



---

**South Carolina  
Department of  
Probation, Parole and  
Pardon Services**

---

# **ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT**

---

**FISCAL YEAR  
2009**

---

**This document is the property of the  
South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services**

***Samuel B. Glover, Director***

**Post Office Box 50666  
Columbia, South Carolina 29250  
803-734-9220  
[www.dppps.sc.gov](http://www.dppps.sc.gov)**

**Unless otherwise noted, all data in this document was generated by the  
South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services**

**Office of Executive Programs**

**Rhonda Grant, Director  
Arnise Moultrie, Program Administrator  
Marchar Stagg, Statistical & Research Analyst**

---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

## SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Status.....	10
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense .....	11
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race .....	12
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race .....	13
TABLE 4-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	15
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	15
TABLE 5-A	Total Closures by Type .....	16
TABLE 6-A	Offender Drug Testing .....	17
TABLE 7-A	Total Admissions by Age .....	18

## SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense.....	21
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race.....	22
TABLE 3-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	23
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	24
TABLE 4-B	Probation Closures by Type.....	25
TABLE 5-B	Probation Admissions by Age .....	26

## SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense.....	29
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race.....	30
TABLE 3-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	31
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	32
TABLE 4-C	Parole Closures by Type .....	33
TABLE 5-C	Parole Admissions by Age .....	34

## SECTION D---YOA

TABLE 1-D	YOA Admissions by Type of Offense.....	37
TABLE 2-D	YOA Admissions by Gender & Race .....	38
TABLE 3-D	Active YOA Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	39
FIGURE 5	Active YOA Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	40
TABLE 4-D	YOA Closures by Type .....	41
TABLE 5-D	YOA Admissions by Age.....	42

**SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS**

TABLE 1-E Active Sex Offenders ..... 45  
FIGURE 6 Active Sex Offenders ..... 46

**SECTION F---VIOLATIONS**

TABLE 1-E Violations by County ..... 49  
TABLE 2-E Closings by Type ..... 50

**SECTION G---SUMMARY**

TABLE 1-G Population Characteristics ..... 53  
FIGURE 7 Admissions: 20-Year Comparison ..... 54  
FIGURE 8 Violent Admissions by Program ..... 55

## Message from the Director


The Fiscal Year 2009 Annual Statistical Report is attached for your review and reference. This report provides a basic statistical representation of the work of 672 employees of this Department including 400 Agents. While there have been many challenges over the course of the year, I am pleased to report that 66% of our probationers and 77% of our parolees successfully completed supervision. The Bureau of Justice Statistics of the U.S. Department of Justice (2008) reported nationally that approximately 48.6% of probationers and 49.2% of parolees successfully met the conditions of their supervision.

The South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 46,629 offenders who were under the legal jurisdiction of the Department in FY 09. The Department promotes public safety and offender compliance through ensuring that our interventions promote the offender's ability to make positive changes in his or her life. In addition, our interventions are responses focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some of the more commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents.

For the reader who wishes additional information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact Marchar Stagg in the Office of Executive Programs at 803-734-9220.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Samuel B. Glover". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Samuel" being more prominent than the last name "Glover".

Samuel B. Glover  
Director

---

## To the Reader

---

The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year. The description of Actives represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2009.

Fiscal year (FY) 2009 began on July 1, 2008 and ended on June 30, 2009. At the end of FY 2009, there were 46,629 offenders under the legal jurisdiction of the Department. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 31,697 offenders were under active supervision of the Department.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. Where possible, missing data or rounding has been indicated.

---

**SECTION A**

---

**TOTAL  
POPULATION**

---

**FISCAL YEAR 2009**

---

---

## TOTAL POPULATION

---

Tables 1-A through 9-A and Figures 1 and 2, represent admissions to the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS) during Fiscal Year 2008-2009 (FY 09). These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2009, there were 18,475 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. The counties of Charleston, Greenville, Richland and Spartanburg contributed the largest number of total admissions, together accounting for 33% of all admissions.

### **Explanation of Program Types**

**Probation:** Includes Probation, Probation Termination Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), Monitor for the Court, and Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (NGRI).

**Parole:** Includes Parole, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), early release program, and Community Supervision Program cases.

**YOA:** Includes offenders sentences under the Youth Offender Act.

Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense, violent versus non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act<sup>1</sup>. Total admissions during FY 2009 were predominately non-violent with only 6% admissions for violent offenses.

Table 3-A and Figure 1 illustrates total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male, 81%, with a racial composition of 55% black, 43% white, and 2% other.

---

<sup>1</sup>Abuse or Neglect of a Vulnerable Adult, Arson, 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Assault and Battery with Intent to Kill, Assault with Intent to Commit Criminal Sexual Conduct 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Burglary 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Carjacking, Child Abuse, Criminal Domestic Violence of a High and Aggravated Nature, Criminal Sexual Conduct 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Criminal Sexual Conduct with a Minor (or Attempted) 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, Drugs - Manufacture, Distribution or Etc. of Methamphetamine 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, or Subsequent Offenses, Drug Trafficking (44-53-0370, 44-53-0375), Engaging a Child for Sexual Performance, Kidnapping, Murder, Robbery (Armed, Attempted Armed), Voluntary Manslaughter, or Accessory Before the Fact to any of the above crimes.

Table 4-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2009. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the offender is seen by the Agent. Among all offenders, high level supervision represented 20%, standard supervision represented 74%, sex offender supervision represented 3%, followed by intensive supervision at 3%.

Table 5-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2009 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, is included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2009 was 65%. The unsuccessful rate, 35%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense and those instances when the offender was sentenced to prison on a new offense.

Table 6-A shows drug testing activity during FY 2009. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested, the number of individuals testing positive, the total number of positive tests and the number of times offenders were tested.

Table 7-A describes offender admissions by age category.

**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**  
**TABLE 1-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PROBATION</b>	<b>PERCENT PROBATION</b>	<b>PAROLE</b>	<b>PERCENT PAROLE</b>	<b>YOA</b>	<b>PERCENT YOA</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
ABBEVILLE	155	91%	12	7%	4	2%	171
AIKEN	464	84%	62	11%	27	5%	553
ALLENDALE	39	75%	3	6%	10	19%	52
ANDERSON	631	85%	68	9%	46	6%	745
BAMBERG	80	87%	6	7%	6	7%	92
BARNWELL	90	83%	10	9%	9	8%	109
BEAUFORT	365	88%	19	5%	31	7%	415
BERKELEY	466	81%	39	7%	69	12%	574
CALHOUN	49	83%	8	14%	2	3%	59
CHARLESTON	1,527	85%	94	5%	173	10%	1,794
CHEROKEE	271	85%	23	7%	23	7%	317
CHESTER	119	80%	15	10%	14	9%	148
CHESTERFIELD	114	83%	9	7%	15	11%	138
CLARENDON	102	83%	12	10%	9	7%	123
COLLETON	156	85%	14	8%	13	7%	183
DARLINGTON	188	78%	28	12%	26	11%	242
DILLON	82	67%	15	12%	25	20%	122
DORCHESTER	298	77%	34	9%	54	14%	386
EDGEFIELD	149	86%	14	8%	10	6%	173
FAIRFIELD	99	88%	4	4%	10	9%	113
FLORENCE	590	81%	59	8%	79	11%	728
GEORGETOWN	218	78%	36	13%	24	9%	278
GREENVILLE	1,472	87%	126	7%	94	6%	1,692
GREENWOOD	223	84%	27	10%	14	5%	264
HAMPTON	65	82%	5	6%	9	11%	79
HORRY	693	81%	103	12%	59	7%	855
JASPER	98	80%	10	8%	15	12%	123
KERSHAW	90	80%	15	13%	7	6%	112
LANCASTER	327	90%	16	4%	21	6%	364
LAURENS	355	88%	23	6%	27	7%	405
LEE	70	82%	7	8%	8	9%	85
LEXINGTON	636	85%	58	8%	52	7%	746
McCORMICK	51	80%	9	14%	4	6%	64
MARION	136	80%	17	10%	18	11%	171
MARLBORO	100	78%	15	12%	14	11%	129
NEWBERRY	165	83%	17	9%	18	9%	200
OCONEE	220	87%	26	10%	6	2%	252
ORANGEBURG	481	85%	32	6%	53	9%	566
PICKENS	384	90%	23	5%	19	4%	426
RICHLAND	1,043	80%	146	11%	113	9%	1,302
SALUDA	71	85%	8	10%	5	6%	84
SPARTANBURG	1,082	88%	80	6%	74	6%	1,236
SUMTER	358	78%	53	12%	47	10%	458
UNION	143	81%	16	9%	18	10%	177
WILLIAMSBURG	133	77%	20	12%	19	11%	172
YORK	777	82%	85	9%	81	9%	943
TRANSITIONAL	6	11%	32	58%	17	31%	55
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>15,431</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>1,553</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>1,491</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>18,475</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 2-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>OMNIBUS VIOLENT</b>	<b>PERCENT VIOLENT</b>	<b>NONVIOLENT</b>	<b>PERCENT NONVIOLENT</b>	<b>TOTAL ADMISSIONS</b>
ABBEVILLE	3	2%	168	98%	171
AIKEN	36	7%	517	93%	553
ALLENDALE	1	2%	51	98%	52
ANDERSON	69	9%	676	91%	745
BAMBERG	6	7%	86	93%	92
BARNWELL	11	10%	98	90%	109
BEAUFORT	13	3%	402	97%	415
BERKELEY	26	5%	548	95%	574
CALHOUN	5	8%	54	92%	59
CHARLESTON	60	3%	1,734	97%	1,794
CHEROKEE	24	8%	293	92%	317
CHESTER	8	5%	140	95%	148
CHESTERFIELD	3	2%	135	98%	138
CLARENDON	7	6%	116	94%	123
COLLETON	8	4%	175	96%	183
DARLINGTON	12	5%	230	95%	242
DILLON	6	5%	116	95%	122
DORCHESTER	20	5%	366	95%	386
EDGEFIELD	7	4%	166	96%	173
FAIRFIELD	3	3%	110	97%	113
FLORENCE	30	4%	698	96%	728
GEORGETOWN	13	5%	265	95%	278
GREENVILLE	94	6%	1,598	94%	1,692
GREENWOOD	11	4%	253	96%	264
HAMPTON	5	6%	74	94%	79
HORRY	55	6%	800	94%	855
JASPER	8	7%	115	93%	123
KERSHAW	9	8%	103	92%	112
LANCASTER	18	5%	346	95%	364
LAURENS	11	3%	394	97%	405
LEE	3	4%	82	96%	85
LEXINGTON	49	7%	697	93%	746
McCORMICK	5	8%	59	92%	64
MARION	6	4%	165	96%	171
MARLBORO	9	7%	120	93%	129
NEWBERRY	8	4%	192	96%	200
OCONEE	19	8%	233	92%	252
ORANGEBURG	23	4%	543	96%	566
PICKENS	26	6%	400	94%	426
RICHLAND	138	11%	1,164	89%	1,302
SALUDA	4	5%	80	95%	84
SPARTANBURG	104	8%	1,132	92%	1,236
SUMTER	36	8%	422	92%	458
UNION	8	5%	169	95%	177
WILLIAMSBURG	17	10%	155	90%	172
YORK	67	7%	876	93%	943
TRANSITIONAL	18	33%	37	67%	55
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,122</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>17,353</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>18,475</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**


**TABLE 3-A**

**N=18,475**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT OTHER</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	73%	27%	52%	1%	47%
AIKEN	78%	22%	45%	3%	52%
ALLENDALE	94%	6%	90%	0%	10%
ANDERSON	80%	20%	37%	2%	61%
BAMBERG	90%	10%	79%	0%	21%
BARNWELL	78%	22%	70%	1%	29%
BEAUFORT	79%	21%	61%	4%	35%
BERKELEY	83%	17%	47%	1%	52%
CALHOUN	85%	15%	63%	0%	37%
CHARLESTON	86%	14%	71%	1%	28%
CHEROKEE	78%	22%	33%	1%	66%
CHESTER	84%	16%	57%	0%	43%
CHESTERFIELD	88%	12%	59%	1%	40%
CLARENDON	84%	16%	76%	1%	23%
COLLETON	71%	29%	68%	1%	31%
DARLINGTON	82%	18%	62%	1%	38%
DILLON	85%	15%	61%	5%	34%
DORCHESTER	85%	15%	48%	2%	50%
EDGEFIELD	84%	16%	65%	2%	32%
FAIRFIELD	85%	15%	81%	0%	19%
FLORENCE	85%	15%	72%	0%	27%
GEORGETOWN	80%	20%	65%	1%	33%
GREENVILLE	77%	23%	45%	4%	51%
GREENWOOD	81%	19%	58%	2%	40%
HAMPTON	90%	10%	75%	3%	23%
HORRY	82%	18%	37%	2%	61%
JASPER	85%	15%	67%	1%	33%
KERSHAW	82%	18%	39%	1%	60%
LANCASTER	82%	18%	51%	3%	46%
LAURENS	79%	21%	42%	3%	55%
LEE	85%	15%	87%	0%	13%
LEXINGTON	79%	21%	39%	2%	60%
McCORMICK	78%	22%	69%	0%	31%
MARION	82%	18%	73%	1%	26%
MARLBORO	78%	22%	63%	8%	29%
NEWBERRY	81%	19%	70%	4%	27%
OCONEE	77%	23%	23%	1%	76%
ORANGEBURG	81%	19%	80%	0%	20%
PICKENS	79%	21%	21%	2%	77%
RICHLAND	82%	18%	79%	1%	19%
SALUDA	69%	31%	46%	6%	48%
SPARTANBURG	80%	20%	44%	1%	54%
SUMTER	82%	18%	77%	0%	23%
UNION	82%	18%	47%	0%	53%
WILLIAMSBURG	88%	12%	84%	0%	16%
YORK	79%	21%	45%	2%	53%
TRANSITIONAL	89%	11%	47%	4%	49%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>43%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**  
**FIGURE 1**




**ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2009**  
**TABLE 4-A**

COUNTY	STANDARD	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	75%	18%	2%	4%	211
AIKEN	76%	17%	3%	4%	1,175
ALLENDALE	66%	27%	4%	2%	92
ANDERSON	73%	21%	2%	4%	1,486
BAMBERG	86%	11%	1%	3%	146
BARNWELL	74%	16%	4%	5%	137
BEAUFORT	72%	23%	2%	3%	606
BERKELEY	78%	16%	2%	4%	1,004
CALHOUN	78%	16%	3%	3%	101
CHARLESTON	65%	29%	4%	2%	2,835
CHEROKEE	70%	23%	4%	3%	551
CHESTER	80%	16%	3%	2%	264
CHESTERFIELD	77%	13%	9%	1%	164
CLARENDON	82%	13%	3%	3%	219
COLLETON	81%	14%	3%	2%	381
DARLINGTON	74%	20%	3%	2%	369
DILLON	87%	5%	5%	4%	171
DORCHESTER	71%	22%	4%	3%	840
EDGEFIELD	78%	17%	2%	3%	267
FAIRFIELD	69%	27%	4%	0%	233
FLORENCE	75%	20%	3%	1%	1,057
GEORGETOWN	77%	16%	4%	4%	388
GREENVILLE	70%	24%	3%	2%	3,188
GREENWOOD	72%	19%	4%	4%	529
HAMPTON	75%	21%	1%	2%	122
HORRY	78%	14%	4%	4%	1,309
JASPER	77%	19%	2%	2%	194
KERSHAW	74%	19%	3%	5%	254
LANCASTER	66%	31%	2%	1%	625
LAURENS	74%	22%	2%	2%	714
LEE	35%	60%	3%	2%	144
LEXINGTON	77%	17%	3%	3%	1,493
McCORMICK	64%	26%	3%	7%	100
MARION	75%	19%	6%	0%	218
MARLBORO	83%	10%	6%	1%	162
NEWBERRY	74%	20%	3%	3%	329
OCONEE	75%	19%	2%	5%	446
ORANGEBURG	79%	16%	4%	2%	921
PICKENS	68%	25%	3%	4%	732
RICHLAND	81%	13%	3%	3%	2,653
SALUDA	65%	27%	3%	5%	131
SPARTANBURG	71%	22%	3%	3%	1,952
SUMTER	86%	9%	4%	1%	830
UNION	76%	15%	5%	3%	332
WILLIAMSBURG	68%	22%	6%	4%	277
YORK	77%	15%	4%	3%	1,286
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	0%	0%	59
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE OFFENDERS</b>	<b>23,450</b>	<b>6,309</b>	<b>1,036</b>	<b>902</b>	<b>31,697</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION  
JUNE 30, 2009  
FIGURE 2**



**CONTACT STANDARDS**

<b>STANDARD</b>	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>INTENSIVE</b>
1 Face-to-Face/Quarter	1 Progress Report/Month 1 Home Visit/Quarter	1 Progress Report/Week 1 home Visit/Month

**TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 5-A**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	93	58%	66	42%
AIKEN	323	67%	159	33%
ALLENDALE	33	70%	14	30%
ANDERSON	522	63%	305	37%
BAMBERG	33	60%	22	40%
BARNWELL	52	73%	19	27%
BEAUFORT	202	65%	109	35%
BERKELEY	450	78%	128	22%
CALHOUN	44	77%	13	23%
CHARLESTON	1041	69%	469	31%
CHEROKEE	168	66%	86	34%
CHESTER	130	68%	62	32%
CHESTERFIELD	82	52%	77	48%
CLARENDON	87	64%	50	36%
COLLETON	64	54%	54	46%
DARLINGTON	146	51%	142	49%
DILLON	99	59%	70	41%
DORCHESTER	268	69%	121	31%
EDGEFIELD	66	73%	25	27%
FAIRFIELD	87	73%	33	28%
FLORENCE	443	57%	330	43%
GEORGETOWN	189	65%	103	35%
GREENVILLE	1146	64%	657	36%
GREENWOOD	198	61%	128	39%
HAMPTON	41	53%	36	47%
HORRY	589	70%	248	30%
JASPER	52	51%	50	49%
KERSHAW	106	73%	39	27%
LANCASTER	170	69%	78	31%
LAURENS	235	65%	126	35%
LEE	62	67%	30	33%
LEXINGTON	518	72%	203	28%
MCCORMICK	15	56%	12	44%
MARION	108	56%	86	44%
MARLBORO	80	61%	51	39%
NEWBERRY	134	74%	46	26%
OCONEE	164	68%	76	32%
ORANGEBURG	263	69%	117	31%
PICKENS	222	69%	98	31%
RICHLAND	900	68%	421	32%
SALUDA	55	73%	20	27%
SPARTANBURG	665	51%	646	49%
SUMTER	309	70%	132	30%
UNION	136	67%	68	33%
WILLIAMSBURG	77	57%	59	43%
YORK	623	63%	369	37%
TRANSITIONAL	374	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>11,864</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>6,253</b>	<b>35%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**OFFENDER DRUG TESTING  
TABLE 6-A**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NO. POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	9	2	22.22%	3	9
AIKEN	395	90	22.78%	135	536
ALLENDALE	40	18	45.00%	25	46
ANDERSON	702	220	31.34%	331	918
BAMBERG	7	2	28.57%	3	7
BARNWELL	38	2	5.26%	3	47
BEAUFORT*	154	60	38.96%	75	193
BERKELEY*	362	135	37.29%	231	459
CALHOUN	71	30	42.25%	58	151
CHARLESTON	372	175	47.04%	249	438
CHEROKEE	77	24	31.17%	39	86
CHESTER	96	47	48.96%	67	123
CHESTERFIELD	75	33	44.00%	45	115
CLARENDON	63	14	22.22%	19	88
COLLETON	47	28	59.57%	45	58
DARLINGTON	197	60	30.46%	85	266
DILLON	24	5	20.83%	5	24
DORCHESTER*	295	101	34.24%	160	451
EDGEFIELD	143	53	37.06%	73	195
FAIRFIELD	79	27	34.18%	33	88
FLORENCE*	307	118	38.44%	173	364
GEORGETOWN	137	38	27.74%	53	167
GREENVILLE*	945	413	43.70%	690	1383
GREENWOOD	129	50	38.76%	83	183
HAMPTON	35	11	31.43%	15	40
HORRY	269	94	34.94%	140	303
JASPER	23	4	17.39%	4	31
KERSHAW	64	26	40.63%	42	86
LANCASTER	169	91	53.85%	145	216
LAURENS	169	77	45.56%	146	250
LEE	2	0	0.00%	0	2
LEXINGTON	324	158	48.77%	258	463
MCCORMICK	10	3	30.00%	3	10
MARION	40	24	60.00%	29	78
MARLBORO	56	22	39.29%	25	79
NEWBERRY	33	15	45.45%	15	40
OCONEE	64	37	57.81%	70	76
ORANGEBURG	235	90	38.30%	122	276
PICKENS	146	70	47.95%	104	173
RICHLAND	564	244	43.26%	372	898
SALUDA	91	25	27.47%	34	112
SPARTANBURG	801	300	37.45%	524	1153
SUMTER	109	63	57.80%	91	157
UNION	38	14	36.84%	18	41
WILLIAMSBURG	125	54	43.20%	87	176
YORK*	412	159	38.59%	227	530
CENTRAL	1	0	0.00%	0	1
SPARTANBURG REST. CTR.	61	27	44.26%	31	68
CHARLESTON REST. CTR	120	30	25.00%	50	248
COLUMBIA REST. CTR	135	44	32.59%	55	162
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>8,860</b>	<b>3,427</b>	<b>38.68%</b>	<b>5,290</b>	<b>12,064</b>

\* Includes Satellite Office

**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE**  
**TABLE 7-A**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	42	25%	129	75%
AIKEN	154	28%	399	72%
ALLENDALE	22	42%	30	58%
ANDERSON	198	27%	547	73%
BAMBERG	37	40%	55	60%
BARNWELL	32	29%	77	71%
BEAUFORT	154	37%	261	63%
BERKELEY	209	36%	365	64%
CALHOUN	15	25%	44	75%
CHARLESTON	613	34%	1,181	66%
CHEROKEE	84	26%	233	74%
CHESTER	57	39%	91	61%
CHESTERFIELD	51	37%	87	63%
CLARENDON	41	33%	82	67%
COLLETON	64	35%	119	65%
DARLINGTON	80	33%	162	67%
DILLON	50	41%	72	59%
DORCHESTER	145	38%	241	62%
EDGEFIELD	47	27%	126	73%
FAIRFIELD	35	31%	78	69%
FLORENCE	289	40%	439	60%
GEORGETOWN	110	40%	168	60%
GREENVILLE	427	25%	1,265	75%
GREENWOOD	73	28%	191	72%
HAMPTON	31	39%	48	61%
HORRY	264	31%	591	69%
JASPER	42	34%	81	66%
KERSHAW	31	28%	81	72%
LANCASTER	138	38%	226	62%
LAURENS	117	29%	288	71%
LEE	23	27%	62	73%
LEXINGTON	233	31%	513	69%
McCORMICK	20	31%	44	69%
MARION	66	39%	105	61%
MARLBORO	43	33%	86	67%
NEWBERRY	64	32%	136	68%
OCONEE	64	25%	188	75%
ORANGEBURG	190	34%	376	66%
PICKENS	138	32%	288	68%
RICHLAND	426	33%	876	67%
SALUDA	27	32%	57	68%
SPARTANBURG	338	27%	898	73%
SUMTER	153	33%	305	67%
UNION	66	37%	111	63%
WILLIAMSBURG	56	33%	116	67%
YORK	342	36%	601	64%
TRANSITIONAL	19	35%	36	65%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>5,920</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>12,555</b>	<b>68%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

---

## **SECTION B**

---

# **PROBATION**

---

**FISCAL YEAR 2009**

---

---

## PROBATION

---

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B and 2-B represent all probation admissions during FY 2009. Probation includes Probation, PTUP, Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, Monitor for the Court, and NGRI.

Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. For FY 2009, 3% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.

Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male, at 79%, with a total racial composition of 53% black, 2% other, and 45% white.

Table 3-B and Figure 3 describe the active probation offender population in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2009. These figures do not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences, Absconders, offenders transferred out of state and others who are not under the day-to-day supervision of the Department. Among probationers, those on high level supervision represented 21% of the population, standard supervision represented 76%, intensive supervision at 1% followed by sex offender supervision representing 2% of probationers.

Table 4-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). The overall success rate for probationers was 66%, which was slightly higher than the total offender population success rate of 65%.

Table 5-B reflects probation admissions by age category.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-B**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	155	100%	155
AIKEN	12	3%	452	97%	464
ALLENDALE	1	3%	38	97%	39
ANDERSON	40	6%	591	94%	631
BAMBERG	3	4%	77	96%	80
BARNWELL	5	6%	85	94%	90
BEAUFORT	6	2%	359	98%	365
BERKELEY	11	2%	455	98%	466
CALHOUN	2	4%	47	96%	49
CHARLESTON	16	1%	1511	99%	1,527
CHEROKEE	13	5%	258	95%	271
CHESTER	1	1%	118	99%	119
CHESTERFIELD	2	2%	112	98%	114
CLARENDON	2	2%	100	98%	102
COLLETON	3	2%	153	98%	156
DARLINGTON	3	2%	185	98%	188
DILLON	2	2%	80	98%	82
DORCHESTER	5	2%	293	98%	298
EDGEFIELD	3	2%	146	98%	149
FAIRFIELD	2	2%	97	98%	99
FLORENCE	8	1%	582	99%	590
GEORGETOWN	1	0%	217	100%	218
GREENVILLE	37	3%	1435	97%	1,472
GREENWOOD	2	1%	221	99%	223
HAMPTON	2	3%	63	97%	65
HORRY	13	2%	680	98%	693
JASPER	4	4%	94	96%	98
KERSHAW	1	1%	89	99%	90
LANCASTER	9	3%	318	97%	327
LAURENS	5	1%	350	99%	355
LEE	0	0%	70	100%	70
LEXINGTON	22	3%	614	97%	636
McCORMICK	1	2%	50	98%	51
MARION	1	1%	135	99%	136
MARLBORO	3	3%	97	97%	100
NEWBERRY	2	1%	163	99%	165
OCONEE	7	3%	213	97%	220
ORANGEBURG	8	2%	473	98%	481
PICKENS	12	3%	372	97%	384
RICHLAND	50	5%	993	95%	1,043
SALUDA	0	0%	71	100%	71
SPARTANBURG	59	5%	1023	95%	1,082
SUMTER	11	3%	347	97%	358
UNION	1	1%	142	99%	143
WILLIAMSBURG	4	3%	129	97%	133
YORK	27	3%	750	97%	777
TRANSITIONAL	2	33%	4	67%	6
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>15,007</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>15,431</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-B**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT OTHER</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	71%	29%	50%	1%	49%
AIKEN	76%	24%	44%	3%	53%
ALLENDALE	92%	8%	90%	0%	10%
ANDERSON	78%	22%	35%	2%	63%
BAMBERG	90%	10%	78%	0%	23%
BARNWELL	77%	23%	67%	1%	32%
BEAUFORT	77%	23%	61%	3%	36%
BERKELEY	80%	20%	46%	1%	53%
CALHOUN	84%	16%	59%	0%	41%
CHARLESTON	83%	17%	69%	1%	30%
CHEROKEE	75%	25%	31%	1%	69%
CHESTER	83%	17%	61%	0%	39%
CHESTERFIELD	87%	13%	55%	2%	43%
CLARENDON	82%	18%	76%	1%	23%
COLLETON	68%	32%	63%	1%	35%
DARLINGTON	79%	21%	57%	1%	42%
DILLON	82%	18%	61%	6%	33%
DORCHESTER	82%	18%	45%	1%	54%
EDGEFIELD	84%	16%	62%	3%	35%
FAIRFIELD	85%	15%	82%	0%	18%
FLORENCE	83%	17%	71%	0%	29%
GEORGETOWN	77%	23%	61%	1%	37%
GREENVILLE	75%	25%	42%	4%	54%
GREENWOOD	79%	21%	56%	2%	42%
HAMPTON	88%	12%	69%	3%	28%
HORRY	79%	21%	33%	3%	65%
JASPER	82%	18%	62%	1%	37%
KERSHAW	80%	20%	37%	0%	63%
LANCASTER	80%	20%	50%	3%	47%
LAURENS	77%	23%	39%	2%	58%
LEE	83%	17%	84%	0%	16%
LEXINGTON	77%	23%	37%	2%	61%
McCORMICK	75%	25%	67%	0%	33%
MARION	78%	22%	68%	1%	30%
MARLBORO	75%	25%	59%	7%	34%
NEWBERRY	79%	21%	66%	4%	30%
OCONEE	77%	23%	22%	1%	77%
ORANGEBURG	78%	22%	79%	0%	21%
PICKENS	77%	23%	20%	2%	78%
RICHLAND	81%	19%	78%	2%	20%
SALUDA	65%	35%	44%	4%	52%
SPARTANBURG	78%	22%	42%	2%	57%
SUMTER	78%	22%	73%	0%	27%
UNION	81%	19%	45%	0%	55%
WILLIAMSBURG	86%	14%	83%	0%	17%
YORK	76%	24%	42%	2%	56%
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	33%	0%	67%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>45%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2009**  
**TABLE 3-B**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>STANDARD</b>	<b>HIGH</b>	<b>INTENSIVE</b>	<b>SEX OFFENDER</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
ABBEVILLE	75%	19%	2%	4%	187
AIKEN	76%	18%	1%	4%	1,001
ALLENDALE	66%	30%	1%	3%	77
ANDERSON	74%	22%	1%	3%	1,299
BAMBERG	87%	11%	0%	2%	127
BARNWELL	77%	17%	0%	6%	104
BEAUFORT	75%	22%	1%	2%	522
BERKELEY	80%	16%	1%	4%	830
CALHOUN	77%	17%	2%	3%	86
CHARLESTON	67%	30%	2%	2%	2,365
CHEROKEE	71%	25%	1%	2%	473
CHESTER	83%	16%	0%	2%	223
CHESTERFIELD	82%	15%	4%	0%	130
CLARENDON	85%	12%	2%	1%	183
COLLETON	84%	13%	2%	1%	321
DARLINGTON	76%	22%	0%	2%	293
DILLON	93%	5%	0%	2%	126
DORCHESTER	73%	23%	1%	3%	721
EDGEFIELD	78%	17%	1%	4%	240
FAIRFIELD	71%	28%	1%	0%	206
FLORENCE	77%	22%	0%	1%	867
GEORGETOWN	80%	16%	0%	3%	320
GREENVILLE	71%	26%	1%	2%	2,798
GREENWOOD	74%	21%	2%	3%	452
HAMPTON	79%	19%	0%	2%	99
HORRY	82%	14%	1%	3%	1,056
JASPER	80%	18%	0%	2%	156
KERSHAW	75%	19%	1%	5%	207
LANCASTER	66%	33%	1%	1%	562
LAURENS	74%	24%	1%	2%	617
LEE	37%	61%	1%	1%	109
LEXINGTON	79%	17%	2%	2%	1,276
McCORMICK	66%	27%	1%	6%	79
MARION	78%	20%	2%	1%	169
MARLBORO	92%	4%	2%	2%	123
NEWBERRY	75%	21%	2%	2%	280
OCONEE	77%	19%	1%	4%	391
ORANGEBURG	81%	17%	1%	1%	763
PICKENS	68%	27%	1%	4%	671
RICHLAND	83%	14%	1%	2%	2,109
SALUDA	65%	30%	1%	5%	108
SPARTANBURG	72%	23%	2%	3%	1,711
SUMTER	88%	10%	1%	1%	635
UNION	79%	14%	3%	4%	282
WILLIAMSBURG	73%	23%	0%	3%	218
YORK	79%	17%	1%	3%	1,066
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	0%	0%	56
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE PROBATIONERS</b>	<b>20,154</b>	<b>5,575</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>667</b>	<b>26,694</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION  
JUNE 30, 2009  
FIGURE 3**



**PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-B**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	83	56%	64	44%
AIKEN	278	66%	143	34%
ALLENDALE	26	74%	9	26%
ANDERSON	442	63%	262	37%
BAMBERG	27	63%	16	37%
BARNWELL	41	69%	18	31%
BEAUFORT	180	66%	93	34%
BERKELEY	405	80%	103	20%
CALHOUN	37	77%	11	23%
CHARLESTON	893	72%	348	28%
CHEROKEE	147	68%	68	32%
CHESTER	114	66%	59	34%
CHESTERFIELD	71	54%	60	46%
CLARENDON	69	65%	37	35%
COLLETON	50	55%	41	45%
DARLINGTON	127	53%	112	47%
DILLON	83	59%	57	41%
DORCHESTER	236	70%	100	30%
EDGEFIELD	61	76%	19	24%
FAIRFIELD	77	73%	29	27%
FLORENCE	391	59%	268	41%
GEORGETOWN	152	63%	89	37%
GREENVILLE	993	63%	591	37%
GREENWOOD	179	62%	110	38%
HAMPTON	36	54%	31	46%
HORRY	513	71%	205	29%
JASPER	34	47%	38	53%
KERSHAW	90	76%	28	24%
LANCASTER	158	71%	66	29%
LAURENS	202	67%	101	33%
LEE	52	68%	24	32%
LEXINGTON	454	72%	177	28%
MCCORMICK	13	57%	10	43%
MARION	82	54%	71	46%
MARLBORO	60	63%	35	37%
NEWBERRY	116	74%	40	26%
OCONEE	144	72%	57	28%
ORANGEBURG	213	70%	92	30%
PICKENS	201	72%	78	28%
RICHLAND	724	67%	352	33%
SALUDA	45	75%	15	25%
SPARTANBURG	590	51%	563	49%
SUMTER	255	70%	107	30%
UNION	110	65%	58	35%
WILLIAMSBURG	63	57%	47	43%
YORK	511	65%	280	35%
TRANSITIONAL	264	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>10,092</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>5,182</b>	<b>34%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE  
TABLE 5-B**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	38	25%	117	75%
AIKEN	123	27%	341	73%
ALLENDALE	17	44%	22	56%
ANDERSON	151	24%	480	76%
BAMBERG	30	38%	50	63%
BARNWELL	21	23%	69	77%
BEAUFORT	121	33%	244	67%
BERKELEY	145	31%	321	69%
CALHOUN	13	27%	36	73%
CHARLESTON	451	30%	1076	70%
CHEROKEE	63	23%	208	77%
CHESTER	46	39%	73	61%
CHESTERFIELD	38	33%	76	67%
CLARENDON	30	29%	72	71%
COLLETON	53	34%	103	66%
DARLINGTON	57	30%	131	70%
DILLON	27	33%	55	67%
DORCHESTER	90	30%	208	70%
EDGEFIELD	36	24%	113	76%
FAIRFIELD	28	28%	71	72%
FLORENCE	206	35%	384	65%
GEORGETOWN	81	37%	137	63%
GREENVILLE	342	23%	1130	77%
GREENWOOD	60	27%	163	73%
HAMPTON	25	38%	40	62%
HORRY	195	28%	498	72%
JASPER	26	27%	72	73%
KERSHAW	25	28%	65	72%
LANCASTER	118	36%	209	64%
LAURENS	90	25%	265	75%
LEE	13	19%	57	81%
LEXINGTON	177	28%	459	72%
McCORMICK	17	33%	34	67%
MARION	50	37%	86	63%
MARLBORO	30	30%	70	70%
NEWBERRY	44	27%	121	73%
OCONEE	57	26%	163	74%
ORANGEBURG	150	31%	331	69%
PICKENS	120	31%	264	69%
RICHLAND	308	30%	735	70%
SALUDA	21	30%	50	70%
SPARTANBURG	267	25%	815	75%
SUMTER	109	30%	249	70%
UNION	48	34%	95	66%
WILLIAMSBURG	40	30%	93	70%
YORK	260	33%	517	67%
TRANSITIONAL	0	0%	6	100%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>4,457</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>10,974</b>	<b>71%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

---

# **SECTION C**

---

# **PAROLE**

---

**FISCAL YEAR 2009**

---

---

## PAROLE

---

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his/her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he/she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration. The parole category also includes DJJ early release and Community Supervision Program offenders

Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. A larger percent of parole admissions, 43%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 3% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B) and 2% for YOA (see Table 1-D) admissions.

Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males, 89%, with a racial composition of 66% black, 2% other, and 32% white.

Table 3-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2009. These figures do not include indirect supervision offenders, such as Absconders, offenders transferred out of state and others who are not under the day-to-day supervision of the Department. Among parolees, standard supervision offenders represented 71% of the parolee population, followed by high level at 13%. Intensive supervision accounted for 10% of the parolee population and sex offender supervision was 7%.

Table 4-C presents parole case closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). The overall success rate for parolees (77%) was higher than that of probationers (66%, See Table 4-B).

Table 5-C describes the parole population by age category.

**PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>OMNIBUS VIOLENT</b>	<b>PERCENT VIOLENT</b>	<b>NONVIOLENT</b>	<b>PERCENT NONVIOLENT</b>	<b>TOTAL ADM.</b>
ABBEVILLE	3	25%	9	75%	12
AIKEN	24	39%	38	61%	62
ALLENDALE	0	0%	3	100%	3
ANDERSON	28	41%	40	59%	68
BAMBERG	3	50%	3	50%	6
BARNWELL	6	60%	4	40%	10
BEAUFORT	7	37%	12	63%	19
BERKELEY	14	36%	25	64%	39
CALHOUN	3	38%	5	63%	8
CHARLESTON	44	47%	50	53%	94
CHEROKEE	11	48%	12	52%	23
CHESTER	7	47%	8	53%	15
CHESTERFIELD	1	11%	8	89%	9
CLARENDON	4	33%	8	67%	12
COLLETON	5	36%	9	64%	14
DARLINGTON	9	32%	19	68%	28
DILLON	4	27%	11	73%	15
DORCHESTER	15	44%	19	56%	34
EDGEFIELD	3	21%	11	79%	14
FAIRFIELD	1	25%	3	75%	4
FLORENCE	22	37%	37	63%	59
GEORGETOWN	12	33%	24	67%	36
GREENVILLE	55	44%	71	56%	126
GREENWOOD	9	33%	18	67%	27
HAMPTON	3	60%	2	40%	5
HORRY	42	41%	61	59%	103
JASPER	4	40%	6	60%	10
KERSHAW	8	53%	7	47%	15
LANCASTER	8	50%	8	50%	16
LAURENS	6	26%	17	74%	23
LEE	3	43%	4	57%	7
LEXINGTON	27	47%	31	53%	58
McCORMICK	4	44%	5	56%	9
MARION	5	29%	12	71%	17
MARLBORO	6	40%	9	60%	15
NEWBERRY	5	29%	12	71%	17
OCONEE	12	46%	14	54%	26
ORANGEBURG	14	44%	18	56%	32
PICKENS	12	52%	11	48%	23
RICHLAND	85	58%	61	42%	146
SALUDA	3	38%	5	63%	8
SPARTANBURG	43	54%	37	46%	80
SUMTER	25	47%	28	53%	53
UNION	7	44%	9	56%	16
WILLIAMSBURG	12	60%	8	40%	20
YORK	32	38%	53	62%	85
TRANSITIONAL	16	50%	16	50%	32
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>881</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>1,553</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT OTHER</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	92%	8%	83%	0%	17%
AIKEN	84%	16%	39%	6%	55%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
ANDERSON	88%	12%	49%	3%	49%
BAMBERG	83%	17%	83%	0%	17%
BARNWELL	70%	30%	90%	0%	10%
BEAUFORT	95%	5%	68%	11%	21%
BERKELEY	92%	8%	51%	0%	49%
CALHOUN	88%	13%	75%	0%	25%
CHARLESTON	96%	4%	86%	0%	14%
CHEROKEE	91%	9%	52%	4%	43%
CHESTER	80%	20%	27%	0%	73%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	89%	0%	11%
CLARENDON	83%	17%	75%	0%	25%
COLLETON	79%	21%	93%	0%	7%
DARLINGTON	96%	4%	75%	0%	25%
DILLON	93%	7%	73%	0%	27%
DORCHESTER	88%	12%	53%	6%	41%
EDGEFIELD	86%	14%	86%	0%	14%
FAIRFIELD	50%	50%	75%	0%	25%
FLORENCE	90%	10%	71%	2%	27%
GEORGETOWN	92%	8%	72%	0%	28%
GREENVILLE	86%	14%	62%	2%	36%
GREENWOOD	89%	11%	67%	4%	30%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
HORRY	95%	5%	57%	3%	40%
JASPER	90%	10%	90%	0%	10%
KERSHAW	87%	13%	60%	7%	33%
LANCASTER	94%	6%	69%	6%	25%
LAURENS	96%	4%	78%	0%	22%
LEE	86%	14%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	84%	16%	43%	2%	55%
McCORMICK	89%	11%	78%	0%	22%
MARION	94%	6%	88%	0%	12%
MARLBORO	80%	20%	73%	13%	13%
NEWBERRY	82%	18%	76%	6%	18%
OCONEE	73%	27%	27%	0%	73%
ORANGEBURG	94%	6%	81%	0%	19%
PICKENS	91%	9%	17%	9%	74%
RICHLAND	87%	13%	87%	1%	12%
SALUDA	88%	13%	50%	25%	25%
SPARTANBURG	90%	10%	60%	0%	40%
SUMTER	96%	4%	92%	0%	8%
UNION	81%	19%	50%	0%	50%
WILLIAMSBURG	90%	10%	95%	0%	5%
YORK	89%	11%	59%	4%	38%
TRANSITIONAL	88%	13%	53%	6%	41%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>32%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION  
AS OF JUNE 30, 2009  
TABLE 3-C**

COUNTY	STANDARD	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	80%	10%	5%	5%	20
AIKEN	73%	11%	8%	8%	118
ALLENDALE	75%	25%	0%	0%	4
ANDERSON	68%	17%	6%	10%	136
BAMBERG	64%	14%	7%	14%	14
BARNWELL	67%	10%	19%	5%	21
BEAUFORT	71%	15%	2%	12%	41
BERKELEY	69%	14%	7%	10%	70
CALHOUN	80%	10%	10%	0%	10
CHARLESTON	64%	18%	10%	8%	194
CHEROKEE	77%	2%	16%	5%	44
CHESTER	76%	20%	0%	4%	25
CHESTERFIELD	71%	0%	21%	7%	14
CLARENDON	60%	20%	4%	16%	25
COLLETON	71%	10%	10%	10%	31
DARLINGTON	67%	12%	16%	5%	43
DILLON	76%	5%	5%	14%	21
DORCHESTER	61%	20%	9%	9%	44
EDGEFIELD	79%	5%	16%	0%	19
FAIRFIELD	64%	21%	14%	0%	14
FLORENCE	68%	14%	11%	7%	117
GEORGETOWN	55%	20%	20%	5%	40
GREENVILLE	71%	14%	10%	5%	281
GREENWOOD	59%	8%	20%	14%	51
HAMPTON	56%	33%	0%	11%	9
HORRY	67%	10%	14%	9%	150
JASPER	71%	19%	10%	0%	21
KERSHAW	69%	19%	4%	8%	26
LANCASTER	88%	6%	6%	0%	33
LAURENS	70%	13%	9%	9%	56
LEE	30%	55%	5%	10%	20
LEXINGTON	72%	15%	6%	7%	137
McCORMICK	60%	20%	10%	10%	20
MARION	79%	9%	12%	0%	34
MARLBORO	67%	26%	7%	0%	27
NEWBERRY	71%	14%	7%	7%	28
OCONEE	63%	13%	13%	13%	40
ORANGEBURG	72%	9%	13%	5%	76
PICKENS	73%	14%	5%	9%	44
RICHLAND	76%	8%	10%	5%	338
SALUDA	64%	18%	9%	9%	11
SPARTANBURG	75%	13%	9%	3%	175
SUMTER	80%	5%	12%	3%	105
UNION	67%	11%	22%	0%	27
WILLIAMSBURG	51%	17%	17%	14%	35
YORK	80%	4%	11%	6%	139
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	0%	0%	2
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>7%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE PAROLEES</b>	<b>2,086</b>	<b>371</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>2,950</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION  
JUNE 30, 2009  
FIGURE 4**



**PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL RATE</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL RATE</b>
ABBEVILLE	7	78%	2	22%
AIKEN	33	87%	5	13%
ALLENDALE	4	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	64	74%	22	26%
BAMBERG	3	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	6	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	12	100%	0	0%
BERKELEY	24	86%	4	14%
CALHOUN	4	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	75	73%	28	27%
CHEROKEE	14	82%	3	18%
CHESTER	8	89%	1	11%
CHESTERFIELD	6	55%	5	45%
CLARENDON	11	92%	1	8%
COLLETON	5	71%	2	29%
DARLINGTON	14	47%	16	53%
DILLON	11	79%	3	21%
DORCHESTER	13	72%	5	28%
EDGEFIELD	4	50%	4	50%
FAIRFIELD	5	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	37	76%	12	24%
GEORGETOWN	29	81%	7	19%
GREENVILLE	114	79%	30	21%
GREENWOOD	12	55%	10	45%
HAMPTON	3	75%	1	25%
HORRY	62	67%	30	33%
JASPER	12	92%	1	8%
KERSHAW	12	75%	4	25%
LANCASTER	11	92%	1	8%
LAURENS	25	74%	9	26%
LEE	7	88%	1	13%
LEXINGTON	48	79%	13	21%
MCCORMICK	1	50%	1	50%
MARION	18	78%	5	22%
MARLBORO	13	76%	4	24%
NEWBERRY	13	87%	2	13%
OCONEE	13	65%	7	35%
ORANGEBURG	23	74%	8	26%
PICKENS	16	64%	9	36%
RICHLAND	116	85%	21	15%
SALUDA	7	64%	4	36%
SPARTANBURG	63	73%	23	27%
SUMTER	32	78%	9	22%
UNION	18	86%	3	14%
WILLIAMSBURG	8	67%	4	33%
YORK	91	67%	45	33%
TRANSITIONAL	95	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,222</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>23%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE  
TABLE 5-C**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	12	100%
AIKEN	9	15%	53	85%
ALLENDALE	1	33%	2	67%
ANDERSON	8	12%	60	88%
BAMBERG	1	17%	5	83%
BARNWELL	2	20%	8	80%
BEAUFORT	3	16%	16	84%
BERKELEY	5	13%	34	87%
CALHOUN	1	13%	7	88%
CHARLESTON	16	17%	78	83%
CHEROKEE	1	4%	22	96%
CHESTER	0	0%	15	100%
CHESTERFIELD	1	11%	8	89%
CLARENDON	3	25%	9	75%
COLLETON	3	21%	11	79%
DARLINGTON	2	7%	26	93%
DILLON	2	13%	13	87%
DORCHESTER	7	21%	27	79%
EDGEFIELD	2	14%	12	86%
FAIRFIELD	1	25%	3	75%
FLORENCE	10	17%	49	83%
GEORGETOWN	6	17%	30	83%
GREENVILLE	10	8%	116	92%
GREENWOOD	0	0%	27	100%
HAMPTON	0	0%	5	100%
HORRY	16	16%	87	84%
JASPER	2	20%	8	80%
KERSHAW	2	13%	13	87%
LANCASTER	3	19%	13	81%
LAURENS	6	26%	17	74%
LEE	3	43%	4	57%
LEXINGTON	9	16%	49	84%
McCORMICK	1	11%	8	89%
MARION	1	6%	16	94%
MARLBORO	1	7%	14	93%
NEWBERRY	3	18%	14	82%
OCONEE	1	4%	25	96%
ORANGEBURG	3	9%	29	91%
PICKENS	1	4%	22	96%
RICHLAND	27	18%	119	82%
SALUDA	1	13%	7	88%
SPARTANBURG	10	13%	70	88%
SUMTER	6	11%	47	89%
UNION	3	19%	13	81%
WILLIAMSBURG	1	5%	19	95%
YORK	13	15%	72	85%
TRANSITIONAL	5	16%	27	84%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>1,341</b>	<b>86%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

---

## **SECTION D**

---

# **YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS**

---

**FISCAL YEAR 2009**

---

---

## YOUTHFUL OFFENDER RELEASE

---

Inmates ages 17 through 24, sentenced under the state's Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration (not to exceed six years) within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC), may be conditionally released prior to that time, based on offense, category, adjustment, and evaluation while incarcerated.

Table 1-D displays YOA admissions by type of offense. YOA violent admissions of 2% is less than for those admitted to probation at 3% (See Table 1-B).

Table 2-D illustrates YOA admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (96%) and black (70%).

Table 3-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for YOA conditional release in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2009. Eighteen percent (18%) are supervised at a high level. Intensive level supervision accounted for 22%, while 59% are supervised at standard level and 2% at the sex offender level.

Table 4-D shows YOA offenders are more inclined to close unsuccessfully (56%) than the general parole population (23%, see Table 4-C).

Table 5-D describes YOA admissions by age category.

**YOA ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE  
TABLE 1-D**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADM.
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	4	100%	4
AIKEN	0	0%	27	100%	27
ALLENDALE	0	0%	10	100%	10
ANDERSON	1	2%	45	98%	46
BAMBERG	0	0%	6	100%	6
BARNWELL	0	0%	9	100%	9
BEAUFORT	0	0%	31	100%	31
BERKELEY	1	1%	68	99%	69
CALHOUN	0	0%	2	100%	2
CHARLESTON	0	0%	173	100%	173
CHEROKEE	0	0%	23	100%	23
CHESTER	0	0%	14	100%	14
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	15	100%	15
CLARENDON	1	11%	8	89%	9
COLLETON	0	0%	13	100%	13
DARLINGTON	0	0%	26	100%	26
DILLON	0	0%	25	100%	25
DORCHESTER	0	0%	54	100%	54
EDGEFIELD	1	10%	9	90%	10
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	10	100%	10
FLORENCE	0	0%	79	100%	79
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	24	100%	24
GREENVILLE	2	2%	92	98%	94
GREENWOOD	0	0%	14	100%	14
HAMPTON	0	0%	9	100%	9
HORRY	0	0%	59	100%	59
JASPER	0	0%	15	100%	15
KERSHAW	0	0%	7	100%	7
LANCASTER	1	5%	20	95%	21
LAURENS	0	0%	27	100%	27
LEE	0	0%	8	100%	8
LEXINGTON	0	0%	52	100%	52
McCORMICK	0	0%	4	100%	4
MARION	0	0%	18	100%	18
MARLBORO	0	0%	14	100%	14
NEWBERRY	1	6%	17	94%	18
OCONEE	0	0%	6	100%	6
ORANGEBURG	1	2%	52	98%	53
PICKENS	2	11%	17	89%	19
RICHLAND	3	3%	110	97%	113
SALUDA	1	20%	4	80%	5
SPARTANBURG	2	3%	72	97%	74
SUMTER	0	0%	47	100%	47
UNION	0	0%	18	100%	18
WILLIAMSBURG	1	5%	18	95%	19
YORK	8	10%	73	90%	81
TRANSITIONAL	0	0%	17	100%	17
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1,465</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>1,491</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**YOA ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-D**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>PERCENT MALE</b>	<b>PERCENT FEMALE</b>	<b>PERCENT BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENT OTHER</b>	<b>PERCENT WHITE</b>
ABBEVILLE	75%	25%	25%	0%	75%
AIKEN	100%	0%	78%	0%	22%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	93%	7%	43%	0%	57%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	78%	0%	22%
BEAUFORT	100%	0%	65%	10%	26%
BERKELEY	96%	4%	51%	1%	48%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
CHARLESTON	99%	1%	84%	0%	16%
CHEROKEE	96%	4%	43%	0%	57%
CHESTER	100%	0%	57%	0%	43%
CHESTERFIELD	93%	7%	67%	0%	33%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	78%	0%	22%
COLLETON	100%	0%	92%	0%	8%
DARLINGTON	92%	8%	77%	4%	19%
DILLON	92%	8%	56%	4%	40%
DORCHESTER	100%	0%	63%	2%	35%
EDGEFIELD	90%	10%	80%	0%	20%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
FLORENCE	97%	3%	82%	0%	18%
GEORGETOWN	96%	4%	92%	0%	8%
GREENVILLE	97%	3%	67%	2%	31%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	71%	0%	29%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
HORRY	98%	2%	49%	0%	51%
JASPER	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
KERSHAW	100%	0%	29%	0%	71%
LANCASTER	100%	0%	62%	0%	38%
LAURENS	93%	7%	52%	11%	37%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	96%	4%	52%	2%	46%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
MARION	100%	0%	94%	0%	6%
MARLBORO	93%	7%	79%	7%	14%
NEWBERRY	94%	6%	94%	0%	6%
OCONEE	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
ORANGEBURG	96%	4%	89%	0%	11%
PICKENS	95%	5%	32%	0%	68%
RICHLAND	89%	11%	82%	0%	18%
SALUDA	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
SPARTANBURG	96%	4%	61%	0%	39%
SUMTER	98%	2%	87%	0%	13%
UNION	94%	6%	56%	0%	44%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	84%	0%	16%
YORK	96%	4%	54%	1%	44%
TRANSITIONAL	88%	12%	41%	0%	59%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>29%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2009  
TABLE 3-D**

COUNTY	STANDARD	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	75%	0%	25%	0%	4
AIKEN	66%	11%	20%	4%	56
ALLENDALE	64%	9%	27%	0%	11
ANDERSON	53%	16%	25%	6%	51
BAMBERG	100%	0%	0%	0%	5
BARNWELL	67%	17%	17%	0%	12
BEAUFORT	47%	35%	16%	2%	43
BERKELEY	71%	19%	9%	1%	104
CALHOUN	100%	0%	0%	0%	5
CHARLESTON	47%	31%	20%	2%	276
CHEROKEE	44%	18%	24%	15%	34
CHESTER	50%	6%	44%	0%	16
CHESTERFIELD	55%	15%	30%	0%	20
CLARENDON	82%	9%	9%	0%	11
COLLETON	66%	24%	10%	0%	29
DARLINGTON	67%	18%	12%	3%	33
DILLON	63%	4%	33%	0%	24
DORCHESTER	60%	9%	29%	1%	75
EDGEFIELD	50%	38%	13%	0%	8
FAIRFIELD	46%	23%	31%	0%	13
FLORENCE	60%	15%	23%	1%	73
GEORGETOWN	64%	7%	25%	4%	28
GREENVILLE	51%	16%	33%	0%	109
GREENWOOD	69%	4%	23%	4%	26
HAMPTON	64%	29%	7%	0%	14
HORRY	57%	17%	22%	3%	103
JASPER	59%	29%	12%	0%	17
KERSHAW	67%	10%	19%	5%	21
LANCASTER	43%	20%	33%	3%	30
LAURENS	80%	10%	7%	2%	41
LEE	27%	53%	20%	0%	15
LEXINGTON	59%	14%	24%	4%	80
McCORMICK	0%	100%	0%	0%	1
MARION	40%	33%	27%	0%	15
MARLBORO	25%	33%	42%	0%	12
NEWBERRY	62%	14%	14%	10%	21
OCONEE	67%	27%	0%	7%	15
ORANGEBURG	65%	15%	21%	0%	82
PICKENS	41%	12%	41%	6%	17
RICHLAND	72%	11%	17%	0%	206
SALUDA	67%	8%	17%	8%	12
SPARTANBURG	42%	17%	39%	2%	66
SUMTER	79%	11%	9%	1%	90
UNION	48%	35%	17%	0%	23
WILLIAMSBURG	46%	17%	38%	0%	24
YORK	53%	15%	32%	0%	81
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	0%	0%	1
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>2%</b>	
<b>ACTIVE YOAS</b>	<b>1,210</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2,053</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ACTIVE YOA OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION  
JUNE 30, 2009  
FIGURE 5**



**YOA CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 4-D**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>SUCCESSFUL RATE</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL</b>	<b>UNSUCCESSFUL RATE</b>
ABBEVILLE	3	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	12	52%	11	48%
ALLENDALE	3	38%	5	63%
ANDERSON	16	43%	21	57%
BAMBERG	3	33%	6	67%
BARNWELL	5	83%	1	17%
BEAUFORT	10	38%	16	62%
BERKELEY	21	50%	21	50%
CALHOUN	3	60%	2	40%
CHARLESTON	73	44%	93	56%
CHEROKEE	7	32%	15	68%
CHESTER	8	80%	2	20%
CHESTERFIELD	5	29%	12	71%
CLARENDON	7	37%	12	63%
COLLETON	9	45%	11	55%
DARLINGTON	5	26%	14	74%
DILLON	5	33%	10	67%
DORCHESTER	19	54%	16	46%
EDGEFIELD	1	33%	2	67%
FAIRFIELD	5	56%	4	44%
FLORENCE	15	23%	50	77%
GEORGETOWN	8	53%	7	47%
GREENVILLE	39	52%	36	48%
GREENWOOD	7	47%	8	53%
HAMPTON	2	33%	4	67%
HORRY	14	52%	13	48%
JASPER	6	35%	11	65%
KERSHAW	4	36%	7	64%
LANCASTER	1	8%	11	92%
LAURENS	8	33%	16	67%
LEE	3	38%	5	63%
LEXINGTON	16	55%	13	45%
MCCORMICK	1	50%	1	50%
MARION	8	44%	10	56%
MARLBORO	7	37%	12	63%
NEWBERRY	5	56%	4	44%
OCONEE	7	37%	12	63%
ORANGEBURG	27	61%	17	39%
PICKENS	5	31%	11	69%
RICHLAND	60	56%	48	44%
SALUDA	3	75%	1	25%
SPARTANBURG	12	17%	60	83%
SUMTER	22	58%	16	42%
UNION	8	53%	7	47%
WILLIAMSBURG	6	43%	8	57%
YORK	21	32%	44	68%
TRANSITIONAL	15	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>706</b>	<b>56%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**YOA ADMISSIONS BY AGE  
TABLE 5-D**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	4	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	22	81%	5	19%
ALLENDALE	4	40%	6	60%
ANDERSON	39	85%	7	15%
BAMBERG	6	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	9	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	30	97%	1	3%
BERKELEY	59	86%	10	14%
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%
CHARLESTON	146	84%	27	16%
CHEROKEE	20	87%	3	13%
CHESTER	11	79%	3	21%
CHESTERFIELD	12	80%	3	20%
CLARENDON	8	89%	1	11%
COLLETON	8	62%	5	38%
DARLINGTON	21	81%	5	19%
DILLON	21	84%	4	16%
DORCHESTER	48	89%	6	11%
EDGEFIELD	9	90%	1	10%
FAIRFIELD	6	60%	4	40%
FLORENCE	73	92%	6	8%
GEORGETOWN	23	96%	1	4%
GREENVILLE	75	80%	19	20%
GREENWOOD	13	93%	1	7%
HAMPTON	6	67%	3	33%
HORRY	53	90%	6	10%
JASPER	14	93%	1	7%
KERSHAW	4	57%	3	43%
LANCASTER	17	81%	4	19%
LAURENS	21	78%	6	22%
LEE	7	88%	1	13%
LEXINGTON	47	90%	5	10%
McCORMICK	2	50%	2	50%
MARION	15	83%	3	17%
MARLBORO	12	86%	2	14%
NEWBERRY	17	94%	1	6%
OCONEE	6	100%	0	0%
ORANGEBURG	37	70%	16	30%
PICKENS	17	89%	2	11%
RICHLAND	91	81%	22	19%
SALUDA	5	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	61	82%	13	18%
SUMTER	38	81%	9	19%
UNION	15	83%	3	17%
WILLIAMSBURG	15	79%	4	21%
YORK	69	85%	12	15%
TRANSITIONAL	14	82%	3	18%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,251</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>16%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

---

# SECTION E

---

# SEX OFFENDERS

---

FISCAL YEAR 2009

---

# SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

In Table 1-E and Figure 6, those offenders who have been convicted of a sex offense are shown. SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender's risk assessment score.

There are three levels of sex offender supervision: SO-Containment, SO-Intensive, and SO-High. A male sex offender's level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the duration of their supervision period.

<b>SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS</b>		
<b>SO-HIGH</b>	<b>SO-INTENSIVE</b>	<b>SO-CONTAINMENT</b>
1 Home Visit/Month	2 Home Visit/Month	3 Home Visit/Month
1 Employment Verification/Month	1 Employment Verification/Month	1 Employment Verification/Month
1 Office Visit/Month	1 Office Visit/Month	1 Office Visit/Month
1 Treatment Session/Month	1 Treatment Session/Month	1 Treatment Session/Month


**ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION AS OF JUNE 30, 2009**

**TABLE 1-E**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION</b>		<b>GENERAL SUPERVISION</b>		<b>TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS</b>
ABBEVILLE	9	64%	5	36%	14
AIKEN	51	72%	20	28%	71
ALLENDALE	2	100%	0	0%	2
ANDERSON	56	78%	16	22%	72
BAMBERG	4	67%	2	33%	6
BARNWELL	7	58%	5	42%	12
BEAUFORT	18	78%	5	22%	23
BERKELEY	38	78%	11	22%	49
CALHOUN	3	60%	2	40%	5
CHARLESTON	69	69%	31	31%	100
CHEROKEE	18	75%	6	25%	24
CHESTER	5	83%	1	17%	6
CHESTERFIELD	1	20%	4	80%	5
CLARENDON	6	67%	3	33%	9
COLLETON	7	78%	2	22%	9
DARLINGTON	9	82%	2	18%	11
DILLON	6	86%	1	14%	7
DORCHESTER	26	76%	8	24%	34
EDGEFIELD	9	75%	3	25%	12
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	1	100%	1
FLORENCE	15	60%	10	40%	25
GEORGETOWN	14	93%	1	7%	15
GREENVILLE	76	72%	30	28%	106
GREENWOOD	21	81%	5	19%	26
HAMPTON	3	75%	1	25%	4
HORRY	51	84%	10	16%	61
JASPER	3	75%	1	25%	4
KERSHAW	13	93%	1	7%	14
LANCASTER	5	50%	5	50%	10
LAURENS	16	70%	7	30%	23
LEE	3	100%	0	0%	3
LEXINGTON	43	78%	12	22%	55
McCORMICK	7	88%	1	13%	8
MARION	1	33%	2	67%	3
MARLBORO	2	67%	1	33%	3
NEWBERRY	10	71%	4	29%	14
OCONEE	21	75%	7	25%	28
ORANGEBURG	14	52%	13	48%	27
PICKENS	31	74%	11	26%	42
RICHLAND	68	69%	31	31%	99
SALUDA	7	78%	2	22%	9
SPARTANBURG	59	81%	14	19%	73
SUMTER	11	73%	4	27%	15
UNION	11	92%	1	8%	12
WILLIAMSBURG	12	80%	3	20%	15
YORK	41	77%	12	23%	53
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>902</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>1,219</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION  
JUNE 30, 2009  
FIGURE 6**



---

# **SECTION F**

---

# **VIOLATIONS**

---

**FISCAL YEAR 2009**

---

---

## **VIOLATIONS**

---

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through an administrative hearing process to determine if probable cause of a violation exists. If a violation is found, a determination is made as to which community sanctions should be imposed, or whether the case should be referred to the Board or the Court for revocation action.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, a total of 6,869 violation hearings were held. At those hearings, 4,291 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 2,578 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the type of closure for FY 05 to FY 09.

**VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY  
TABLE 1-F**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>CASES HEARD</b>	<b>CASES CONTINUED OR RECOMMENDED FOR CONTINUATION</b>	<b>CASES REVOKED OR RECOMMENDED FOR REVOCATION</b>
ABBEVILLE	2	1	1
AIKEN	339	172	167
ALLENDALE	52	29	23
ANDERSON	578	470	108
BAMBERG	45	30	15
BARNWELL	58	40	18
BEAUFORT	37	10	27
BERKELEY	203	145	58
CALHOUN	81	34	47
CHARLESTON	558	223	335
CHEROKEE	77	39	38
CHESTER	11	4	7
CHESTERFIELD	25	12	13
CLARENDON	81	45	36
COLLETON	91	39	52
DARLINGTON	37	16	21
DILLON	41	22	19
DORCHESTER	234	156	78
EDGEFIELD	71	54	17
FAIRFIELD	53	27	26
FLORENCE	174	109	65
GEORGETOWN	53	28	25
GREENVILLE	1230	904	326
GREENWOOD	62	49	13
HAMPTON	18	6	12
HORRY	71	45	26
JASPER	66	37	29
KERSHAW	41	20	21
LANCASTER	63	31	32
LAURENS	76	40	36
LEE	16	8	8
LEXINGTON	238	166	72
MARION	20	3	17
MARLBORO	21	9	12
MCCORMICK	33	17	16
NEWBERRY	51	23	28
OCONEE	164	133	31
ORANGEBURG	281	161	120
PICKENS	189	141	48
RICHLAND	341	213	128
SALUDA	61	39	22
SPARTANBURG	567	340	227
SUMTER	132	80	52
UNION	66	39	27
WILLIAMSBURG	18	8	10
YORK	143	74	69
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>6869</b>	<b>4291</b>	<b>2578</b>

**CLOSING BY TYPE**  
**TABLE 2-F**

<b>FY 2009</b>	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Rev-TC<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	26,694	10,092	29	6	446	4,494	207	5,182
Parole	1,653	577	7	0	14	117	7	145
YOA	2,053	550	14	0	44	614	34	706
Other Releases	1,297	645	220	0	0	0	0	220
<b>Total</b>	<b>31,697</b>	<b>11,864</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>5,225</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>6,253</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			4.3%	0.1%	8.1%	83.6%	4.0%	

<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Rev-TC<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	26,990	9,547	44	19	404	4,313	213	4,993
Parole	1,911	657	13	0	14	147	10	184
YOA	1,921	539	13	0	52	583	27	675
Other Releases	1,372	552	23	0	0	6	0	29
<b>Total</b>	<b>32,194</b>	<b>11,295</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>470</b>	<b>5,049</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>5,881</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.6%	0.3%	8.0%	85.9%	4.3%	

<b>FY 2007</b>	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Rev-TC<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	26,578	9,061	24	35	603	4,122	115	4,899
Parole	3,634	737	17	0	20	184	9	230
YOA	2,064	538	14	0	81	534	38	667
Other Releases	1,373	387	19	0	0	5	0	24
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,649</b>	<b>10,723</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>704</b>	<b>4,845</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>5,820</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.3%	0.6%	12.1%	83.2%	2.8%	

<b>FY 2006</b>	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Rev-TC<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	26,462	9,539	42	35	556	4,494	124	5,251
Parole	2,578	871	6	0	25	237	14	282
YOA	2,088	572	5	0	74	613	56	748
Other Releases	1,308	419	15	0	0	7	0	22
<b>Total</b>	<b>32,436</b>	<b>11,401</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>5,351</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>6,303</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.1%	0.6%	10.4%	84.9%	3.1%	

<b>FY 2005</b>	<b>Active Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Rev-TC<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>Total Unsuccessful</b>
Probation	26,955	9,522	21	24	513	4,399	99	5,056
Parole	2,848	907	8	0	29	235	13	285
YOA	2,191	662	11	0	72	597	40	720
Other Releases	1,047	422	10	0	0	17	0	27
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,041</b>	<b>11,513</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>5,248</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>6,088</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			0.8%	0.4%	10.1%	86.2%	2.5%	

**Footnotes:**

- 1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution
- 2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution
- 3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction

- 4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges
- 5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending
- 6 Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

---

# **SECTION G**

---

# **SUMMARY**

---

**FISCAL YEAR 2009**

---

---

## SUMMARY

---

Table 1-G summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs, Probation, Parole and YOA as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

The proportion of violent offenses among YOA admissions changed from 1% in FY 2008 to 2% in FY 2009. The percentage of violent offenses for probationers remained at 3% and parole admissions increased by 6% over the previous year.

Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (74%), followed by high (20%), intensive (3%) and sex offender (3%) for all cases.

The overall success rate for closures was 65% during the fiscal year. The overall success rate for parolees was 77%. Both probationers (66%) and YOA offenders (44%) had less successful closures rates than parolees.

Of the 8,860 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 3,427 or 38.7%, tested positive for drugs.

Figure 7 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 1990 to 2009. Admissions decreased for FY 2009 by 3% from the previous fiscal year.

Figure 8 displays the percentage of violent admissions by program for fiscal years 1993 to 2009.

**POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS  
TABLE 1-G**

<b>ADMISSIONS</b>								
CATEGORY	Probation		Parole		YOA		Total	
	FY 08	FY 09	FY 08	FY 09	FY 08	FY 09	FY 08	FY 09
<b><u>RACE:</u></b>								
BLACK	52%	53%	63%	66%	69%	70%	54%	55%
WHITE	45%	45%	35%	32%	31%	29%	43%	43%
OTHER	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
<b><u>GENDER:</u></b>								
MALE	79%	79%	90%	89%	90%	96%	81%	81%
FEMALE	21%	21%	10%	11%	10%	4%	19%	19%
<b><u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u></b>								
VIOLENT	3%	3%	37%	43%	1%	2%	6%	6%
NON-VIOLENT	97%	97%	63%	57%	99%	98%	94%	94%

<b>ACTIVES</b>								
<b><u>LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:</u></b>								
STANDARD	73%	76%	68%	71%	55%	59%	71%	74%
HIGH RISK	23%	21%	15%	13%	20%	18%	22%	20%
INTENSIVE	2%	1%	9%	10%	23%	22%	4%	3%
SEX OFFENDER	2%	2%	7%	7%	2%	2%	3%	3%


<b>CLOSURES</b>								
<b><u>CASE OUTCOME:</u></b>								
SUCCESSFUL	66%	66%	85%	77%	44%	44%	66%	65%
UNSUCCESSFUL	34%	34%	15%	23%	56%	56%	34%	35%

<b>DRUG TESTING</b>		
	<b><u>FY 08</u></b>	<b><u>FY 09</u></b>
<b>INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED</b>	15,887	8,860
<b>INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	6,371	3,427
<b>% OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	40.10%	38.68%
<b>TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS</b>	10,736	5,290
<b>NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED</b>	25,207	12,064

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


# ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON

## FIGURE 7



# PERCENTAGE OF VIOLENT ADMISSIONS BY STATUS

## FIGURE 8



Early Release included in Parole for FY 96-98, FY 99- 09

