94	72%
AIKEN	168	29%	405	71%
ALLENDALE	19	48%	21	53%
ANDERSON	196	25%	590	75%
BAMBERG	19	26%	54	74%
BARNWELL	42	36%	75	64%
BEAUFORT	135	38%	224	62%
BERKELEY	192	34%	371	66%
CALHOUN	12	23%	40	77%
CHARLESTON	533	36%	934	64%
CHEROKEE	86	28%	216	72%
CHESTER	40	33%	83	67%
CHESTERFIELD	40	34%	77	66%
CLARENDON	52	32%	113	68%
COLLETON	64	36%	115	64%
DARLINGTON	71	36%	127	64%
DILLON	41	38%	67	62%
DORCHESTER	140	38%	231	62%
EDGEFIELD	21	21%	79	79%
FAIRFIELD	26	34%	50	66%
FLORENCE	230	35%	420	65%
GEORGETOWN	101	35%	187	65%
GREENVILLE	426	26%	1,239	74%
GREENWOOD	80	28%	206	72%
HAMPTON	37	45%	46	55%
HORRY	291	30%	675	70%
JASPER	38	29%	91	71%
KERSHAW	45	33%	90	67%
LANCASTER	100	32%	217	68%
LAURENS	100	29%	245	71%
LEE	24	34%	47	66%
LEXINGTON	169	29%	411	71%
McCORMICK	12	27%	33	73%
MARION	46	37%	80	63%
MARLBORO	42	37%	71	63%
NEWBERRY	61	32%	128	68%
OCONEE	100	32%	213	68%
ORANGEBURG	192	41%	275	59%
PICKENS	139	30%	319	70%
RICHLAND	438	36%	790	64%
SALUDA	20	22%	70	78%
SPARTANBURG	392	29%	949	71%
SUMTER	159	33%	318	67%
UNION	66	36%	116	64%
WILLIAMSBURG	47	32%	98	68%
YORK	305	37%	529	63%
TRANSITIONAL	21	43%	28	57%
STATE TOTAL	5,615	32%	11,857	68%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION B

PROBATION

FISCAL YEAR 2010

PROBATION

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B and 2-B represent all probation admissions during FY 2010. Probation includes Probation, PTUP, Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, Monitor for the Court, and NGRI.

Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. For FY 2010, 3% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.

Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male, at 79%, with a racial composition of 51% black, 2% other, and 47% white.

Table 3-B and Figure 3 describe the active probation offender population in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2010. These figures do not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences, Absconders, offenders transferred out of state and others who are not under the day-to-day supervision of the Department. Among probationers, those on high level supervision represented 20% of the population, standard supervision represented 76%, intensive supervision at 1% followed by sex offender supervision representing 3% of probationers.

Table 4-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). The overall success rate for probationers was 65%, the same as the total offender population success rate.

Table 5-B reflects probation admissions by age category.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE
TABLE 1-B**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	1	1%	119	99%	120
AIKEN	16	3%	453	97%	469
ALLENDALE	0	0%	25	100%	25
ANDERSON	44	7%	619	93%	663
BAMBERG	1	2%	63	98%	64
BARNWELL	1	1%	94	99%	95
BEAUFORT	7	2%	285	98%	292
BERKELEY	11	2%	445	98%	456
CALHOUN	0	0%	44	100%	44
CHARLESTON	19	2%	1,216	98%	1,235
CHEROKEE	6	2%	247	98%	253
CHESTER	3	3%	85	97%	88
CHESTERFIELD	3	3%	90	97%	93
CLARENDON	4	3%	142	97%	146
COLLETON	5	3%	147	97%	152
DARLINGTON	5	3%	144	97%	149
DILLON	1	1%	86	99%	87
DORCHESTER	6	2%	285	98%	291
EDGEFIELD	5	6%	79	94%	84
FAIRFIELD	1	2%	60	98%	61
FLORENCE	9	2%	498	98%	507
GEORGETOWN	7	3%	228	97%	235
GREENVILLE	32	2%	1,415	98%	1,447
GREENWOOD	6	2%	237	98%	243
HAMPTON	1	2%	64	98%	65
HORRY	13	2%	807	98%	820
JASPER	6	6%	99	94%	105
KERSHAW	2	2%	110	98%	112
LANCASTER	6	2%	276	98%	282
LAURENS	6	2%	294	98%	300
LEE	2	3%	59	97%	61
LEXINGTON	15	3%	448	97%	463
McCORMICK	1	3%	39	98%	40
MARION	1	1%	88	99%	89
MARLBORO	2	2%	84	98%	86
NEWBERRY	2	1%	163	99%	165
OCONEE	13	5%	260	95%	273
ORANGEBURG	2	1%	371	99%	373
PICKENS	11	3%	400	97%	411
RICHLAND	33	4%	905	96%	938
SALUDA	1	1%	74	99%	75
SPARTANBURG	37	3%	1,098	97%	1,135
SUMTER	10	3%	366	97%	376
UNION	2	1%	163	99%	165
WILLIAMSBURG	5	5%	102	95%	107
YORK	37	5%	656	95%	693
TRANSITIONAL	0	0%	1	100%	1
STATE TOTAL	401	3%	14,033	97%	14,434

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	87%	13%	60%	0%	40%
AIKEN	72%	28%	42%	1%	57%
ALLENDALE	96%	4%	84%	4%	12%
ANDERSON	77%	23%	35%	1%	64%
BAMBERG	83%	17%	73%	2%	25%
BARNWELL	82%	18%	62%	2%	36%
BEAUFORT	83%	17%	55%	4%	40%
BERKELEY	80%	20%	43%	2%	55%
CALHOUN	82%	18%	52%	0%	48%
CHARLESTON	83%	17%	68%	1%	31%
CHEROKEE	79%	21%	30%	3%	67%
CHESTER	89%	11%	51%	0%	49%
CHESTERFIELD	72%	28%	49%	0%	51%
CLARENDON	88%	12%	80%	2%	18%
COLLETON	80%	20%	59%	3%	39%
DARLINGTON	72%	28%	54%	0%	46%
DILLON	90%	10%	56%	5%	39%
DORCHESTER	78%	22%	44%	3%	53%
EDGEFIELD	86%	14%	58%	0%	42%
FAIRFIELD	87%	13%	64%	0%	36%
FLORENCE	81%	19%	64%	2%	34%
GEORGETOWN	76%	24%	50%	1%	49%
GREENVILLE	77%	23%	44%	4%	52%
GREENWOOD	84%	16%	60%	1%	39%
HAMPTON	85%	15%	66%	2%	32%
HORRY	78%	22%	34%	4%	62%
JASPER	83%	17%	69%	5%	27%
KERSHAW	79%	21%	45%	2%	54%
LANCASTER	80%	20%	47%	1%	52%
LAURENS	76%	24%	39%	0%	61%
LEE	93%	7%	89%	0%	11%
LEXINGTON	78%	22%	35%	3%	62%
McCORMICK	70%	30%	78%	3%	20%
MARION	72%	28%	63%	0%	37%
MARLBORO	84%	16%	53%	7%	40%
NEWBERRY	83%	17%	64%	1%	36%
OCONEE	69%	31%	22%	0%	78%
ORANGEBURG	74%	26%	80%	1%	19%
PICKENS	76%	24%	16%	1%	83%
RICHLAND	81%	19%	78%	2%	20%
SALUDA	77%	23%	59%	9%	32%
SPARTANBURG	79%	21%	42%	3%	55%
SUMTER	76%	24%	70%	1%	29%
UNION	82%	18%	42%	1%	57%
WILLIAMSBURG	81%	19%	79%	0%	21%
YORK	79%	21%	39%	1%	60%
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
STATE TOTAL	79%	21%	51%	2%	47%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-B
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2010**

COUNTY	STANDARD	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	72%	23%	1%	4%	189
AIKEN	79%	15%	1%	5%	1,004
ALLENDALE	74%	23%	0%	3%	66
ANDERSON	73%	24%	0%	3%	1,362
BAMBERG	88%	11%	0%	1%	111
BARNWELL	81%	14%	1%	4%	128
BEAUFORT	79%	18%	1%	2%	456
BERKELEY	75%	19%	1%	4%	827
CALHOUN	79%	13%	4%	3%	68
CHARLESTON	70%	26%	2%	2%	2,348
CHEROKEE	65%	32%	1%	2%	473
CHESTER	80%	19%	0%	1%	175
CHESTERFIELD	81%	15%	2%	2%	118
CLARENDON	79%	19%	1%	1%	203
COLLETON	81%	15%	2%	2%	370
DARLINGTON	79%	18%	1%	2%	252
DILLON	87%	10%	0%	3%	98
DORCHESTER	75%	21%	2%	2%	664
EDGEFIELD	78%	13%	4%	5%	207
FAIRFIELD	79%	20%	1%	0%	153
FLORENCE	76%	22%	1%	2%	769
GEORGETOWN	78%	19%	0%	3%	343
GREENVILLE	71%	26%	1%	2%	2,688
GREENWOOD	73%	24%	1%	2%	418
HAMPTON	88%	9%	1%	2%	121
HORRY	82%	14%	1%	4%	1,207
JASPER	83%	15%	0%	2%	159
KERSHAW	81%	16%	0%	3%	206
LANCASTER	66%	31%	2%	1%	535
LAURENS	74%	23%	1%	2%	610
LEE	71%	27%	0%	2%	110
LEXINGTON	78%	17%	2%	3%	1,067
McCORMICK	67%	21%	6%	6%	82
MARION	84%	13%	3%	0%	115
MARLBORO	85%	13%	0%	2%	96
NEWBERRY	76%	20%	1%	2%	288
OCONEE	80%	15%	0%	4%	444
ORANGEBURG	85%	13%	1%	1%	723
PICKENS	73%	24%	0%	3%	708
RICHLAND	83%	14%	1%	2%	2,084
SALUDA	62%	34%	2%	2%	98
SPARTANBURG	72%	24%	1%	3%	1,761
SUMTER	89%	8%	1%	2%	653
UNION	75%	21%	2%	3%	311
WILLIAMSBURG	72%	25%	1%	2%	223
YORK	76%	19%	1%	3%	1,034
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	0%	0%	32
STATE TOTAL	76%	20%	1%	3%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	19,892	5,303	283	679	26,157

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 3
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2009



**TABLE 4-B
PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	78	61%	50	39%
AIKEN	273	66%	138	34%
ALLENDALE	16	62%	10	38%
ANDERSON	378	66%	195	34%
BAMBERG	48	76%	15	24%
BARNWELL	38	52%	35	48%
BEAUFORT	193	66%	99	34%
BERKELEY	373	81%	89	19%
CALHOUN	25	64%	14	36%
CHARLESTON	771	68%	361	32%
CHEROKEE	161	67%	81	33%
CHESTER	97	67%	48	33%
CHESTERFIELD	53	53%	47	47%
CLARENDON	81	69%	36	31%
COLLETON	62	67%	31	33%
DARLINGTON	113	56%	89	44%
DILLON	104	86%	17	14%
DORCHESTER	249	69%	114	31%
EDGEFIELD	59	77%	18	23%
FAIRFIELD	66	74%	23	26%
FLORENCE	331	56%	255	44%
GEORGETOWN	114	70%	49	30%
GREENVILLE	807	56%	623	44%
GREENWOOD	172	60%	117	40%
HAMPTON	29	66%	15	34%
HORRY	417	70%	181	30%
JASPER	39	60%	26	40%
KERSHAW	72	73%	26	27%
LANCASTER	167	62%	102	38%
LAURENS	202	67%	101	33%
LEE	41	69%	18	31%
LEXINGTON	455	72%	180	28%
MCCORMICK	16	67%	8	33%
MARION	88	63%	52	37%
MARLBORO	78	70%	34	30%
NEWBERRY	71	50%	70	50%
OCONEE	149	73%	54	27%
ORANGEBURG	245	68%	116	32%
PICKENS	229	75%	75	25%
RICHLAND	601	63%	350	37%
SALUDA	40	75%	13	25%
SPARTANBURG	521	51%	495	49%
SUMTER	195	61%	124	39%
UNION	77	65%	42	35%
WILLIAMSBURG	77	64%	43	36%
YORK	413	64%	236	36%
TRANSITIONAL	225	100%	1	0%
STATE TOTAL	9,109	65%	4,916	35%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 5-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	AGE 24 & UNDER	PERCENT 24 & UNDER	AGE 25 & OVER	PERCENT 25 & OVER
ABBEVILLE	34	28%	86	72%
AIKEN	124	26%	345	74%
ALLENDALE	6	24%	19	76%
ANDERSON	159	24%	504	76%
BAMBERG	14	22%	50	78%
BARNWELL	32	34%	63	66%
BEAUFORT	100	34%	192	66%
BERKELEY	129	28%	327	72%
CALHOUN	8	18%	36	82%
CHARLESTON	404	33%	831	67%
CHEROKEE	64	25%	189	75%
CHESTER	24	27%	64	73%
CHESTERFIELD	33	35%	60	65%
CLARENDON	39	27%	107	73%
COLLETON	51	34%	101	66%
DARLINGTON	46	31%	103	69%
DILLON	30	34%	57	66%
DORCHESTER	93	32%	198	68%
EDGEFIELD	19	23%	65	77%
FAIRFIELD	16	26%	45	74%
FLORENCE	148	29%	359	71%
GEORGETOWN	79	34%	156	66%
GREENVILLE	346	24%	1,101	76%
GREENWOOD	60	25%	183	75%
HAMPTON	27	42%	38	58%
HORRY	236	29%	584	71%
JASPER	23	22%	82	78%
KERSHAW	39	35%	73	65%
LANCASTER	86	30%	196	70%
LAURENS	78	26%	222	74%
LEE	22	36%	39	64%
LEXINGTON	119	26%	344	74%
McCORMICK	11	28%	29	73%
MARION	22	25%	67	75%
MARLBORO	28	33%	58	67%
NEWBERRY	52	32%	113	68%
OCONEE	77	28%	196	72%
ORANGEBURG	147	39%	226	61%
PICKENS	121	29%	290	71%
RICHLAND	308	33%	630	67%
SALUDA	15	20%	60	80%
SPARTANBURG	305	27%	830	73%
SUMTER	119	32%	257	68%
UNION	57	35%	108	65%
WILLIAMSBURG	35	33%	72	67%
YORK	244	35%	449	65%
TRANSITIONAL	0	0%	1	100%
STATE TOTAL	4,229	29%	10,205	71%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION C

PAROLE

FISCAL YEAR 2010

PAROLE

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his/her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he/she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration. The parole category also includes DJJ early release and Community Supervision Program offenders

Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. A larger percent of parole admissions, 47%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 3% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B) and 2% for YOA (see Table 1-D) admissions.

Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males, 91%, with a racial composition of 66% black, 2% other, and 32% white.

Table 3-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2010. These figures do not include indirect supervision offenders, such as absconders, offenders transferred out of state and others who are not under the day-to-day supervision of the Department. Among parolees, standard supervision offenders represented 68% of the parolee population, followed by high level at 13%. Intensive supervision accounted for 12% of the parolee population and sex offender supervision was 7%.

Table 4-C presents parole case closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). The overall success rate for parolees (84%) was higher than that of probationers (65%, See Table 4-B).

Table 5-C describes the parole population by age category.

**TABLE 1-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	4	44%	5	56%	9
AIKEN	18	32%	39	68%	57
ALLENDALE	1	50%	1	50%	2
ANDERSON	42	49%	44	51%	86
BAMBERG	1	33%	2	67%	3
BARNWELL	4	36%	7	64%	11
BEAUFORT	19	58%	14	42%	33
BERKELEY	19	46%	22	54%	41
CALHOUN	2	50%	2	50%	4
CHARLESTON	61	62%	37	38%	98
CHEROKEE	13	50%	13	50%	26
CHESTER	9	56%	7	44%	16
CHESTERFIELD	9	56%	7	44%	16
CLARENDON	2	33%	4	67%	6
COLLETON	7	64%	4	36%	11
DARLINGTON	8	35%	15	65%	23
DILLON	7	64%	4	36%	11
DORCHESTER	10	34%	19	66%	29
EDGEFIELD	3	21%	11	79%	14
FAIRFIELD	4	57%	3	43%	7
FLORENCE	22	37%	37	63%	59
GEORGETOWN	11	37%	19	63%	30
GREENVILLE	64	47%	72	53%	136
GREENWOOD	10	50%	10	50%	20
HAMPTON	5	63%	3	38%	8
HORRY	41	39%	65	61%	106
JASPER	3	50%	3	50%	6
KERSHAW	3	20%	12	80%	15
LANCASTER	12	63%	7	37%	19
LAURENS	10	42%	14	58%	24
LEE	4	50%	4	50%	8
LEXINGTON	35	43%	46	57%	81
McCORMICK	0	0%	4	100%	4
MARION	3	21%	11	79%	14
MARLBORO	7	54%	6	46%	13
NEWBERRY	7	41%	10	59%	17
OCONEE	14	70%	6	30%	20
ORANGEBURG	23	49%	24	51%	47
PICKENS	15	60%	10	40%	25
RICHLAND	92	51%	90	49%	182
SALUDA	1	9%	10	91%	11
SPARTANBUR	58	51%	56	49%	114
SUMTER	30	48%	32	52%	62
UNION	4	67%	2	33%	6
WILLIAMSBUR	11	50%	11	50%	22
YORK	27	35%	50	65%	77
TRANSITIONAL	14	70%	6	30%	20
STATE TOTAL	769	47%	880	53%	1,649

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	56%	0%	44%
AIKEN	86%	14%	63%	0%	37%
ALLENDALE	50%	50%	50%	0%	50%
ANDERSON	88%	12%	47%	0%	53%
BAMBERG	67%	33%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	82%	0%	18%
BEAUFORT	97%	3%	55%	15%	30%
BERKELEY	90%	10%	68%	0%	32%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	75%	25%	0%
CHARLESTON	96%	4%	79%	1%	20%
CHEROKEE	85%	15%	54%	0%	46%
CHESTER	88%	13%	50%	6%	44%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
COLLETON	91%	9%	64%	0%	36%
DARLINGTON	87%	13%	57%	4%	39%
DILLON	100%	0%	73%	0%	27%
DORCHESTER	86%	14%	69%	0%	31%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
FAIRFIELD	86%	14%	86%	0%	14%
FLORENCE	97%	3%	78%	2%	20%
GEORGETOWN	97%	3%	80%	0%	20%
GREENVILLE	89%	11%	62%	4%	35%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	80%	5%	15%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
HORRY	92%	8%	56%	4%	41%
JASPER	83%	17%	67%	0%	33%
KERSHAW	93%	7%	40%	7%	53%
LANCASTER	100%	0%	68%	0%	32%
LAURENS	88%	13%	88%	0%	13%
LEE	88%	13%	88%	0%	13%
LEXINGTON	84%	16%	49%	1%	49%
McCORMICK	75%	25%	25%	0%	75%
MARION	100%	0%	93%	0%	7%
MARLBORO	85%	15%	62%	8%	31%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	94%	0%	6%
OCONEE	80%	20%	15%	5%	80%
ORANGEBURG	91%	9%	74%	0%	26%
PICKENS	88%	12%	40%	0%	60%
RICHLAND	93%	7%	85%	2%	14%
SALUDA	91%	9%	55%	9%	36%
SPARTANBUR	83%	17%	53%	0%	47%
SUMTER	95%	5%	87%	2%	11%
UNION	100%	0%	33%	0%	67%
WILLIAMSBUR	100%	0%	95%	0%	5%
YORK	92%	8%	62%	1%	36%
TRANSITIONAL	90%	10%	65%	5%	30%
STATE TOTAL	91%	9%	66%	2%	32%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-C
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2010**

COUNTY	STANDARD	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	76%	10%	14%	0%	21
AIKEN	65%	15%	11%	9%	113
ALLENDALE	67%	33%	0%	0%	3
ANDERSON	58%	21%	10%	11%	138
BAMBERG	89%	0%	0%	11%	9
BARNWELL	63%	11%	11%	16%	19
BEAUFORT	48%	26%	9%	17%	46
BERKELEY	65%	15%	8%	12%	78
CALHOUN	67%	0%	33%	0%	6
CHARLESTON	60%	21%	12%	8%	208
CHEROKEE	65%	2%	24%	9%	46
CHESTER	76%	7%	14%	3%	29
CHESTERFIELD	52%	17%	26%	4%	23
CLARENDON	70%	0%	10%	20%	20
COLLETON	58%	21%	8%	13%	24
DARLINGTON	68%	25%	3%	5%	40
DILLON	79%	8%	8%	4%	24
DORCHESTER	66%	14%	10%	10%	58
EDGEFIELD	79%	5%	11%	5%	19
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	0%	0%	12
FLORENCE	56%	22%	15%	7%	108
GEORGETOWN	63%	24%	9%	4%	46
GREENVILLE	65%	15%	15%	5%	274
GREENWOOD	71%	10%	6%	13%	48
HAMPTON	92%	0%	0%	8%	12
HORRY	68%	9%	14%	9%	171
JASPER	71%	12%	18%	0%	17
KERSHAW	72%	11%	0%	17%	36
LANCASTER	87%	3%	3%	8%	39
LAURENS	84%	8%	4%	4%	50
LEE	56%	38%	6%	0%	16
LEXINGTON	71%	10%	13%	5%	134
McCORMICK	82%	0%	9%	9%	11
MARION	61%	11%	29%	0%	28
MARLBORO	55%	32%	9%	5%	22
NEWBERRY	68%	10%	16%	6%	31
OCONEE	73%	10%	3%	13%	30
ORANGEBURG	83%	5%	10%	3%	80
PICKENS	70%	15%	13%	2%	47
RICHLAND	75%	8%	13%	3%	357
SALUDA	46%	31%	23%	0%	13
SPARTANBURG	68%	14%	12%	6%	188
SUMTER	72%	8%	13%	7%	121
UNION	88%	0%	0%	12%	17
WILLIAMSBURG	58%	14%	23%	5%	43
YORK	65%	11%	18%	5%	130
TRANSITIONAL	75%	25%	0%	0%	4
STATE TOTAL	68%	13%	12%	7%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	2,041	396	369	203	3,009

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 4
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2010



**TABLE 4-C
PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	4	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	29	91%	3	9%
ALLENDALE	2	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	49	79%	13	21%
BAMBERG	4	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	8	89%	1	11%
BEAUFORT	15	71%	6	29%
BERKELEY	21	88%	3	13%
CALHOUN	5	83%	1	17%
CHARLESTON	68	89%	8	11%
CHEROKEE	10	67%	5	33%
CHESTER	9	69%	4	31%
CHESTERFIELD	8	100%	0	0%
CLARENDON	6	67%	3	33%
COLLETON	10	91%	1	9%
DARLINGTON	19	79%	5	21%
DILLON	10	100%	0	0%
DORCHESTER	13	100%	0	0%
EDGEFIELD	4	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	8	89%	1	11%
FLORENCE	44	88%	6	12%
GEORGETOWN	11	61%	7	39%
GREENVILLE	87	77%	26	23%
GREENWOOD	17	89%	2	11%
HAMPTON	2	100%	0	0%
HORRY	43	75%	14	25%
JASPER	7	88%	1	13%
KERSHAW	11	85%	2	15%
LANCASTER	6	67%	3	33%
LAURENS	16	84%	3	16%
LEE	5	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	52	80%	13	20%
MCCORMICK	6	86%	1	14%
MARION	16	100%	0	0%
MARLBORO	13	68%	6	32%
NEWBERRY	11	100%	0	0%
OCONEE	10	67%	5	33%
ORANGEBURG	25	86%	4	14%
PICKENS	10	91%	1	9%
RICHLAND	128	85%	22	15%
SALUDA	4	80%	1	20%
SPARTANBURG	61	76%	19	24%
SUMTER	35	88%	5	13%
UNION	13	100%	0	0%
WILLIAMSBURG	11	79%	3	21%
YORK	60	81%	14	19%
TRANSITIONAL	77	99%	1	1%
STATE TOTAL	1,083	84%	213	16%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 5-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	1	11%	8	89%
AIKEN	3	5%	54	95%
ALLENDALE	1	50%	1	50%
ANDERSON	6	7%	80	93%
BAMBERG	0	0%	3	100%
BARNWELL	1	9%	10	91%
BEAUFORT	6	18%	27	82%
BERKELEY	9	22%	32	78%
CALHOUN	0	0%	4	100%
CHARLESTON	16	16%	82	84%
CHEROKEE	1	4%	25	96%
CHESTER	2	13%	14	88%
CHESTERFIELD	3	19%	13	81%
CLARENDON	1	17%	5	83%
COLLETON	0	0%	11	100%
DARLINGTON	2	9%	21	91%
DILLON	1	9%	10	91%
DORCHESTER	2	7%	27	93%
EDGEFIELD	1	7%	13	93%
FAIRFIELD	3	43%	4	57%
FLORENCE	8	14%	51	86%
GEORGETOWN	5	17%	25	83%
GREENVILLE	13	10%	123	90%
GREENWOOD	3	15%	17	85%
HAMPTON	1	13%	7	88%
HORRY	20	19%	86	81%
JASPER	1	17%	5	83%
KERSHAW	1	7%	14	93%
LANCASTER	2	11%	17	89%
LAURENS	3	13%	21	88%
LEE	1	13%	7	88%
LEXINGTON	17	21%	64	79%
McCORMICK	0	0%	4	100%
MARION	1	7%	13	93%
MARLBORO	3	23%	10	77%
NEWBERRY	3	18%	14	82%
OCONEE	4	20%	16	80%
ORANGEBURG	7	15%	40	85%
PICKENS	0	0%	25	100%
RICHLAND	34	19%	148	81%
SALUDA	1	9%	10	91%
SPARTANBURG	8	7%	106	93%
SUMTER	8	13%	54	87%
UNION	0	0%	6	100%
WILLIAMSBUR	1	5%	21	95%
YORK	6	8%	71	92%
TRANSITIONAL	1	5%	19	95%
STATE TOTAL	211	13%	1,438	87%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION D

YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS

FISCAL YEAR 2010

YOUTHFUL OFFENDER RELEASE

Inmates ages 17 through 24, sentenced under the South Carolina Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration, not to exceed six years, within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC), may be conditionally released prior to that time, based on offense category, adjustment, and evaluation while incarcerated.

Table 1-D displays YOA admissions by type of offense. YOA violent admissions of 2% is less than for those admitted to probation at 3% (See Table 1-B).

Table 2-D illustrates YOA admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (97%) and black (68%).

Table 3-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for YOA conditional release offenders in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2010. Fifteen percent (15%) were supervised at a high level. Intensive level supervision accounted for 21%, while 62% were supervised at standard level and 2% at the sex offender level.

Table 4-D shows YOA offenders are more inclined to close unsuccessfully (56%) than the parole population (16%, see Table 4-C) or the probation population (35%, See Table 4-B).

Table 5-D describes YOA admissions by age category.

**TABLE 1-D
 YOA ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	2	100%	2
AIKEN	0	0%	47	100%	47
ALLENDALE	0	0%	13	100%	13
ANDERSON	0	0%	37	100%	37
BAMBERG	2	33%	4	67%	6
BARNWELL	0	0%	11	100%	11
BEAUFORT	1	3%	33	97%	34
BERKELEY	2	3%	64	97%	66
CALHOUN	0	0%	4	100%	4
CHARLESTON	1	1%	133	99%	134
CHEROKEE	1	4%	22	96%	23
CHESTER	0	0%	19	100%	19
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	8	100%	8
CLARENDON	2	15%	11	85%	13
COLLETON	0	0%	16	100%	16
DARLINGTON	1	4%	25	96%	26
DILLON	0	0%	10	100%	10
DORCHESTER	0	0%	51	100%	51
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	2	100%	2
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	8	100%	8
FLORENCE	0	0%	84	100%	84
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	23	100%	23
GREENVILLE	1	1%	81	99%	82
GREENWOOD	1	4%	22	96%	23
HAMPTON	1	10%	9	90%	10
HORRY	0	0%	40	100%	40
JASPER	0	0%	18	100%	18
KERSHAW	0	0%	8	100%	8
LANCASTER	2	13%	14	88%	16
LAURENS	1	5%	20	95%	21
LEE	0	0%	2	100%	2
LEXINGTON	0	0%	36	100%	36
McCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%	1
MARION	0	0%	23	100%	23
MARLBORO	0	0%	14	100%	14
NEWBERRY	0	0%	7	100%	7
OCONEE	0	0%	20	100%	20
ORANGEBURG	1	2%	46	98%	47
PICKENS	0	0%	22	100%	22
RICHLAND	1	1%	107	99%	108
SALUDA	0	0%	4	100%	4
SPARTANBURG	0	0%	92	100%	92
SUMTER	1	3%	38	97%	39
UNION	0	0%	11	100%	11
WILLIAMSBUR	1	6%	15	94%	16
YORK	0	0%	64	100%	64
TRANSITIONAL	1	4%	27	96%	28
STATE TOTAL	21	2%	1,368	98%	1,389

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-D
YOA ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
AIKEN	100%	0%	55%	2%	43%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	97%	3%	51%	0%	49%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	83%	0%	17%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	91%	0%	9%
BEAUFORT	94%	6%	59%	6%	35%
BERKELEY	98%	2%	45%	2%	53%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
CHARLESTON	98%	2%	89%	1%	10%
CHEROKEE	91%	9%	43%	0%	57%
CHESTER	95%	5%	63%	0%	37%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
COLLETON	94%	6%	63%	0%	38%
DARLINGTON	100%	0%	58%	0%	42%
DILLON	100%	0%	70%	0%	30%
DORCHESTER	96%	4%	69%	0%	31%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
FLORENCE	100%	0%	79%	0%	21%
GEORGETOWN	100%	0%	74%	0%	26%
GREENVILLE	100%	0%	62%	1%	37%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	61%	0%	39%
HAMPTON	90%	10%	70%	0%	30%
HORRY	100%	0%	43%	0%	58%
JASPER	100%	0%	89%	0%	11%
KERSHAW	100%	0%	88%	0%	13%
LANCASTER	94%	6%	63%	0%	38%
LAURENS	100%	0%	57%	0%	43%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	92%	8%	53%	3%	44%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
MARION	100%	0%	91%	0%	9%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	57%	0%	43%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	57%	0%	43%
OCONEE	90%	10%	30%	0%	70%
ORANGEBURG	96%	4%	83%	0%	17%
PICKENS	100%	0%	27%	0%	73%
RICHLAND	87%	13%	80%	1%	19%
SALUDA	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
SPARTANBURG	98%	2%	65%	2%	33%
SUMTER	100%	0%	90%	0%	10%
UNION	100%	0%	64%	0%	36%
WILLIAMSBURG	94%	6%	94%	0%	6%
YORK	100%	0%	66%	2%	33%
TRANSITIONAL	96%	4%	39%	0%	61%
STATE TOTAL	97%	3%	68%	1%	31%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-D
ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2010**

COUNTY	STANDARD	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	80%	20%	0%	0%	5
AIKEN	63%	14%	19%	4%	83
ALLENDALE	56%	33%	11%	0%	18
ANDERSON	60%	18%	21%	2%	57
BAMBERG	83%	0%	17%	0%	6
BARNWELL	54%	23%	23%	0%	13
BEAUFORT	50%	16%	32%	2%	44
BERKELEY	70%	16%	11%	3%	110
CALHOUN	100%	0%	0%	0%	4
CHARLESTON	58%	23%	18%	3%	280
CHEROKEE	43%	30%	14%	14%	37
CHESTER	90%	0%	10%	0%	20
CHESTERFIELD	69%	15%	15%	0%	13
CLARENDON	73%	7%	20%	0%	15
COLLETON	52%	21%	28%	0%	29
DARLINGTON	43%	18%	39%	0%	28
DILLON	80%	7%	13%	0%	15
DORCHESTER	69%	10%	19%	2%	83
EDGEFIELD	25%	25%	50%	0%	4
FAIRFIELD	33%	56%	11%	0%	9
FLORENCE	56%	21%	23%	0%	81
GEORGETOWN	61%	17%	19%	3%	36
GREENVILLE	59%	16%	24%	1%	97
GREENWOOD	62%	16%	19%	3%	37
HAMPTON	89%	6%	6%	0%	18
HORRY	74%	12%	9%	5%	99
JASPER	35%	30%	35%	0%	20
KERSHAW	67%	17%	11%	6%	18
LANCASTER	62%	19%	19%	0%	26
LAURENS	68%	13%	17%	2%	47
LEE	75%	13%	13%	0%	8
LEXINGTON	66%	7%	24%	3%	59
McCORMICK	67%	0%	33%	0%	3
MARION	39%	33%	28%	0%	18
MARLBORO	55%	9%	36%	0%	11
NEWBERRY	60%	7%	27%	7%	15
OCONEE	48%	19%	33%	0%	21
ORANGEBURG	68%	8%	24%	0%	92
PICKENS	38%	21%	33%	8%	24
RICHLAND	75%	10%	15%	1%	200
SALUDA	50%	20%	20%	10%	10
SPARTANBURG	39%	18%	42%	0%	76
SUMTER	67%	7%	23%	2%	86
UNION	63%	17%	21%	0%	24
WILLIAMSBURG	60%	13%	27%	0%	30
YORK	57%	10%	33%	0%	67
STATE TOTAL	62%	15%	21%	2%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	1298	324	433	41	2,096

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 5
ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2010



**TABLE 4-D
YOA CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	2	67%	1	33%
AIKEN	11	44%	14	56%
ALLENDALE	2	20%	8	80%
ANDERSON	11	38%	18	62%
BAMBERG	3	43%	4	57%
BARNWELL	4	44%	5	56%
BEAUFORT	9	29%	22	71%
BERKELEY	15	32%	32	68%
CALHOUN	1	25%	3	75%
CHARLESTON	50	38%	83	62%
CHEROKEE	11	50%	11	50%
CHESTER	5	42%	7	58%
CHESTERFIELD	5	33%	10	67%
CLARENDON	3	33%	6	67%
COLLETON	7	47%	8	53%
DARLINGTON	16	47%	18	53%
DILLON	13	57%	10	43%
DORCHESTER	19	58%	14	42%
EDGEFIELD	2	33%	4	67%
FAIRFIELD	7	64%	4	36%
FLORENCE	24	33%	48	67%
GEORGETOWN	10	53%	9	47%
GREENVILLE	36	47%	41	53%
GREENWOOD	7	58%	5	42%
HAMPTON	1	25%	3	75%
HORRY	17	49%	18	51%
JASPER	5	38%	8	62%
KERSHAW	5	42%	7	58%
LANCASTER	5	36%	9	64%
LAURENS	6	32%	13	68%
LEE	1	17%	5	83%
LEXINGTON	18	47%	20	53%
MCCORMICK	0	-----	0	-----
MARION	7	37%	12	63%
MARLBORO	4	25%	12	75%
NEWBERRY	5	33%	10	67%
OCONEE	6	50%	6	50%
ORANGEBURG	22	59%	15	41%
PICKENS	3	25%	9	75%
RICHLAND	58	56%	46	44%
SALUDA	1	25%	3	75%
SPARTANBURG	18	25%	54	75%
SUMTER	19	42%	26	58%
UNION	4	44%	5	56%
WILLIAMSBURG	5	71%	2	29%
YORK	39	54%	33	46%
TRANSITIONAL	20	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	542	44%	701	56%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 5-D
YOA ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	2	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	41	87%	6	13%
ALLENDALE	12	92%	1	8%
ANDERSON	31	84%	6	16%
BAMBERG	5	83%	1	17%
BARNWELL	9	82%	2	18%
BEAUFORT	29	85%	5	15%
BERKELEY	54	82%	12	18%
CALHOUN	4	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	113	84%	21	16%
CHEROKEE	21	91%	2	9%
CHESTER	14	74%	5	26%
CHESTERFIELD	4	50%	4	50%
CLARENDON	12	92%	1	8%
COLLETON	13	81%	3	19%
DARLINGTON	23	88%	3	12%
DILLON	10	100%	0	0%
DORCHESTER	45	88%	6	12%
EDGEFIELD	1	50%	1	50%
FAIRFIELD	7	88%	1	13%
FLORENCE	74	88%	10	12%
GEORGETOWN	17	74%	6	26%
GREENVILLE	67	82%	15	18%
GREENWOOD	17	74%	6	26%
HAMPTON	9	90%	1	10%
HORRY	35	88%	5	13%
JASPER	14	78%	4	22%
KERSHAW	5	63%	3	38%
LANCASTER	12	75%	4	25%
LAURENS	19	90%	2	10%
LEE	1	50%	1	50%
LEXINGTON	33	92%	3	8%
McCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%
MARION	23	100%	0	0%
MARLBORO	11	79%	3	21%
NEWBERRY	6	86%	1	14%
OCONEE	19	95%	1	5%
ORANGEBURG	38	81%	9	19%
PICKENS	18	82%	4	18%
RICHLAND	96	89%	12	11%
SALUDA	4	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	79	86%	13	14%
SUMTER	32	82%	7	18%
UNION	9	82%	2	18%
WILLIAMSBURG	11	69%	5	31%
YORK	55	86%	9	14%
TRANSITIONAL	20	71%	8	29%
STATE TOTAL	1,175	85%	214	15%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION E

SEX OFFENDERS

FISCAL YEAR 2010

SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

In Table 1-E and Figure 6, those offenders who have been convicted of a sex offense are shown. SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender's risk assessment score.

There are three levels of sex offender supervision: SO-Containment, SO-Intensive, and SO-High. A male sex offender's level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the duration of their supervision period.


SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS		
SO-HIGH	SO-INTENSIVE	SO-CONTAINMENT
1 Home Visit Every Other Month	1 Home Visits/Month	2 Home Visits/Month
1 Employment Verification/Month	1 Employment Verification/Month	1 Employment Verification/Month
1 Office Visit/Month	1 Office Visit/Month	1 Office Visit/Month
1 Treatment Session/Month	1 Treatment Session/Month	1 Treatment Session/Month

**TABLE 1-E
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2010**

COUNTY	SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION		GENERAL SUPERVISION		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	7	78%	2	22%	9
AIKEN	61	79%	16	21%	77
ALLENDALE	2	100%	0	0%	2
ANDERSON	53	79%	14	21%	67
BAMBERG	2	100%	0	0%	2
BARNWELL	8	73%	3	27%	11
BEAUFORT	18	72%	7	28%	25
BERKELEY	44	77%	13	23%	57
CALHOUN	2	67%	1	33%	3
CHARLESTON	76	70%	33	30%	109
CHEROKEE	19	70%	8	30%	27
CHESTER	3	43%	4	57%	7
CHESTERFIELD	3	75%	1	25%	4
CLARENDON	6	50%	6	50%	12
COLLETON	10	71%	4	29%	14
DARLINGTON	7	64%	4	36%	11
DILLON	4	67%	2	33%	6
DORCHESTER	21	72%	8	28%	29
EDGEFIELD	11	85%	2	15%	13
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	2	100%	2
FLORENCE	22	67%	11	33%	33
GEORGETOWN	13	87%	2	13%	15
GREENVILLE	74	73%	27	27%	101
GREENWOOD	17	71%	7	29%	24
HAMPTON	3	75%	1	25%	4
HORRY	64	94%	4	6%	68
JASPER	3	60%	2	40%	5
KERSHAW	14	100%	0	0%	14
LANCASTER	11	65%	6	35%	17
LAURENS	17	63%	10	37%	27
LEE	2	40%	3	60%	5
LEXINGTON	40	78%	11	22%	51
McCORMICK	6	86%	1	14%	7
MARION	0	0%	1	100%	1
MARLBORO	3	75%	1	25%	4
NEWBERRY	10	67%	5	33%	15
OCONEE	21	75%	7	25%	28
ORANGEBURG	10	53%	9	47%	19
PICKENS	27	63%	16	37%	43
RICHLAND	62	70%	27	30%	89
SALUDA	3	100%	0	0%	3
SPARTANBURG	62	82%	14	18%	76
SUMTER	23	72%	9	28%	32
UNION	10	83%	2	17%	12
WILLIAMSBURG	6	67%	3	33%	9
YORK	43	80%	11	20%	54
STATE TOTAL	923	74%	320	26%	1,243

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 6
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2010



SECTION F

VIOLATIONS

FISCAL YEAR 2010

VIOLATIONS

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through an administrative hearing process to determine if probable cause of a violation exists. If a violation is found, a determination is made as to which community sanctions should be imposed, or whether the case should be referred to the Board or the Court for revocation action.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, a total of 6,353 violation hearings were held. At those hearings, 4,049 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 2,304 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the types of closure for FY 2006 to FY 2010.

**TABLE 1-F
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY**

COUNTY	CASES HEARD	CASES CONTINUED OR RECOMMENDED FOR CONTINUATION	CASES REVOKED OR RECOMMENDED FOR REVOCATION
Abbeville	1	0	1
Aiken	233	129	104
Allendale	62	34	28
Anderson	452	339	113
Bamberg	40	23	17
Barnwell	70	43	27
Beaufort	50	18	32
Berkeley	212	140	72
Calhoun	94	40	54
Charleston	602	289	313
Cherokee	122	74	48
Chester	14	6	8
Chesterfield	22	13	9
Clarendon	61	35	26
Colleton	88	27	61
Darlington	36	15	21
Dillon	29	18	11
Dorchester	219	155	64
Edgefield	85	59	26
Fairfield	17	8	9
Florence	225	158	67
Georgetown	65	43	22
Greenville	1,015	783	232
Greenwood	44	37	7
Hampton	15	10	5
Horry	84	48	36
Jasper	58	31	27
Kershaw	31	14	17
Lancaster	58	29	29
Laurens	70	46	24
Lee	10	4	6
Lexington	160	125	35
Marion	24	9	15
Marlboro	27	13	14
McCormick	54	37	17
Newberry	46	17	29
Oconee	141	112	29
Orangeburg	258	155	103
Pickens	173	128	45
Richland	312	192	120
Saluda	42	19	23
Spartanburg	573	337	236
Sumter	151	97	54
Union	97	82	15
Williamsburg	9	5	4
York	102	53	49
STATEWIDE	6,353	4,049	2,304

**TABLE 2-F
CLOSURES BY TYPE**

FY 2010	Active Population	Successful	Exp-I¹	JC-I²	Rev-C³	Rev-T⁴	Rev-TC⁵	Total Unsuccessful
Probation	26,157	9,109	28	6	485	4,142	255	4,916
Parole	1,587	435	9	0	9	70	14	102
YOA	2,096	542	14	0	62	570	55	701
Other Releases	1,422	648	110	0	0	1	0	111
Total	31,262	10,734	161	6	556	4,783	324	5,830
% Unsuccessful⁶			2.8%	0.1%	9.5%	82.0%	5.6%	

FY 2009	Active Population	Successful	Exp-I¹	JC-I²	Rev-C³	Rev-T⁴	Rev-TC⁵	Total Unsuccessful
Probation	26,694	10,092	29	6	446	4,494	207	5,182
Parole	1,653	577	7	0	14	117	7	145
YOA	2,053	550	14	0	44	614	34	706
Other Releases	1,297	645	220	0	0	0	0	220
Total	31,697	11,864	270	6	504	5,225	248	6,253
% Unsuccessful⁶			4.3%	0.1%	8.1%	83.6%	4.0%	

FY 2008	Active Population	Successful	Exp-I¹	JC-I²	Rev-C³	Rev-T⁴	Rev-TC⁵	Total Unsuccessful
Probation	26,990	9,547	44	19	404	4,313	213	4,993
Parole	1,911	657	13	0	14	147	10	184
YOA	1,921	539	13	0	52	583	27	675
Other Releases	1,372	552	23	0	0	6	0	29
Total	32,194	11,295	93	19	470	5,049	250	5,881
% Unsuccessful⁶			1.6%	0.3%	8.0%	85.9%	4.3%	

FY 2007	Active Population	Successful	Exp-I¹	JC-I²	Rev-C³	Rev-T⁴	Rev-TC⁵	Total Unsuccessful
Probation	26,578	9,061	24	35	603	4,122	115	4,899
Parole	3,634	737	17	0	20	184	9	230
YOA	2,064	538	14	0	81	534	38	667
Other Releases	1,373	387	19	0	0	5	0	24
Total	33,649	10,723	74	35	704	4,845	162	5,820
% Unsuccessful⁶			1.3%	0.6%	12.1%	83.2%	2.8%	

FY 2006	Active Population	Successful	Exp-I¹	JC-I²	Rev-C³	Rev-T⁴	Rev-TC⁵	Total Unsuccessful
Probation	26,462	9,539	42	35	556	4,494	124	5,251
Parole	2,578	871	6	0	25	237	14	282
YOA	2,088	572	5	0	74	613	56	748
Other Releases	1,308	419	15	0	0	7	0	22
Total	32,436	11,401	68	35	655	5,351	194	6,303
% Unsuccessful⁶			1.1%	0.6%	10.4%	84.9%	3.1%	

Footnotes:

- 1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution
- 2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution
- 3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction

- 4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges
- 5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending
- 6 Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION G

ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

FISCAL YEAR 2010

ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

The Department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. Home detention is a special condition of intensive supervision. Offenders are confined to their residences except for those times authorized by the Court, Parole Board or supervising probation/parole Agent. Electronic Monitoring (EM) is the enhanced surveillance technique used in conjunction with home detention to ensure heightened supervision and accountability for those offenders on intensive supervision status. It is used to verify the degree of the offender's compliance with the conditions of Home Detention. At the end of FY 2010, 149 offenders were on EM.

On June 8, 2006, Governor Mark Sanford signed Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting our state's children through tougher penalties for sex predators. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sex predators and mandates Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. Figure 7 shows the number of offenders on EM and Figure 8 shows the GPS population each month of the fiscal year.

FIGURE 7
ACTIVE OFFENDERS ON ELECTRONIC MONITORING
FISCAL YEAR 2010





FIGURE 8
ACTIVE OFFENDERS ON GPS
FISCAL YEAR 2010



SECTION H

SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR 2010

SUMMARY

Table 1-H shows offender referrals during the fiscal year.

Abbreviations:

AA/NA	ALCOHOLICS ANONOMOUS/NARCOTICS ANONOMOUS
DNA	DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID BLOOD TESTING
DRGTST	DRUG TESTING
DSS	SC DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
DVC	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE COUSELING
ED	HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY PROGRAMS
ESC	EMPLOYMENT SECURITY COMMISSION (WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT)
MH	METAL HEALTH COUNSELING/TREATMENT
PEP	PAROLE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM
PSE	PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT
RE EN	RENTY INITIATIVES
SAC	SUBSTANCE ABUSE COUNSELING
SOC	SEX OFFENDER COUNSELING/TREATMENT
SPICE	SELF-PACED IN CLASS EDUCATION
VR	SC DEPARTMENT OF VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

Table 2-H shows DNA collections by county and Figure 9 illustrates monthly collections.

Table 3-H shows drug testing activity during FY 2010. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested, the number of individuals testing positive, the total number of positive tests and the number of times offenders were tested.

Table 4-H summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

The proportion of violent offenses among YOA admissions (2%) and probationers (3%) remained the same when comparing FY 2009 and FY 2010. The percentage of violent offenses among parole admissions increased by 4% over the previous year.

Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (74%), followed by high (19%), intensive (3%) and sex offender (3%) for all cases.

The overall success rate for closures was 65% during the fiscal year. The overall success rate for parolees was 84%. Both probationers (65%) and YOA offenders (44%) had less successful closures rates than parolees.

Of the 14,548 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 5,288 or 36.4%, tested positive for drugs.

Figure 10 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 1991 to 2010. Admissions decreased for FY 2010 by 5.4% from the previous fiscal year.

Figure 11 displays the percentage of violent admissions by program for fiscal years 1996 to 2010

**TABLE 1-H
OFFENDER REFERRALS AS OF JUNE 30, 2010**


COUNTY	AA/NA	DNA	DRG TST	DSS	DVC	ED*	ESC	MH	PEP	PSE	RE EN	SAC	SOC	SPICE	VR	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	0	31	69	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	0	7	0	0	0	113
AIKEN	3	126	218	2	12	31	5	19	1	97	0	193	18	0	13	738
ALLENDALE	0	19	14	0	4	7	0	1	0	23	0	17	0	0	25	110
ANDERSON	25	127	415	1	35	33	0	10	3	39	0	303	25	4	49	1,069
BAMBERG	0	1	10	0	1	0	0	2	0	15	0	15	1	0	2	47
BARNWELL	0	9	44	0	1	4	0	2	1	26	0	47	2	0	2	138
BEAUFORT	1	46	20	0	2	7	0	6	1	35	0	38	9	0	3	168
BERKELEY	1	8	51	0	1	25	0	11	0	72	0	102	21	0	4	296
CALHOUN	0	4	21	0	2	0	1	2	0	3	0	13	1	0	8	55
CHARLESTON	1	3	368	3	26	88	2	49	1	290	7	396	23	1	27	1,285
CHEROKEE	1	5	111	0	2	22	1	4	1	43	0	85	5	0	7	287
CHESTER	0	38	108	0	1	6	0	6	1	17	0	41	1	0	1	220
CHESTERFIELD	0	35	49	0	4	3	0	3	2	10	0	15	5	0	1	127
CLARENDON	1	3	30	0	1	10	1	4	1	5	0	31	3	0	3	93
COLLETON	0	1	9	0	0	7	0	0	0	4	0	27	2	0	0	50
DARLINGTON	0	21	28	3	5	11	1	3	3	43	0	41	4	1	3	167
DILLON	0	2	3	0	4	1	0	0	0	6	0	4	0	0	1	21
DORCHESTER	4	24	74	0	7	30	0	10	0	63	0	96	6	1	21	336
EDGEFIELD	0	11	43	0	1	3	0	2	0	7	0	28	3	0	8	106
FAIRFIELD	0	19	13	0	4	4	0	3	0	12	0	18	2	1	19	95
FLORENCE	2	38	37	0	42	6	7	12	3	120	0	170	9	2	76	524
GEORGETOWN	18	25	35	3	11	10	96	9	2	41	1	55	6	0	9	321
GREENVILLE	10	256	571	8	39	102	0	47	4	276	0	633	18	3	38	2,005
GREENWOOD	0	2	24	0	21	8	0	4	0	8	0	34	3	1	13	118
HAMPTON	0	0	6	0	4	1	0	2	0	7	0	14	0	0	3	37
HORRY	12	28	93	0	30	21	8	19	3	57	0	163	11	0	62	507
JASPER	0	2	11	0	0	9	0	2	0	5	0	17	0	0	0	46
KERSHAW	4	6	11	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	0	19	0	0	1	49
LANCASTER	2	74	128	1	20	8	0	9	0	49	0	112	5	0	5	413
LAURENS	1	19	119	0	12	30	0	7	1	49	0	90	3	0	16	347
LEE	0	4	16	0	0	8	0	0	0	3	0	9	1	0	0	41
LEXINGTON	6	204	523	1	17	36	0	31	2	48	2	202	19	1	34	1,126
McCORMICK	0	16	27	1	0	2	0	2	0	5	0	7	0	0	7	67
MARION	7	51	34	0	7	5	1	4	0	13	0	32	0	0	7	161
MARLBORO	0	15	22	0	5	0	0	2	0	12	0	15	2	0	4	77
NEWBERRY	0	2	8	0	8	8	1	1	0	4	0	13	4	0	32	81
OCONEE	2	68	27	1	7	8	0	2	1	9	0	78	10	1	18	232
ORANGEBURG	1	7	24	1	9	6	0	1	1	22	0	38	4	0	2	116
PICKENS	0	10	117	0	6	25	0	11	1	36	0	106	1	0	9	322
RICHLAND	4	305	207	1	14	25	0	26	5	314	0	288	24	4	74	1,291
SALUDA	0	11	25	0	3	2	0	0	0	9	0	28	1	0	11	90
SPARTANBURG	9	23	439	9	16	183	0	46	8	334	0	445	21	4	71	1,608
SUMTER	1	32	34	0	2	27	0	5	1	20	0	82	5	0	49	258
UNION	0	48	114	0	5	10	0	3	0	29	0	52	3	0	2	266
WILLIAMSBURG	0	14	6	0	5	0	3	0	0	3	0	8	0	0	3	42
YORK	9	309	638	0	0	47	0	23	4	97	0	311	15	0	15	1,468
TRANSITIONAL	2	68	82	1	3	8	1	6	1	38	0	44	11	0	1	266
STATE TOTAL	127	2,170	5,076	36	401	889	128	413	52	2,426	10	4,582	307	24	759	17,400

* ED is comprised of referrals to Adult Education, GED and Lean & Earn high school equivalency programs

**TABLE 2-H
DNA COLLECTIONS AS OF JUNE 30, 2010**

COUNTY	TOTAL COLLECTIONS
Abbeville	42
Aiken	218
Allendale	17
Anderson	316
Bamberg	35
Barnwell	44
Beaufort	151
Berkeley	223
Calhoun	9
Charleston	439
Cherokee	84
Chester	38
Chesterfield	54
Clarendon	78
Colleton	88
Darlington	103
Dillon	56
Dorchester	156
Edgefield	40
Fairfield	27
Florence	247
Georgetown	98
Greenville	477
Greenwood	101
Hampton	19
Horry	399
Jasper	52
Kershaw	41
Lancaster	117
Laurens	101
Lee	25
Lexington	259
McCormick	20
Marion	49
Marlboro	58
Newberry	30
Oconee	136
Orangeburg	175
Pickens	162
Richland	510
Saluda	23
Spartanburg	503
Sumter	157
Union	48
Williamsburg	40
York	325
Central Office	3
STATE TOTAL	6,393

FIGURE 9
MONTHLY DNA COLLECTIONS



**OFFENDER DRUG TESTING
TABLE 3-H**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NO. POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	34	5	14.71%	10	37
AIKEN	652	135	20.71%	182	765
ALLEDALE	64	30	46.88%	43	74
ANDERSON	763	295	38.66%	414	873
BAMBERG	53	18	33.96%	25	56
BARNWELL	62	17	27.42%	24	78
BEAUFORT	367	100	27.25%	143	470
BERKELEY	694	201	28.96%	258	842
CALHOUN	110	50	45.45%	127	258
CHARLESTON	1,215	506	41.65%	666	1,302
CHEROKEE	265	108	40.75%	160	285
CHESTER	183	56	30.60%	91	233
CHESTERFIELD	186	55	29.57%	97	299
CLARENDON	93	20	21.51%	25	103
COLLETON	122	47	38.52%	69	140
DARLINGTON	239	85	35.56%	127	286
DILLON	60	11	18.33%	11	64
DORCHESTER	431	162	37.59%	242	577
EDGEFIELD	84	33	39.29%	44	93
FAIRFIELD	73	22	30.14%	28	76
FLORENCE	469	153	32.62%	223	548
GEORGETOWN	288	89	30.90%	116	331
GREENVILLE	1,118	447	39.98%	739	1,413
GREENWOOD	178	78	43.82%	99	194
HAMPTON	25	1	4.00%	1	26
HORRY	503	177	35.19%	268	582
JASPER	88	28	31.82%	38	105
KERSHAW	118	52	44.07%	78	184
LANCASTER	298	152	51.01%	221	376
LAURENS	314	130	41.40%	230	412
LEE	17	11	64.71%	12	17
LEXINGTON	559	186	33.27%	312	762
MCCORMICK	22	8	36.36%	12	24
MARION	87	30	34.48%	45	120
MARLBORO	97	49	50.52%	70	127
NEWBERRY	92	35	38.04%	50	104
OCONEE	123	47	38.21%	86	132
ORANGEBURG	318	141	44.34%	184	336
PICKENS	326	137	42.02%	224	371
RICHLAND	1,045	400	38.28%	542	1,288
SALUDA	116	21	18.10%	23	139
SPARTANBURG	1,140	432	37.89%	769	1,548
SUMTER	356	119	33.43%	143	381
UNION	154	67	43.51%	96	162
WILLIAMSBURG	65	30	46.15%	39	79
YORK	880	312	35.45%	435	1,095
CENTRAL	2	-	0.00%	-	2
STATE TOTAL	14,548	5,288	36.35%	7,841	17,769

**POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS
TABLE 4-H**

ADMISSIONS

CATEGORY	Probation		Parole		YOA		Total	
	FY 09	FY 10	FY 09	FY 10	FY 09	FY 10	FY 09	FY 10
<u>RACE:</u>								
BLACK	53%	51%	66%	66%	70%	68%	55%	53%
WHITE	45%	47%	32%	32%	29%	31%	43%	45%
OTHER	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
<u>GENDER:</u>								
MALE	79%	79%	89%	91%	96%	97%	81%	82%
FEMALE	21%	21%	11%	9%	4%	3%	19%	18%
<u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u>								
VIOLENT	3%	3%	43%	47%	2%	2%	6%	7%
NON-VIOLENT	97%	97%	57%	53%	98%	98%	94%	93%

ACTIVES

<u>LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:</u>	FY 09	FY 10						
STANDARD	76%	76%	71%	68%	59%	62%	74%	74%
HIGH RISK	21%	20%	13%	13%	18%	15%	20%	19%
INTENSIVE	1%	1%	10%	12%	22%	21%	3%	3%
SEX OFFENDER	2%	3%	7%	7%	2%	2%	3%	3%

CLOSURES

<u>CASE OUTCOME:</u>	FY 09	FY 10						
SUCCESSFUL	66%	65%	77%	84%	44%	44%	65%	65%
UNSUCCESSFUL	34%	35%	23%	16%	56%	56%	35%	35%

DRUG TESTING

	<u>FY 09</u>	<u>FY 10</u>
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	8,860	14,548
INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	3,427	5,288
% OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	38.68%	36.35%
TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS	5,290	7,841
NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED	12,064	17,769

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 10
ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON





FIGURE 11 PERCENTAGE OF VIOLENT ADMISSIONS BY STATUS



Early Release included in Parole for FY 96-98, FY 00-10