



**South Carolina Department
of Probation, Parole and
Pardon Services**

**ANNUAL
STATISTICAL
REPORT**

FISCAL YEAR 2019

**JERRY B. ADGER
AGENCY DIRECTOR**

Proprietary Disclaimer

This document (including all data and statistical information represented herein) was compiled and reported by the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. Any reproduction or republication of said report, data, or statistics is permitted only if reproduced in its entirety without modification, or omission, and must identify the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services as the source.

Jerry B. Adger, Director

293 Greystone Blvd, Columbia, SC 29210

PO BOX 207 Columbia, SC 29202

803-734-9220

www.dppps.sc.gov

Research and Evaluation

LaQuenta Weldon, Statistical and Research Analyst

Marchar Stagg, Senior Statistical and Research Analyst

TO THE READER

Fiscal Year 2019 (FY 2019) began July 1, 2018 and ended June 30, 2019. This report provides a statistical representation of the work of 679 employees of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS). During the fiscal year, 80% of our probationers and 82% of our parolees successfully completed supervision.

The Department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 60,682 offenders under our legal jurisdiction. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 28,682 offenders were under active supervision of the Department. The description of active supervision represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2019. Our responses to offender risks and needs in the community are focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision without compromising public safety. We maintain a fundamental belief that given support, resources, and service interventions, the offender has the ability to make positive changes in his or her life.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents. The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. For additional information or clarification, please contact LaQuenta Weldon in Research and Evaluation at 803-734-4057.

EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM TYPES

Probation: Includes Probation, Conditional Discharged to Probation, Probation Terminated Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), and Monitor for the Court

Parole: Includes Parole .

Other: Includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2, Supervised Furlough-2A, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and early release program cases.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Program Type.....	8
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense	9
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Age	10
TABLE 4-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	11
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	12
TABLE 5-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	13
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	14
TABLE 6-A	Total Closures by Type.....	15

SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense	18
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race	19
TABLE 3-B	Probation Admissions by Age	20
TABLE 4-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	21
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	22
TABLE 5-B	Probation Closures by Type.....	23

SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense.....	26
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race	27
TABLE 3-C	Parole Admissions by Age	28
TABLE 4-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	29
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	30
TABLE 5-C	Parole Closures by Type.....	31

SECTION D---OTHER

TABLE 1-D	Other Admissions by Type of Offense	34
TABLE 2-D	Other Admissions by Gender & Race.....	35
TABLE 3-D	Other Admissions by Age	36
TABLE 4-D	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision	37
FIGURE 5	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision	38
TABLE 5-D	Other Closures by Type	39

SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS

TABLE 1-E	Active Sex Offenders	42
FUGURE 6	Sex Offenders by Supervision Status	43

SECTION F---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-F	Violations by County	46
TABLE 2-F	Closures by Type	47
TABLE 3-F	Administrative Hearing Collections	48

SECTION G---ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

FIGURE 7	Offenders on Active GPS	51
----------	-------------------------------	----

SECTION H---SUMMARY

FIGURE 8	Monthly DNA Collections	54
TABLE 1-H	Offender Drug Testing	55
TABLE 2-H	Population Characteristics	56
FIGURE 9	Admissions: A 20-Year Comparison	57

SECTION A

**TOTAL
POPULATION**

FISCAL YEAR 2019

TOTAL POPULATION

Tables 1-A through 4-A and Figure 1 represent the total admissions to the SCDPPPS during FY 2019. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2019, there were 17,869 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

- Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. Charleston, Greenville, York and Spartanburg counties had the greatest number of total admissions, together accounting for 32.5% of all admissions.
- Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Total admissions during the fiscal year were predominately non-violent with only 9% admissions for violent offenses.
- Table 3-A describes offender admissions by age category. Majority of those admitted during FY 2019 (81%) were 25 years or older at time of admission.
- Table 4-A and Figure 1 illustrate total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male at 78%, with a racial composition of 45% black, 53% white, and 2% of other races.

Table 5-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2019. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the Agent has contact with the offender. Standard risk supervision accounted for 57% of the active population, 13% were medium risk, and 22% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 3% while domestic violence supervision accounted for 4% of all active offenders.

Table 6-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2019 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2019 was 82%. The unsuccessful rate, 18%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense.

Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 1-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**

COUNTY	PROBATION	PERCENT PROBATION	PAROLE	PERCENT PAROLE	OTHER	PERCENT OTHER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	100	89%	6	5%	6	5%	112
AIKEN	502	79%	90	14%	42	7%	634
ALLENDALE	22	79%	4	14%	2	7%	28
ANDERSON	643	88%	44	6%	44	6%	731
BAMBERG	25	81%	2	6%	4	13%	31
BARNWELL	62	79%	11	14%	5	6%	78
BEAUFORT	243	82%	25	8%	28	9%	296
BERKELEY	601	88%	46	7%	39	6%	686
CALHOUN	62	90%	3	4%	4	6%	69
CHARLESTON	1047	83%	91	7%	127	10%	1,265
CHEROKEE	487	90%	29	5%	25	5%	541
CHESTER	153	81%	21	11%	15	8%	189
CHESTERFIELD	85	86%	8	8%	6	6%	99
CLARENDON	157	87%	11	6%	12	7%	180
COLLETON	145	90%	8	5%	8	5%	161
DARLINGTON	167	82%	18	9%	18	9%	203
DILLON	73	74%	14	14%	12	12%	99
DORCHESTER	325	86%	30	8%	23	6%	378
EDGEFIELD	106	85%	9	7%	9	7%	124
FAIRFIELD	95	86%	3	3%	13	12%	111
FLORENCE	347	70%	87	17%	65	13%	499
GEORGETOWN	96	68%	30	21%	15	11%	141
GREENVILLE	1874	88%	128	6%	118	6%	2,120
GREENWOOD	253	83%	32	10%	20	7%	305
HAMPTON	53	91%	4	7%	1	2%	58
HORRY	623	76%	117	14%	81	10%	821
JASPER	109	89%	7	6%	7	6%	123
KERSHAW	153	85%	17	9%	11	6%	181
LANCASTER	277	88%	25	8%	14	4%	316
LAURENS	362	87%	34	8%	20	5%	416
LEE	56	81%	7	10%	6	9%	69
LEXINGTON	576	82%	62	9%	65	9%	703
McCORMICK	56	92%	0	0%	5	8%	61
MARION	100	78%	10	8%	18	14%	128
MARLBORO	76	79%	8	8%	12	13%	96
NEWBERRY	138	86%	10	6%	13	8%	161
OCONEE	281	89%	21	7%	15	5%	317
ORANGEBURG	421	90%	30	6%	18	4%	469
PICKENS	561	92%	29	5%	20	3%	610
RICHLAND	672	72%	113	12%	145	16%	930
SALUDA	61	90%	4	6%	3	4%	68
SPARTANBURG	1214	84%	103	7%	136	9%	1,453
SUMTER	346	77%	67	15%	36	8%	449
UNION	155	82%	19	10%	14	7%	188
WILLIAMSBURG	84	83%	10	10%	7	7%	101
YORK	807	84%	82	9%	75	8%	964
TRANSITIONAL	33	31%	37	35%	37	35%	107
STATE TOTAL	14,884	83%	1,566	9%	1,419	8%	17,869

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	13	12%	99	88%	112
AIKEN	47	7%	587	93%	634
ALLENDALE	2	7%	26	93%	28
ANDERSON	69	9%	662	91%	731
BAMBERG	6	19%	25	81%	31
BARNWELL	6	8%	72	92%	78
BEAUFORT	32	11%	264	89%	296
BERKELEY	49	7%	637	93%	686
CALHOUN	9	13%	60	87%	69
CHARLESTON	152	12%	1113	88%	1265
CHEROKEE	37	7%	504	93%	541
CHESTER	12	6%	177	94%	189
CHESTERFIELD	7	7%	92	93%	99
CLARENDON	10	6%	170	94%	180
COLLETON	10	6%	151	94%	161
DARLINGTON	13	6%	190	94%	203
DILLON	2	2%	97	98%	99
DORCHESTER	33	9%	345	91%	378
EDGEFIELD	8	6%	116	94%	124
FAIRFIELD	9	8%	102	92%	111
FLORENCE	48	10%	451	90%	499
GEORGETOWN	19	13%	122	87%	141
GREENVILLE	164	8%	1956	92%	2120
GREENWOOD	31	10%	274	90%	305
HAMPTON	2	3%	56	97%	58
HORRY	94	11%	727	89%	821
JASPER	11	9%	112	91%	123
KERSHAW	13	7%	168	93%	181
LANCASTER	22	7%	294	93%	316
LAURENS	20	5%	396	95%	416
LEE	4	6%	65	94%	69
LEXINGTON	79	11%	624	89%	703
McCORMICK	4	7%	57	93%	61
MARION	10	8%	118	92%	128
MARLBORO	10	10%	86	90%	96
NEWBERRY	12	7%	149	93%	161
OCONEE	32	10%	285	90%	317
ORANGEBURG	36	8%	433	92%	469
PICKENS	42	7%	568	93%	610
RICHLAND	148	16%	782	84%	930
SALUDA	7	10%	61	90%	68
SPARTANBURG	166	11%	1287	89%	1453
SUMTER	26	6%	423	94%	449
UNION	10	5%	178	95%	188
WILLIAMSBURG	11	11%	90	89%	101
YORK	100	10%	864	90%	964
TRANSITIONAL	33	31%	74	69%	107
STATE TOTAL	1,680	9%	16,189	91%	17,869

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	21	19%	91	81%
AIKEN	104	16%	530	84%
ALLENDALE	8	29%	20	71%
ANDERSON	122	17%	609	83%
BAMBERG	9	29%	22	71%
BARNWELL	19	24%	59	76%
BEAUFORT	64	22%	232	78%
BERKELEY	114	17%	572	83%
CALHOUN	12	17%	57	83%
CHARLESTON	256	20%	1009	80%
CHEROKEE	100	18%	441	82%
CHESTER	18	10%	171	90%
CHESTERFIELD	19	19%	80	81%
CLARENDON	29	16%	151	84%
COLLETON	39	24%	122	76%
DARLINGTON	50	25%	153	75%
DILLON	27	27%	72	73%
DORCHESTER	88	23%	290	77%
EDGEFIELD	29	23%	95	77%
FAIRFIELD	14	13%	97	87%
FLORENCE	86	17%	413	83%
GEORGETOWN	25	18%	116	82%
GREENVILLE	342	16%	1778	84%
GREENWOOD	65	21%	240	79%
HAMPTON	15	26%	43	74%
HORRY	195	24%	626	76%
JASPER	28	23%	95	77%
KERSHAW	38	21%	143	79%
LANCASTER	77	24%	239	76%
LAURENS	64	15%	352	85%
LEE	11	16%	58	84%
LEXINGTON	122	17%	581	83%
McCORMICK	24	39%	37	61%
MARION	38	30%	90	70%
MARLBORO	25	26%	71	74%
NEWBERRY	27	17%	134	83%
OCONEE	35	11%	282	89%
ORANGEBURG	121	26%	348	74%
PICKENS	107	18%	503	82%
RICHLAND	232	25%	698	75%
SALUDA	15	22%	53	78%
SPARTANBURG	232	16%	1221	84%
SUMTER	102	23%	347	77%
UNION	27	14%	161	86%
WILLIAMSBURG	11	11%	90	89%
YORK	214	22%	750	78%
TRANSITIONAL	11	10%	96	90%
STATE TOTAL	3,431	19%	14,438	81%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
ABBEVILLE	81%	19%	38%	0%	62%
AIKEN	76%	24%	38%	1%	62%
ALLENDALE	79%	21%	89%	0%	11%
ANDERSON	78%	22%	29%	2%	68%
BAMBERG	94%	6%	65%	0%	35%
BARNWELL	83%	17%	67%	0%	33%
BEAUFORT	79%	21%	50%	6%	45%
BERKELEY	75%	25%	34%	2%	64%
CALHOUN	74%	26%	54%	0%	46%
CHARLESTON	83%	17%	62%	1%	37%
CHEROKEE	69%	31%	29%	1%	70%
CHESTER	76%	24%	45%	1%	54%
CHESTERFIELD	77%	23%	42%	0%	58%
CLARENDON	78%	22%	64%	4%	31%
COLLETON	71%	29%	52%	1%	47%
DARLINGTON	76%	24%	55%	0%	45%
DILLON	94%	6%	71%	7%	22%
DORCHESTER	83%	17%	44%	2%	54%
EDGEFIELD	81%	19%	40%	0%	60%
FAIRFIELD	75%	25%	65%	1%	34%
FLORENCE	85%	15%	66%	1%	34%
GEORGETOWN	87%	13%	52%	4%	44%
GREENVILLE	74%	26%	38%	4%	58%
GREENWOOD	81%	19%	51%	0%	49%
HAMPTON	84%	16%	78%	2%	21%
HORRY	79%	21%	36%	3%	62%
JASPER	79%	21%	61%	2%	37%
KERSHAW	80%	20%	44%	1%	55%
LANCASTER	78%	22%	40%	1%	59%
LAURENS	78%	22%	35%	2%	63%
LEE	90%	10%	88%	0%	12%
LEXINGTON	77%	23%	28%	3%	69%
McCORMICK	84%	16%	66%	2%	33%
MARION	77%	23%	75%	0%	25%
MARLBORO	88%	13%	67%	4%	29%
NEWBERRY	80%	20%	58%	1%	41%
OCONEE	70%	30%	13%	1%	86%
ORANGEBURG	81%	19%	73%	0%	26%
PICKENS	71%	29%	12%	2%	86%
RICHLAND	85%	15%	78%	2%	21%
SALUDA	88%	12%	53%	6%	41%
SPARTANBURG	76%	24%	36%	3%	61%
SUMTER	81%	19%	69%	1%	31%
UNION	77%	23%	38%	0%	62%
WILLIAMSBURG	88%	12%	70%	0%	30%
YORK	78%	22%	38%	2%	60%
TRANSITIONAL	86%	14%	46%	3%	51%
STATE TOTAL	78%	22%	45%	2%	53%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


FIGURE 1
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
FISCAL YEAR 2019



**TABLE 5-A
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	75%	11%	13%	0%	1%	0%	0%	179
AIKEN	75%	8%	13%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1,174
ALLENDALE	88%	6%	2%	0%	3%	2%	0%	65
ANDERSON	55%	8%	28%	1%	2%	7%	0%	1,614
BAMBERG	73%	6%	13%	0%	8%	0%	0%	78
BARNWELL	64%	6%	19%	5%	6%	0%	0%	103
BEAUFORT	81%	10%	6%	0%	2%	0%	0%	279
BERKELEY	58%	10%	27%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1,344
CALHOUN	73%	12%	7%	1%	4%	3%	0%	107
CHARLESTON	47%	15%	29%	1%	3%	5%	0%	2,073
CHEROKEE	44%	20%	21%	2%	3%	11%	0%	597
CHESTER	63%	16%	18%	0%	3%	0%	0%	223
CHESTERFIELD	67%	13%	17%	1%	3%	0%	0%	163
CLARENDON	60%	14%	23%	0%	2%	0%	0%	216
COLLETON	63%	7%	26%	1%	3%	1%	0%	318
DARLINGTON	69%	10%	17%	0%	4%	0%	0%	328
DILLON	87%	6%	3%	1%	4%	0%	0%	141
DORCHESTER	61%	13%	13%	1%	5%	7%	0%	643
EDGEFIELD	73%	11%	11%	1%	3%	0%	0%	175
FAIRFIELD	64%	9%	22%	2%	4%	0%	0%	195
FLORENCE	66%	14%	15%	1%	4%	0%	0%	782
GEORGETOWN	66%	6%	26%	0%	2%	0%	0%	245
GREENVILLE	37%	21%	33%	0%	2%	6%	0%	3,090
GREENWOOD	61%	12%	16%	1%	1%	8%	0%	547
HAMPTON	66%	12%	15%	3%	3%	0%	0%	118
HORRY	75%	10%	9%	1%	5%	0%	0%	1,197
JASPER	71%	12%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	207
KERSHAW	60%	14%	21%	1%	4%	0%	0%	305
LANCASTER	66%	13%	17%	1%	3%	0%	0%	358
LAURENS	61%	11%	19%	0%	2%	7%	0%	624
LEE	73%	13%	11%	0%	4%	0%	0%	111
LEXINGTON	57%	14%	19%	2%	2%	6%	0%	1,335
McCORMICK	84%	9%	4%	0%	3%	0%	0%	74
MARION	90%	5%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	152
MARLBORO	62%	15%	21%	1%	3%	0%	0%	117
NEWBERRY	68%	12%	16%	2%	2%	0%	0%	194
OCONEE	69%	8%	20%	0%	3%	0%	0%	617
ORANGEBURG	57%	7%	23%	1%	2%	10%	0%	957
PICKENS	47%	16%	34%	1%	2%	0%	0%	961
RICHLAND	46%	14%	30%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1,624
SALUDA	64%	10%	18%	5%	2%	1%	0%	101
SPARTANBURG	50%	13%	25%	0%	3%	9%	0%	2,534
SUMTER	68%	14%	14%	1%	3%	0%	0%	703
UNION	60%	13%	23%	0%	3%	0%	0%	232
WILLIAMSBURG	67%	8%	24%	0%	2%	0%	0%	255
YORK	66%	10%	13%	1%	2%	9%	0%	1,198
TRANSITIONAL	31%	10%	55%	3%	0%	0%	0%	29
STATE TOTAL	57%	13%	22%	1%	3%	4%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	16,365	3,670	6,380	270	772	1,194	31	28,682
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

**FIGURE 2
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2019**



CONTACT STANDARDS

STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Progress Report every quarter • Following the initial home contact, additional field contacts will be conducted in the event of community complaints or a violation investigation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Progress report every other month • One additional progress report must be conducted every six months as a Field Visit or Home Visit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Progress Report Every Other Month • An additional progress report must be conducted as a Field Visit or Home Visit every quarter 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two Progress Reports per Month • One of the two progress reports must be conducted as a Field Visit or Home Visit

**TABLE 6-A
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	109	92%	10	8%
AIKEN	313	70%	134	30%
ALLENDALE	49	89%	6	11%
ANDERSON	552	81%	126	19%
BAMBERG	31	79%	8	21%
BARNWELL	56	86%	9	14%
BEAUFORT	197	83%	41	17%
BERKELEY	536	87%	79	13%
CALHOUN	40	85%	7	15%
CHARLESTON	1003	83%	199	17%
CHEROKEE	369	74%	129	26%
CHESTER	122	83%	25	17%
CHESTERFIELD	91	95%	5	5%
CLARENDON	103	77%	31	23%
COLLETON	131	77%	40	23%
DARLINGTON	169	80%	41	20%
DILLON	59	80%	15	20%
DORCHESTER	276	80%	67	20%
EDGEFIELD	62	86%	10	14%
FAIRFIELD	90	89%	11	11%
FLORENCE	411	80%	100	20%
GEORGETOWN	108	72%	43	28%
GREENVILLE	1647	81%	376	19%
GREENWOOD	307	86%	48	14%
HAMPTON	54	77%	16	23%
HORRY	626	77%	189	23%
JASPER	92	82%	20	18%
KERSHAW	176	76%	55	24%
LANCASTER	233	88%	33	12%
LAURENS	253	81%	60	19%
LEE	65	87%	10	13%
LEXINGTON	563	78%	156	22%
MCCORMICK	38	88%	5	12%
MARION	123	96%	5	4%
MARLBORO	62	83%	13	17%
NEWBERRY	122	85%	21	15%
OCONEE	246	84%	46	16%
ORANGEBURG	329	89%	41	11%
PICKENS	441	80%	110	20%
RICHLAND	781	74%	272	26%
SALUDA	63	83%	13	17%
SPARTANBURG	1165	78%	332	22%
SUMTER	390	85%	70	15%
UNION	160	85%	28	15%
WILLIAMSBURG	107	81%	25	19%
YORK	735	89%	95	11%
TRANSITIONAL	463	99%	3	1%
STATE TOTAL	14,118	82%	3,178	18%
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.				

SECTION B

PROBATION

**FISCAL YEAR
2019**

PROBATION

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, to make reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B through 3-B represents all probation admissions during FY 2019. Probation includes Probation, PTUP (Probation Terminated upon Payment), Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, and Monitor for the Court.

- Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. For FY 2019, 4% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.
- Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male (76%) with a racial composition of 55% white, 43% black, and 2% other.
- Table 3-B reflects probation admissions by age category. Majority (79%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-B and Figure 3 describe active probation offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2019. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 57% of the active population, 13% were medium risk, and 23% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1%, sex offender supervision accounted for 2%, and domestic violence supervision accounted for 5% of all active probation offenders.

Table 5-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2019 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for probationers was 80%, slightly lower than the total offender population success rate of 82%.

**TABLE 1-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	6	6%	94	94%	100
AIKEN	9	2%	493	98%	502
ALLENDALE	1	5%	21	95%	22
ANDERSON	21	3%	622	97%	643
BAMBERG	3	12%	22	88%	25
BARNWELL	2	3%	60	97%	62
BEAUFORT	8	3%	235	97%	243
BERKELEY	17	3%	584	97%	601
CALHOUN	6	10%	56	90%	62
CHARLESTON	50	5%	997	95%	1047
CHEROKEE	15	3%	472	97%	487
CHESTER	7	5%	146	95%	153
CHESTERFIELD	4	5%	81	95%	85
CLARENDON	3	2%	154	98%	157
COLLETON	4	3%	141	97%	145
DARLINGTON	3	2%	164	98%	167
DILLON	0	0%	73	100%	73
DORCHESTER	16	5%	309	95%	325
EDGEFIELD	4	4%	102	96%	106
FAIRFIELD	2	2%	93	98%	95
FLORENCE	13	4%	334	96%	347
GEORGETOWN	9	9%	87	91%	96
GREENVILLE	68	4%	1806	96%	1874
GREENWOOD	16	6%	237	94%	253
HAMPTON	1	2%	52	98%	53
HORRY	26	4%	597	96%	623
JASPER	4	4%	105	96%	109
KERSHAW	6	4%	147	96%	153
LANCASTER	7	3%	270	97%	277
LAURENS	6	2%	356	98%	362
LEE	2	4%	54	96%	56
LEXINGTON	34	6%	542	94%	576
McCORMICK	3	5%	53	95%	56
MARION	1	1%	99	99%	100
MARLBORO	2	3%	74	97%	76
NEWBERRY	1	1%	137	99%	138
OCONEE	15	5%	266	95%	281
ORANGEBURG	19	5%	402	95%	421
PICKENS	23	4%	538	96%	561
RICHLAND	40	6%	632	94%	672
SALUDA	4	7%	57	93%	61
SPARTANBURG	60	5%	1154	95%	1214
SUMTER	7	2%	339	98%	346
UNION	2	1%	153	99%	155
WILLIAMSBURG	5	6%	79	94%	84
YORK	38	5%	769	95%	807
TRANSITIONAL	2	6%	31	94%	33
STATE TOTAL	595	4%	14,289	96%	14,884

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
TABLE 2-B**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	80%	20%	35%	0%	65%
AIKEN	72%	28%	36%	1%	63%
ALLENDALE	77%	23%	86%	0%	14%
ANDERSON	76%	24%	28%	2%	70%
BAMBERG	92%	8%	56%	0%	44%
BARNWELL	81%	19%	68%	0%	32%
BEAUFORT	76%	24%	47%	6%	47%
BERKELEY	73%	27%	32%	2%	66%
CALHOUN	74%	26%	56%	0%	44%
CHARLESTON	81%	19%	59%	1%	40%
CHEROKEE	67%	33%	27%	1%	72%
CHESTER	76%	24%	45%	1%	54%
CHESTERFIELD	75%	25%	41%	0%	59%
CLARENDON	76%	24%	66%	4%	30%
COLLETON	70%	30%	51%	1%	48%
DARLINGTON	73%	27%	53%	1%	47%
DILLON	92%	8%	74%	7%	19%
DORCHESTER	82%	18%	43%	2%	55%
EDGEFIELD	81%	19%	39%	0%	61%
FAIRFIELD	75%	25%	64%	1%	35%
FLORENCE	83%	17%	63%	1%	36%
GEORGETOWN	83%	17%	48%	4%	48%
GREENVILLE	72%	28%	36%	4%	60%
GREENWOOD	80%	20%	49%	0%	50%
HAMPTON	87%	13%	77%	2%	21%
HORRY	75%	25%	33%	2%	65%
JASPER	76%	24%	59%	3%	39%
KERSHAW	78%	22%	41%	1%	58%
LANCASTER	76%	24%	39%	1%	60%
LAURENS	76%	24%	34%	1%	64%
LEE	88%	13%	89%	0%	11%
LEXINGTON	75%	25%	26%	3%	71%
McCORMICK	82%	18%	64%	2%	34%
MARION	75%	25%	72%	0%	28%
MARLBORO	86%	14%	64%	5%	30%
NEWBERRY	80%	20%	57%	1%	43%
OCONEE	68%	32%	12%	1%	88%
ORANGEBURG	79%	21%	73%	0%	27%
PICKENS	70%	30%	11%	2%	87%
RICHLAND	82%	18%	76%	1%	23%
SALUDA	89%	11%	49%	5%	46%
SPARTANBURG	73%	27%	35%	3%	63%
SUMTER	78%	22%	66%	1%	34%
UNION	72%	28%	34%	0%	66%
WILLIAMSBURG	87%	13%	70%	0%	30%
YORK	75%	25%	36%	2%	63%
TRANSITIONAL	88%	12%	55%	0%	45%
STATE TOTAL	76%	24%	43%	2%	55%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE**


COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	19	19%	81	81%
AIKEN	95	19%	407	81%
ALLENDALE	8	36%	14	64%
ANDERSON	117	18%	526	82%
BAMBERG	9	36%	16	64%
BARNWELL	19	31%	43	69%
BEAUFORT	59	24%	184	76%
BERKELEY	103	17%	498	83%
CALHOUN	11	18%	51	82%
CHARLESTON	239	23%	808	77%
CHEROKEE	96	20%	391	80%
CHESTER	15	10%	138	90%
CHESTERFIELD	18	21%	67	79%
CLARENDON	28	18%	129	82%
COLLETON	36	25%	109	75%
DARLINGTON	46	28%	121	72%
DILLON	20	27%	53	73%
DORCHESTER	79	24%	246	76%
EDGEFIELD	26	25%	80	75%
FAIRFIELD	13	14%	82	86%
FLORENCE	67	19%	280	81%
GEORGETOWN	22	23%	74	77%
GREENVILLE	318	17%	1556	83%
GREENWOOD	52	21%	201	79%
HAMPTON	14	26%	39	74%
HORRY	162	26%	461	74%
JASPER	27	25%	82	75%
KERSHAW	35	23%	118	77%
LANCASTER	73	26%	204	74%
LAURENS	61	17%	301	83%
LEE	8	14%	48	86%
LEXINGTON	111	19%	465	81%
McCORMICK	23	41%	33	59%
MARION	30	30%	70	70%
MARLBORO	22	29%	54	71%
NEWBERRY	24	17%	114	83%
OCONEE	32	11%	249	89%
ORANGEBURG	115	27%	306	73%
PICKENS	99	18%	462	82%
RICHLAND	197	29%	475	71%
SALUDA	12	20%	49	80%
SPARTANBURG	219	18%	995	82%
SUMTER	80	23%	266	77%
UNION	24	15%	131	85%
WILLIAMSBURG	11	13%	73	87%
YORK	196	24%	611	76%
TRANSITIONAL	5	15%	28	85%
STATE TOTAL	3,095	21%	11,789	79%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-B
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	77%	12%	11%	0%	1%	0%	0%	156
AIKEN	76%	8%	13%	2%	1%	0%	0%	983
ALLENDALE	88%	7%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	59
ANDERSON	54%	8%	28%	1%	2%	7%	0%	1,473
BAMBERG	76%	6%	14%	0%	5%	0%	0%	66
BARNWELL	64%	7%	18%	5%	7%	0%	0%	88
BEAUFORT	80%	11%	8%	0%	2%	0%	0%	225
BERKELEY	59%	10%	27%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1,198
CALHOUN	74%	12%	7%	1%	3%	3%	0%	95
CHARLESTON	46%	15%	29%	1%	2%	6%	0%	1,767
CHEROKEE	44%	20%	22%	2%	2%	11%	0%	530
CHESTER	63%	16%	18%	1%	3%	0%	0%	182
CHESTERFIELD	69%	14%	15%	1%	1%	0%	0%	137
CLARENDON	61%	14%	23%	1%	2%	0%	0%	188
COLLETON	64%	7%	26%	1%	2%	1%	0%	289
DARLINGTON	69%	10%	18%	0%	3%	0%	0%	273
DILLON	91%	5%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	101
DORCHESTER	60%	14%	13%	1%	3%	8%	0%	552
EDGEFIELD	73%	12%	12%	1%	2%	0%	0%	153
FAIRFIELD	65%	9%	22%	1%	4%	0%	0%	168
FLORENCE	69%	13%	14%	1%	3%	0%	0%	594
GEORGETOWN	67%	6%	26%	0%	1%	0%	0%	192
GREENVILLE	36%	21%	34%	0%	2%	6%	0%	2,746
GREENWOOD	61%	11%	16%	1%	1%	9%	0%	481
HAMPTON	67%	10%	17%	3%	3%	0%	0%	106
HORRY	77%	9%	9%	0%	4%	0%	0%	948
JASPER	68%	13%	18%	0%	1%	0%	0%	179
KERSHAW	60%	14%	22%	1%	2%	0%	0%	260
LANCASTER	68%	12%	16%	2%	2%	0%	0%	294
LAURENS	61%	10%	19%	1%	1%	8%	0%	538
LEE	75%	11%	10%	0%	3%	0%	0%	96
LEXINGTON	57%	14%	19%	2%	2%	6%	0%	1,149
McCORMICK	85%	9%	5%	0%	2%	0%	0%	65
MARION	91%	6%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	112
MARLBORO	62%	13%	23%	0%	2%	0%	0%	94
NEWBERRY	68%	13%	15%	2%	2%	0%	0%	171
OCONEE	70%	8%	19%	0%	2%	1%	0%	565
ORANGEBURG	57%	7%	23%	1%	1%	11%	0%	871
PICKENS	48%	16%	35%	1%	1%	0%	0%	890
RICHLAND	45%	14%	30%	2%	2%	6%	2%	1,251
SALUDA	68%	8%	17%	5%	1%	1%	0%	84
SPARTANBURG	49%	12%	25%	0%	2%	11%	0%	2,157
SUMTER	69%	14%	14%	1%	2%	0%	0%	560
UNION	63%	14%	21%	0%	3%	0%	0%	195
WILLIAMSBURG	70%	7%	22%	0%	1%	0%	0%	209
YORK	64%	10%	13%	1%	2%	10%	0%	991
TRANSITIONAL	36%	8%	52%	4%	0%	0%	0%	25
STATE TOTAL	57%	13%	23%	1%	2%	5%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	13,946	3,091	5,540	216	510	1,180	23	24,506
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

FIGURE 3
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2019



PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE

TABLE 5-B

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	97	91%	10	9%
AIKEN	230	64%	132	36%
ALLEDALE	44	88%	6	12%
ANDERSON	499	80%	122	20%
BAMBERG	24	77%	7	23%
BARNWELL	41	84%	8	16%
BEAUFORT	165	81%	39	19%
BERKELEY	477	86%	76	14%
CALHOUN	32	84%	6	16%
CHARLESTON	858	84%	163	16%
CHEROKEE	315	72%	124	28%
CHESTER	99	82%	22	18%
CHESTERFIELD	76	99%	1	1%
CLARENDON	93	75%	31	25%
COLLETON	121	77%	37	23%
DARLINGTON	134	80%	34	20%
DILLON	40	78%	11	22%
DORCHESTER	246	80%	61	20%
EDGEFIELD	48	83%	10	17%
FAIRFIELD	78	89%	10	11%
FLORENCE	305	78%	84	22%
GEORGETOWN	78	67%	39	33%
GREENVILLE	1449	80%	364	20%
GREENWOOD	263	85%	45	15%
HAMPTON	45	78%	13	22%
HORRY	497	74%	177	26%
JASPER	77	80%	19	20%
KERSHAW	144	73%	54	27%
LANCASTER	209	87%	31	13%
LAURENS	216	81%	50	19%
LEE	59	87%	9	13%
LEXINGTON	454	76%	144	24%
MCCORMICK	32	86%	5	14%
MARION	101	96%	4	4%
MARLBORO	48	84%	9	16%
NEWBERRY	104	85%	18	15%
OCONEE	224	83%	45	17%
ORANGEBURG	276	88%	38	12%
PICKENS	408	79%	106	21%
RICHLAND	601	71%	243	29%
SALUDA	56	84%	11	16%
SPARTANBURG	954	75%	319	25%
SUMTER	300	84%	58	16%
UNION	131	83%	26	17%
WILLIAMSBURG	88	80%	22	20%
YORK	612	88%	86	12%
TRANSITIONAL	344	99%	3	1%
STATE TOTAL	11,792	80%	2,932	20%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION C

PAROLE

**FISCAL YEAR
2019**

PAROLE

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his or her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he or she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C through 3-C represents all parole admissions during FY 2019.

- Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. A larger percent of parole admissions, 15%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 4% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B).
- Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males (86%) with a racial composition of 51% black, 48% white, and 1% other.
- Table 3-C reflects parole admissions by age category. Majority (85%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2019. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 61% of the active population, 14% were medium risk, and 19% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 4% of all active parole offenders. Less than 1% of the active parole population were under domestic violence supervision or mental health supervision.

Table 5-C provides data for parole closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2019 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for parolees (82%) was higher than that of probationers (80%, see Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	2	33%	4	67%	6
AIKEN	14	16%	76	84%	90
ALLENDALE	0	0%	4	100%	4
ANDERSON	15	34%	29	66%	44
BAMBERG	0	0%	2	100%	2
BARNWELL	2	18%	9	82%	11
BEAUFORT	6	24%	19	76%	25
BERKELEY	9	20%	37	80%	46
CALHOUN	0	0%	3	100%	3
CHARLESTON	20	22%	71	78%	91
CHEROKEE	4	14%	25	86%	29
CHESTER	0	0%	21	100%	21
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	8	100%	8
CLARENDON	1	9%	10	91%	11
COLLETON	1	13%	7	88%	8
DARLINGTON	2	11%	16	89%	18
DILLON	1	7%	13	93%	14
DORCHESTER	3	10%	27	90%	30
EDGEFIELD	1	11%	8	89%	9
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	3	100%	3
FLORENCE	5	6%	82	94%	87
GEORGETOWN	2	7%	28	93%	30
GREENVILLE	20	16%	108	84%	128
GREENWOOD	4	13%	28	88%	32
HAMPTON	1	25%	3	75%	4
HORRY	18	15%	99	85%	117
JASPER	3	43%	4	57%	7
KERSHAW	2	12%	15	88%	17
LANCASTER	4	16%	21	84%	25
LAURENS	4	12%	30	88%	34
LEE	0	0%	7	100%	7
LEXINGTON	8	13%	54	87%	62
McCORMICK	---	---	---	---	---
MARION	0	0%	10	100%	10
MARLBORO	1	13%	7	88%	8
NEWBERRY	2	20%	8	80%	10
OCONEE	6	29%	15	71%	21
ORANGEBURG	8	27%	22	73%	30
PICKENS	3	10%	26	90%	29
RICHLAND	10	9%	103	91%	113
SALUDA	1	25%	3	75%	4
SPARTANBURG	19	18%	84	82%	103
SUMTER	6	9%	61	91%	67
UNION	1	5%	18	95%	19
WILLIAMSBURG	1	10%	9	90%	10
YORK	16	20%	66	80%	82
TRANSITIONAL	6	16%	31	84%	37
STATE TOTAL	232	15%	1,334	85%	1,566

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	83%	17%	67%	0%	33%
AIKEN	88%	12%	41%	0%	59%
ALLENDALE	75%	25%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	82%	18%	30%	2%	68%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	91%	9%	64%	0%	36%
BEAUFORT	88%	12%	56%	0%	44%
BERKELEY	83%	17%	39%	0%	61%
CALHOUN	67%	33%	33%	0%	67%
CHARLESTON	93%	7%	70%	2%	27%
CHEROKEE	79%	21%	34%	3%	62%
CHESTER	57%	43%	33%	0%	67%
CHESTERFIELD	75%	25%	50%	0%	50%
CLARENDON	82%	18%	64%	0%	36%
COLLETON	100%	0%	63%	0%	38%
DARLINGTON	89%	11%	61%	0%	39%
DILLON	100%	0%	57%	14%	29%
DORCHESTER	90%	10%	40%	3%	57%
EDGEFIELD	89%	11%	56%	0%	44%
FAIRFIELD	67%	33%	67%	0%	33%
FLORENCE	89%	11%	69%	0%	31%
GEORGETOWN	93%	7%	63%	0%	37%
GREENVILLE	85%	15%	47%	3%	50%
GREENWOOD	75%	25%	44%	0%	56%
HAMPTON	50%	50%	75%	0%	25%
HORRY	87%	13%	39%	3%	58%
JASPER	100%	0%	86%	0%	14%
KERSHAW	88%	12%	53%	0%	47%
LANCASTER	96%	4%	36%	0%	64%
LAURENS	94%	6%	41%	0%	59%
LEE	100%	0%	71%	0%	29%
LEXINGTON	77%	23%	34%	2%	65%
McCORMICK	---	---	---	---	---
MARION	80%	20%	90%	0%	10%
MARLBORO	88%	13%	63%	0%	38%
NEWBERRY	70%	30%	70%	0%	30%
OCONEE	81%	19%	19%	5%	76%
ORANGEBURG	97%	3%	70%	0%	30%
PICKENS	83%	17%	10%	0%	90%
RICHLAND	87%	13%	78%	1%	21%
SALUDA	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
SPARTANBURG	84%	16%	36%	2%	62%
SUMTER	91%	9%	76%	1%	22%
UNION	95%	5%	53%	0%	47%
WILLIAMSBURG	90%	10%	60%	0%	40%
YORK	84%	16%	39%	1%	60%
TRANSITIONAL	79%	15%	36%	3%	62%
STATE TOTAL	86%	14%	51%	1%	48%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	2	33%	4	67%
AIKEN	8	9%	82	91%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	4	100%
ANDERSON	2	5%	42	95%
BAMBERG	0	0%	2	100%
BARNWELL	0	0%	11	100%
BEAUFORT	2	8%	23	92%
BERKELEY	9	20%	37	80%
CALHOUN	1	33%	2	67%
CHARLESTON	13	14%	78	86%
CHEROKEE	3	10%	26	90%
CHESTER	3	14%	18	86%
CHESTERFIELD	1	13%	7	88%
CLARENDON	0	0%	11	100%
COLLETON	3	38%	5	63%
DARLINGTON	1	6%	17	94%
DILLON	4	29%	10	71%
DORCHESTER	6	20%	24	80%
EDGEFIELD	1	11%	8	89%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	3	100%
FLORENCE	14	16%	73	84%
GEORGETOWN	2	7%	28	93%
GREENVILLE	16	13%	112	88%
GREENWOOD	9	28%	23	72%
HAMPTON	1	25%	3	75%
HORRY	24	21%	93	79%
JASPER	1	14%	6	86%
KERSHAW	3	18%	14	82%
LANCASTER	4	16%	21	84%
LAURENS	3	9%	31	91%
LEE	3	43%	4	57%
LEXINGTON	8	13%	54	87%
McCORMICK	0	---	0	---
MARION	3	30%	7	70%
MARLBORO	2	25%	6	75%
NEWBERRY	2	20%	8	80%
OCONEE	2	10%	19	90%
ORANGEBURG	5	17%	25	83%
PICKENS	7	24%	22	76%
RICHLAND	18	16%	95	84%
SALUDA	3	75%	1	25%
SPARTANBURG	7	7%	96	93%
SUMTER	18	27%	49	73%
UNION	2	11%	17	89%
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0%	10	100%
YORK	8	10%	74	90%
TRANSITIONAL	5	14%	32	86%
STATE TOTAL	229	15%	1,337	85%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-C
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	71%	12%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17
AIKEN	70%	9%	14%	3%	4%	0%	0%	151
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
ANDERSON	63%	9%	26%	0%	0%	1%	0%	76
BAMBERG	71%	14%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	7
BARNWELL	69%	0%	23%	8%	0%	0%	0%	13
BEAUFORT	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	36
BERKELEY	59%	13%	25%	0%	3%	0%	0%	87
CALHOUN	70%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10
CHARLESTON	55%	17%	25%	1%	2%	0%	0%	188
CHEROKEE	49%	21%	19%	5%	7%	0%	0%	43
CHESTER	64%	11%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	28
CHESTERFIELD	61%	11%	22%	0%	6%	0%	0%	18
CLARENDON	53%	12%	29%	0%	6%	0%	0%	17
COLLETON	53%	11%	32%	0%	5%	0%	0%	19
DARLINGTON	70%	9%	16%	0%	5%	0%	0%	43
DILLON	77%	7%	10%	3%	3%	0%	0%	30
DORCHESTER	72%	11%	13%	2%	2%	0%	0%	61
EDGEFIELD	79%	5%	11%	0%	5%	0%	0%	19
FAIRFIELD	72%	6%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	18
FLORENCE	55%	22%	18%	1%	4%	0%	0%	134
GEORGETOWN	71%	5%	16%	0%	8%	0%	0%	38
GREENVILLE	48%	18%	29%	1%	4%	0%	0%	219
GREENWOOD	54%	17%	17%	2%	7%	2%	0%	46
HAMPTON	58%	25%	0%	8%	8%	0%	0%	12
HORRY	66%	13%	8%	2%	10%	0%	0%	191
JASPER	86%	9%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22
KERSHAW	59%	16%	9%	3%	13%	0%	0%	32
LANCASTER	56%	17%	21%	0%	6%	0%	0%	48
LAURENS	65%	18%	15%	0%	0%	2%	0%	65
LEE	58%	25%	8%	0%	8%	0%	0%	12
LEXINGTON	60%	16%	17%	2%	4%	1%	0%	129
McCORMICK	83%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	6
MARION	92%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	24
MARLBORO	53%	20%	13%	7%	7%	0%	0%	15
NEWBERRY	71%	6%	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17
OCONEE	58%	8%	28%	0%	6%	0%	0%	36
ORANGEBURG	58%	12%	28%	0%	2%	0%	0%	65
PICKENS	34%	30%	26%	2%	8%	0%	0%	50
RICHLAND	57%	13%	24%	1%	2%	0%	3%	214
SALUDA	36%	18%	36%	9%	0%	0%	0%	11
SPARTANBURG	62%	16%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	215
SUMTER	59%	17%	17%	2%	5%	0%	0%	111
UNION	52%	10%	34%	0%	3%	0%	0%	29
WILLIAMSBURG	58%	6%	29%	0%	6%	0%	0%	31
YORK	74%	12%	10%	1%	3%	0%	0%	147
TRANSITIONAL	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
STATE TOTAL	61%	14%	19%	1%	4%	0%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	1,716	403	534	36	105	4	6	2,804

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 4
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2019



**PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE
TABLE 5-C**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	7	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	34	94%	2	6%
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	18	86%	3	14%
BAMBERG	3	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	6	86%	1	14%
BEAUFORT	14	88%	2	13%
BERKELEY	16	84%	3	16%
CALHOUN	2	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	37	59%	26	41%
CHEROKEE	30	86%	5	14%
CHESTER	8	73%	3	27%
CHESTERFIELD	8	67%	4	33%
CLARENDON	1	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	5	71%	2	29%
DARLINGTON	10	63%	6	38%
DILLON	7	70%	3	30%
DORCHESTER	13	72%	5	28%
EDGEFIELD	5	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	4	80%	1	20%
FLORENCE	41	76%	13	24%
GEORGETOWN	12	75%	4	25%
GREENVILLE	68	89%	8	11%
GREENWOOD	15	94%	1	6%
HAMPTON	4	57%	3	43%
HORRY	54	84%	10	16%
JASPER	3	75%	1	25%
KERSHAW	15	100%	0	0%
LANCASTER	11	92%	1	8%
LAURENS	14	58%	10	42%
LEE	2	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	34	79%	9	21%
MCCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%
MARION	8	100%	0	0%
MARLBORO	3	50%	3	50%
NEWBERRY	7	88%	1	13%
OCONEE	8	100%	0	0%
ORANGEBURG	10	77%	3	23%
PICKENS	12	80%	3	20%
RICHLAND	53	74%	19	26%
SALUDA	3	75%	1	25%
SPARTANBURG	85	91%	8	9%
SUMTER	43	84%	8	16%
UNION	12	86%	2	14%
WILLIAMSBURG	8	73%	3	27%
YORK	45	85%	8	15%
TRANSITIONAL	36	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	836	82%	185	18%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION
D**

OTHER

**FISCAL YEAR
2019**

OTHER

The “other” category includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2 (SF-2), Supervised Furlough-2A (SF-2A), Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and Supervised Reentry Program (SRP) cases.

- **YOA** refers to inmates aged 17 through 24, sentenced under the South Carolina Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration, not to exceed six years, within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC). *This program is being taken over by the South Carolina Department of Corrections. SCDPPPS will no longer receive admissions to this program.*
- **Community Supervision Program (CSP)** is a mandatory release program for offenders who have been sentenced to a “No Parole” offense and have served 85% of their sentence at SCDC. Offenders released to the Community Supervision Program have a two-year period of supervision. If at any time they violate the terms of supervision, a Circuit Court Judge may revoke any part of the remaining incarcerative portion of the sentence for up to one year at a time.
- **SF-2** is an early release program which allows certain inmates to be released into the community to serve the last six months of their sentence. The difference between SF2 and SF-2A is most are required to be on Electronic Monitoring for the duration of their furlough under **SF-2A**.
- **DJJ** is a program where SCDPPPS supervises offenders who are at least 17 years of age, but less than 21, who have been adjudicated delinquent by a Family Court and who have been conditionally released from SCDC by the Juvenile Parole Board.
- **SRP** refers to an inmate not required to participate in CSP. Inmates who have been incarcerated for a minimum of two years shall be released to reentry supervision 180 before their release date.

Tables 1-D through 3-D represents all other admissions during FY 2019.

- Table 1-D displays other admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Sixty percent (60%) of all admission in ‘other’ category were violent.
- Table 2-D describes other admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (93%) and black (63%).
- Table 3-D describes other admissions by age category. Majority (92%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for other release offenders in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2019. Of the total active other population, 51% were supervised at standard level, 13% at medium, 22% at high, 1% at intensive, 11% at the sex offender supervision level, and 1% at the domestic violence supervision level. Less than 1% were under mental health supervision.

Table 5-D shows 96% of other offenders closing successfully compared to 82% of the parole population (See Table 5-C) and 80% of the probation population (See Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	5	83%	1	17%	6
AIKEN	24	57%	18	43%	42
ALLENDALE	1	50%	1	50%	2
ANDERSON	33	75%	11	25%	44
BAMBERG	3	75%	1	25%	4
BARNWELL	2	40%	3	60%	5
BEAUFORT	18	64%	10	36%	28
BERKELEY	23	59%	16	41%	39
CALHOUN	3	75%	1	25%	4
CHARLESTON	82	65%	45	35%	127
CHEROKEE	18	72%	7	28%	25
CHESTER	5	33%	10	67%	15
CHESTERFIELD	3	50%	3	50%	6
CLARENDON	6	50%	6	50%	12
COLLETON	5	63%	3	38%	8
DARLINGTON	8	44%	10	56%	18
DILLON	1	8%	11	92%	12
DORCHESTER	14	61%	9	39%	23
EDGEFIELD	3	33%	6	67%	9
FAIRFIELD	7	54%	6	46%	13
FLORENCE	30	46%	35	54%	65
GEORGETOWN	8	53%	7	47%	15
GREENVILLE	76	64%	42	36%	118
GREENWOOD	11	55%	9	45%	20
HAMPTON	0	0%	1	100%	1
HORRY	50	62%	31	38%	81
JASPER	4	57%	3	43%	7
KERSHAW	5	45%	6	55%	11
LANCASTER	11	79%	3	21%	14
LAURENS	10	50%	10	50%	20
LEE	2	33%	4	67%	6
LEXINGTON	37	57%	28	43%	65
McCORMICK	1	20%	4	80%	5
MARION	9	50%	9	50%	18
MARLBORO	7	58%	5	42%	12
NEWBERRY	9	69%	4	31%	13
OCONEE	11	73%	4	27%	15
ORANGEBURG	9	50%	9	50%	18
PICKENS	16	80%	4	20%	20
RICHLAND	98	68%	47	32%	145
SALUDA	2	67%	1	33%	3
SPARTANBURG	87	64%	49	36%	136
SUMTER	13	36%	23	64%	36
UNION	7	50%	7	50%	14
WILLIAMSBURG	5	71%	2	29%	7
YORK	46	61%	29	39%	75
TRANSITIONAL	25	68%	12	32%	37
STATE TOTAL	853	60%	566	40%	1,419

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
AIKEN	95%	5%	50%	0%	50%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	98%	2%	50%	2%	48%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
BEAUFORT	96%	4%	64%	7%	29%
BERKELEY	97%	3%	51%	5%	44%
CALHOUN	75%	25%	25%	0%	75%
CHARLESTON	97%	3%	80%	2%	18%
CHEROKEE	100%	0%	56%	4%	40%
CHESTER	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
CLARENDON	92%	8%	50%	8%	42%
COLLETON	75%	25%	50%	0%	50%
DARLINGTON	94%	6%	67%	0%	33%
DILLON	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
DORCHESTER	100%	0%	57%	0%	43%
EDGEFIELD	78%	22%	44%	0%	56%
FAIRFIELD	77%	23%	69%	0%	31%
FLORENCE	92%	8%	74%	2%	25%
GEORGETOWN	93%	7%	60%	7%	33%
GREENVILLE	92%	8%	55%	6%	39%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	85%	0%	15%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
HORRY	91%	9%	53%	6%	41%
JASPER	100%	0%	71%	0%	29%
KERSHAW	91%	9%	73%	0%	27%
LANCASTER	93%	7%	64%	0%	36%
LAURENS	95%	5%	35%	15%	50%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	92%	8%	38%	5%	57%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
MARION	89%	11%	83%	0%	17%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	83%	0%	17%
NEWBERRY	92%	8%	69%	0%	31%
OCONEE	87%	13%	27%	0%	73%
ORANGEBURG	94%	6%	89%	0%	11%
PICKENS	95%	5%	30%	5%	65%
RICHLAND	93%	7%	84%	3%	12%
SALUDA	67%	33%	67%	33%	0%
SPARTANBURG	91%	9%	52%	3%	45%
SUMTER	92%	8%	83%	0%	17%
UNION	100%	0%	64%	0%	36%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	86%	0%	14%
YORK	93%	7%	67%	4%	29%
TRANSITIONAL	86%	14%	51%	5%	43%
STATE TOTAL	93%	7%	63%	3%	34%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	6	100%
AIKEN	1	2%	41	98%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	2	100%
ANDERSON	3	7%	41	93%
BAMBERG	0	0%	4	100%
BARNWELL	0	0%	5	100%
BEAUFORT	3	11%	25	89%
BERKELEY	2	5%	37	95%
CALHOUN	0	0%	4	100%
CHARLESTON	4	3%	123	97%
CHEROKEE	1	4%	24	96%
CHESTER	0	0%	15	100%
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	6	100%
CLARENDON	1	8%	11	92%
COLLETON	0	0%	8	100%
DARLINGTON	3	17%	15	83%
DILLON	3	25%	9	75%
DORCHESTER	3	13%	20	87%
EDGEFIELD	2	22%	7	78%
FAIRFIELD	1	8%	12	92%
FLORENCE	5	8%	60	92%
GEORGETOWN	1	7%	14	93%
GREENVILLE	8	7%	110	93%
GREENWOOD	4	20%	16	80%
HAMPTON	0	0%	1	100%
HORRY	9	11%	72	89%
JASPER	0	0%	7	100%
KERSHAW	0	0%	11	100%
LANCASTER	0	0%	14	100%
LAURENS	0	0%	20	100%
LEE	0	0%	6	100%
LEXINGTON	3	5%	62	95%
McCORMICK	1	20%	4	80%
MARION	5	28%	13	72%
MARLBORO	1	8%	11	92%
NEWBERRY	1	8%	12	92%
OCONEE	1	7%	14	93%
ORANGEBURG	1	6%	17	94%
PICKENS	1	5%	19	95%
RICHLAND	17	12%	128	88%
SALUDA	0	0%	3	100%
SPARTANBURG	6	4%	130	96%
SUMTER	4	11%	32	89%
UNION	1	7%	13	93%
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0%	7	100%
YORK	10	13%	65	87%
TRANSITIONAL	1	3%	36	97%
STATE TOTAL	107	8%	1,312	92%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


**TABLE 4-D
ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	33%	0%	50%	0%	17%	0%	0%	6
AIKEN	63%	13%	15%	0%	10%	0%	0%	40
ALLEDALE	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	3
ANDERSON	49%	8%	18%	3%	22%	0%	0%	65
BAMBERG	40%	0%	20%	0%	40%	0%	0%	5
BARNWELL	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
BEAUFORT	89%	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	18
BERKELEY	44%	14%	29%	3%	10%	0%	0%	59
CALHOUN	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	2
CHARLESTON	39%	19%	29%	1%	12%	0%	0%	118
CHEROKEE	50%	17%	0%	0%	21%	13%	0%	24
CHESTER	62%	23%	8%	0%	8%	0%	0%	13
CHESTERFIELD	50%	0%	25%	0%	25%	0%	0%	8
CLARENDON	64%	18%	9%	0%	9%	0%	0%	11
COLLETON	50%	0%	30%	0%	20%	0%	0%	10
DARLINGTON	50%	8%	8%	0%	33%	0%	0%	12
DILLON	70%	10%	0%	10%	10%	0%	0%	10
DORCHESTER	53%	7%	7%	0%	33%	0%	0%	30
EDGEFIELD	33%	0%	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	3
FAIRFIELD	33%	11%	44%	0%	11%	0%	0%	9
FLORENCE	61%	13%	13%	0%	13%	0%	0%	54
GEORGETOWN	40%	7%	47%	0%	7%	0%	0%	15
GREENVILLE	41%	19%	31%	0%	6%	2%	0%	125
GREENWOOD	65%	10%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20
HAMPTON	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	0
HORRY	9%	0%	2%	2%	14%	0%	0%	58
JASPER	117%	17%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6
KERSHAW	69%	23%	23%	8%	15%	0%	0%	13
LANCASTER	88%	13%	19%	0%	6%	0%	0%	16
LAURENS	10%	0%	5%	0%	10%	0%	0%	21
LEE	967%	200%	433%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
LEXINGTON	4%	2%	0%	4%	7%	5%	0%	57
McCORMICK	433%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
MARION	38%	13%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	16
MARLBORO	38%	0%	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8
NEWBERRY	117%	67%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6
OCONEE	63%	0%	44%	0%	13%	0%	0%	16
ORANGEBURG	48%	10%	14%	5%	14%	0%	0%	21
PICKENS	319%	95%	290%	10%	19%	0%	0%	21
RICHLAND	3%	1%	0%	2%	4%	0%	1%	159
SALUDA	1383%	333%	517%	0%	17%	0%	0%	6
SPARTANBURG	12%	2%	2%	0%	17%	1%	0%	162
SUMTER	9%	0%	9%	6%	9%	0%	0%	32
UNION	75%	38%	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	8
WILLIAMSBURG	293%	47%	53%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15
YORK	73%	0%	5%	0%	2%	0%	0%	60
TRANSITIONAL	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
STATE TOTAL	51%	13%	22%	1%	11%	1%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	703	176	306	18	157	10	2	1,372

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 5

**ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2019**



**TABLE 5-D
OTHER CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	5	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	49	100%	0	0%
ALLENDALE	4	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	35	97%	1	3%
BAMBERG	4	80%	1	20%
BARNWELL	9	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	18	100%	0	0%
BERKELEY	43	100%	0	0%
CALHOUN	6	86%	1	14%
CHARLESTON	108	92%	10	8%
CHEROKEE	24	100%	0	0%
CHESTER	15	100%	0	0%
CHESTERFIELD	7	100%	0	0%
CLARENDON	9	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	5	83%	1	17%
DARLINGTON	25	96%	1	4%
DILLON	12	92%	1	8%
DORCHESTER	17	94%	1	6%
EDGEFIELD	9	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	8	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	65	96%	3	4%
GEORGETOWN	18	100%	0	0%
GREENVILLE	130	97%	4	3%
GREENWOOD	29	94%	2	6%
HAMPTON	5	100%	0	0%
HORRY	75	97%	2	3%
JASPER	12	100%	0	0%
KERSHAW	17	94%	1	6%
LANCASTER	13	93%	1	7%
LAURENS	23	100%	0	0%
LEE	4	80%	1	20%
LEXINGTON	75	96%	3	4%
MCCORMICK	5	100%	0	0%
MARION	14	93%	1	7%
MARLBORO	11	92%	1	8%
NEWBERRY	11	85%	2	15%
OCONEE	14	93%	1	7%
ORANGEBURG	43	100%	0	0%
PICKENS	21	95%	1	5%
RICHLAND	127	93%	10	7%
SALUDA	4	80%	1	20%
SPARTANBURG	126	96%	5	4%
SUMTER	47	92%	4	8%
UNION	17	100%	0	0%
WILLIAMSBURG	11	100%	0	0%
YORK	78	99%	1	1%
TRANSITIONAL	83	1	0	0
STATE TOTAL	1,490	96%	61	4%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION E

**SEX
OFFENDERS**

FISCAL YEAR 2019

SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender's risk assessment score. Table 1-E and Figure 6 compares the number of sex offenders supervised under general supervision (23%) with those in the Sex Offender Management Program (77%).

A male sex offender's level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. The three levels of male sex offender (SO) supervision are SO-High, SO-Intensive, and SO-Containment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the entirety of their supervision period.

SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS


SO-HIGH	SO-INTENSIVE	SO-CONTAINMENT
1 Home Visit Every Other Month	1 Home Visit per Month	2 Home Visits per Month
1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month
1 Field, Home, or Office Visit per Month	1 Field, Home, or Office Visit per Month	1 Field, Home or Office Visit per Month
1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month
1 Computer Search Every Six Months, if Applicable	1 Computer Search Every Other Month, if Applicable	1 Computer Search per Month, if Applicable

**TABLE 1-E
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION		GENERAL SUPERVISION		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	2	100%	0	0%	2
AIKEN	24	65%	13	35%	37
ALLENDALE	2	67%	1	33%	3
ANDERSON	39	70%	17	30%	56
BAMBERG	6	75%	2	25%	8
BARNWELL	6	67%	3	33%	9
BEAUFORT	5	83%	1	17%	6
BERKELEY	43	98%	1	2%	44
CALHOUN	4	67%	2	33%	6
CHARLESTON	53	66%	27	34%	80
CHEROKEE	16	64%	9	36%	25
CHESTER	6	100%	0	0%	6
CHESTERFIELD	4	100%	0	0%	4
CLARENDON	5	56%	4	44%	9
COLLETON	10	67%	5	33%	15
DARLINGTON	14	100%	0	0%	14
DILLON	5	83%	1	17%	6
DORCHESTER	30	88%	4	12%	34
EDGEFIELD	6	75%	2	25%	8
FAIRFIELD	5	100%	0	0%	5
FLORENCE	28	100%	0	0%	28
GEORGETOWN	5	83%	1	17%	6
GREENVILLE	73	78%	21	22%	94
GREENWOOD	8	67%	4	33%	12
HAMPTON	4	80%	1	20%	5
HORRY	65	98%	1	2%	66
JASPER	1	100%	0	0%	1
KERSHAW	12	63%	7	37%	19
LANCASTER	11	69%	5	31%	16
LAURENS	10	63%	6	38%	16
LEE	4	67%	2	33%	6
LEXINGTON	33	75%	11	25%	44
McCORMICK	2	100%	0	0%	2
MARION	4	67%	2	33%	6
MARLBORO	3	43%	4	57%	7
NEWBERRY	3	75%	1	25%	4
OCONEE	16	80%	4	20%	20
ORANGEBURG	16	100%	0	0%	16
PICKENS	19	68%	9	32%	28
RICHLAND	32	71%	13	29%	45
SALUDA	2	67%	1	33%	3
SPARTANBURG	79	75%	26	25%	105
SUMTER	20	74%	7	26%	27
UNION	8	80%	2	20%	10
WILLIAMSBURG	4	44%	5	56%	9
YORK	21	81%	5	19%	26
TRANSITIONAL	0	---	0	---	0
STATE TOTAL	768	77%	230	23%	998

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 6
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2019



SECTION F

VIOLATIONS

FISCAL YEAR 2019

VIOLATIONS & CLOSURES

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through a violations matrix to determine the most appropriate response, while ensuring a consistent application of sanctions statewide.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, 5,481 violation hearings were held during the fiscal year. At those hearings, 2,521 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 2,960 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F shows fees collected in FY 2019 as a result of the Administrative Hearing Process. Offenders pay restitution, supervision fees and fines just prior to their Administrative Hearing to avoid incarceration. During the year, \$163,610.97 was collected in delinquent restitution payments, \$195,480.32 in supervision fees and \$41,765.25 in court ordered fines and fees. The total to \$400,856.54 collected demonstrates the effectiveness of the administrative hearing process in bringing offenders who have the means to become compliant with their monetary obligations.

Table 3-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the types of closure for FY 2014 to FY 2019. Of the 17,296 closures during FY 2019, 82% closed successfully. Majority (72%) of unsuccessful closures resulted in compliance revocations.

**TABLE 1-F
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY**

COUNTY	Cases Heard	Cases Revoked or Recommended for Revocation Cases	Continued or Recommended for Continuation
ABBEVILLE	0	0	0
AIKEN	57	23	34
ALLENDALE	13	2	11
ANDERSON	367	162	205
BAMBERG	10	8	2
BARNWELL	30	19	11
BEAUFORT	16	7	9
BERKELEY	122	67	55
CALHOUN	8	1	7
CHARLESTON	507	348	159
CHEROKEE	325	86	239
CHESTER	31	18	13
CHESTERFIELD	6	4	2
CLARENDON	35	8	27
COLLETON	73	41	32
DARLINGTON	55	26	29
DILLON	12	7	5
DORCHESTER	170	97	73
EDGEFIELD	32	0	32
FAIRFIELD	6	2	4
FLORENCE	242	93	149
GEORGETOWN	25	15	10
GREENVILLE	750	305	445
GREENWOOD	28	15	13
HAMPTON	31	20	11
HORRY	139	74	65
JASPER	56	39	17
KERSHAW	61	35	26
LANCASTER	39	29	10
LAURENS	54	20	34
LEE	9	5	4
LEXINGTON	409	126	283
McCORMICK	7	4	3
MARION	11	6	5
MARLBORO	1	0	1
NEWBERRY	62	28	34
OCONEE	124	52	72
ORANGEBURG	138	18	120
PICKENS	160	75	85
RICHLAND	242	121	121
SALUDA	55	31	24
SPARTANBURG	497	275	222
SUMTER	86	40	46
UNION	86	37	49
WILLIAMSBURG	7	1	6
YORK	287	131	156
TRANSITIONAL			
STATE TOTAL	5,481	2,521	2,960

TABLE 2-F				
FEES COLLECTED THROUGH ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS PROCESS				
Month	Supervision Fee	Fine/Court Cost	Restitution	Total
July 2018	\$11,251.11	\$3,362.70	\$11,350.27	\$25,964.08
August	\$14,951.00	\$2,612.00	\$18,945.00	\$ 36,508.00
September	\$16,684.00	\$1,970.73	\$11,464.85	\$ 30,119.58
October	\$17,352.00	\$5,428.15	\$20,370.20	\$ 43,150.35
November	\$15,116.31	\$2,912.88	\$6,475.69	\$ 24,504.88
December	\$10,313.40	\$528.25	\$11,928.40	\$ 22,770.05
January 2018	\$12,670.05	\$5,241.85	\$3,450.55	\$ 21,362.45
February	\$17,823.42	\$2,776.89	\$11,109.72	\$ 31,710.03
March	\$19,494.02	\$6,901.40	\$18,476.46	\$ 44,871.88
April	\$22,294.07	\$3,151.75	\$31,586.06	\$ 57,031.88
May	\$15,436.69	\$4,126.00	\$11,037.27	\$ 30,599.96
June 2018	\$22,094.25	\$2,752.65	\$7,416.50	\$ 32,263.40
Total	\$ 195,480.32	\$ 41,765.25	\$ 163,610.97	\$ 400,856.54

CLOSURES BY TYPE

TABLE 3-F

FY 2019	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	24,506	11,792	10	1	427	1,983	511	2,932
Parole	2,804	836	5	0	21	159	0	185
Other Releases	1,372	1,490	27	0	1	33	0	61
Total	28,682	14,118	42	1	449	2,175	511	3,178
% Unsuccessful			1.3%	0.0%	14.1%	68.4%	16.1%	

FY 2018	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,039	11,871	13	3	423	1,783	599	2,821
Parole	2,637	675	6	0	28	101	0	135
Other Releases	1,495	1,609	33	0	3	42	0	78
Total	29,171	14,155	52	3	454	1,926	599	3,034
% Unsuccessful			1.7%	0.1%	15.0%	63.5%	19.7%	

FY 2017	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,776	10,783	13	2	478	2,207	480	3,180
Parole	2,271	511	5	0	18	96	0	119
Other Releases	1,597	1,571	36	0	2	46	0	84
Total	29,644	12,865	54	2	498	2,349	480	3,383
% Unsuccessful			1.6%	0.1%	14.7%	69.4%	14.2%	

FY 2016	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	25,132	11,051	20	3	549	2,922	387	3,881
Parole	2,030	490	4	0	20	71	0	95
YOA	240	499	0	0	18	80	0	98
Other Releases	1,341	1,575	108	0	0	24	0	132
Total	28,743	13,615	132	3	587	3,097	387	4,206
% Unsuccessful			3.1%	0.1%	14.0%	73.6%	9.2%	

FY 2015	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I	JC-I	Rev-C	Rev-T	Ret-CD ⁶	
Probation	26,806	10,987	13	3	504	2,374	311	3,205
Parole	2,007	409	7	0	11	45	0	63
YOA	591	397	7	0	45	168	0	220
Other Releases	1,525	1,414	32	0	2	11	0	45
Total	30,929	13,207	59	3	562	2,598	311	3,533
% Unsuccessful			1.7%	0.1%	15.9%	73.5%	8.8%	

Footnotes:

1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution

2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution

3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction

4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges

5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending

6 Ret-CD Returned - Conditional Discharge

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION G


**ELECTRONIC
SURVEILLANCE**

FISCAL YEAR 2019

Electronic Surveillance

The Department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. On June 8, 2006, Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting the state's children through tougher penalties for sexual predators was signed into law with an effective date of July 1, 2006. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sexual predators and mandates active Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the U. S. Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. The use of Active-GPS enhances public safety and provides a more modern and efficient way to ensure accountability and enforce home detention and curfews for those offenders requiring a heightened supervision strategy. Of the 898 offenders on Active GPS on June 17, 2019, 657 (73%) offenders were placed on GPS under Jessie's Law.

FIGURE 7
OFFENDERS ON ACTIVE GPS
FISCAL YEAR 2019



SECTION H

SUMMARY

**FISCAL YEAR
2019**

SUMMARY

Figure 8 compares monthly DNA collections during FY 2019.

Table 1-H shows drug testing activity during FY 2019. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested (20,412), the number of individuals testing positive (9,702), the total number of positive tests (20,222) and the number of times offenders were tested (28,034).


Table 2-H summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

Population Overview:

- The proportion of violent offenses among parole (15%) slightly decreased while probation (4%) admissions remained the same between FY 2018 and FY 2019.
- Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (57%), followed by high (23%), medium (13%), domestic violence (5%), sex offender (3%), and intensive (1%) for all active cases. Less than 1% were supervised under mental health supervision.
- The overall success rate for closures was 82%, the same from the previous fiscal year. The overall success rate for parolees decreased to 82%. Probationers (80%) had slightly less successful closures rates than parolees.
- Of the 20,412 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 9,702 or 48% tested positive for drugs.

Figure 9 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 1998 to 2019.

FIGURE 8
MONTHLY DNA COLLECTIONS
FISCAL YEAR 2019



**TABLE 1-H
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	93	38	41%	71	97
AIKEN	387	194	50%	412	412
ALLEDALE	54	29	54%	42	58
ANDERSON	730	415	57%	922	828
BAMBERG	55	20	36%	26	58
BARNWELL	121	54	45%	113	158
BEAUFORT	483	192	40%	312	939
BERKELEY	392	99	25%	197	425
CALHOUN	110	50	45%	109	145
CHARLESTON	1688	800	47%	1427	2188
CHEROKEE	783	396	51%	917	1084
CHESTER	146	55	38%	100	166
CHESTERFIELD	132	64	48%	146	193
CLARENDON	77	26	34%	44	87
COLLETON	110	24	22%	37	117
DARLINGTON	182	86	47%	156	212
DILLON	77	13	17%	17	84
DORCHESTER	453	208	46%	413	563
EDGEFIELD	163	94	58%	225	226
FAIRFIELD	128	75	59%	121	136
FLORENCE	788	340	43%	665	1090
GEORGETOWN	119	45	38%	74	134
GREENVILLE	2189	1128	52%	2642	3053
GREENWOOD	403	194	48%	379	493
HAMPTON	80	51	64%	109	107
HORRY	1166	359	31%	648	1580
JASPER	110	57	52%	92	119
KERSHAW	231	120	52%	248	276
LANCASTER	352	195	55%	429	437
LAURENS	290	114	39%	197	307
LEE	74	18	24%	30	85
LEXINGTON	1027	566	55%	1510	1605
MCCORMICK	50	14	28%	14	51
MARION	134	63	47%	98	154
MARLBORO	97	65	67%	111	110
NEWBERRY	202	105	52%	215	263
OCONEE	266	166	62%	377	308
ORANGEBURG	736	360	49%	686	1136
PICKENS	588	298	51%	677	682
RICHLAND	1539	764	50%	1593	2608
SALUDA	91	32	35%	67	121
SPARTANBURG	1843	844	46%	1823	2871
SUMTER	512	271	53%	474	621
UNION	264	143	54%	306	371
WILLIAMSBURG	132	54	41%	119	174
YORK	750	397	53%	815	1085
CENTRAL	15	7	47%	17	17
STATE TOTAL	20,412	9,702	48%	20,222	28,034

**TABLE 2-H
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**

ADMISSIONS								
CATEGORY	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19
RACE:								
BLACK	43%	43%	49%	51%	62%	63%	46%	45%
WHITE	55%	55%	49%	1%	35%	34%	52%	53%
OTHER	2%	2%	2%	48%	3%	3%	2%	2%
GENDER:								
MALE	76%	76%	86%	86%	94%	93%	79%	78%
FEMALE	24%	24%	14%	14%	6%	7%	21%	22%
OFFENSE TYPE:								
VIOLENT	4%	4%	17%	15%	54%	60%	10%	9%
NON-VIOLENT	96%	96%	83%	85%	44%	40%	90%	91%

ACTIVES								
LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19
STANDARD	59%	57%	61%	61%	50%	51%	59%	57%
MEDIUM	14%	13%	16%	14%	14%	13%	14%	13%
HIGH	20%	23%	18%	19%	24%	22%	20%	22%
INTENSIVE	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
SEX OFFENDER	2%	2%	4%	4%	10%	11%	3%	3%
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	4%	5%	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%	4%
MENTAL HEALTH	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%


CLOSURES								
CASE OUTCOME:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19	FY 18	FY 19
SUCCESSFUL	81%	80%	83%	82%	95%	96%	82%	82%
UNSUCCESSFUL	19%	20%	17%	18%	5%	4%	18%	18%

DRUG TESTING		
	FY 18	FY 19
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	17,867	20,412
INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	7,944	9,702
PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	44.46%	47.53%
TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS	15,104	20,222
NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED	23,549	28,034

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 9

ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON



1st Printing/SCDPPPS
15 copies of this document were published: \$5.07 per copy/\$76.05 total.